Wasco County Planning Department
"Service, Sustainability & Solutions"

2705 East Second St. • The Dalles, OR 97058
(541) 506-2560 • wcplanning@co.wasco.or.us
www.co.wasco.or.us/planning

WASCO COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA PACKET

FOR

Hearing Date: September 26, 2016

Hearing Time: 3:00 pm
Hearing Location: Columbia Gorge Discovery Center, Theater/Auditorium
5000 Discovery Drive
The Dalles, Oregon 97058

Action Item(s):

QUASI JUDICIAL HEARING:

PLASAR-15-01-0004

Request by Union Pacific Railroad and their land use consultants, CH2M Hill, to expand an existing railroad siding on either side of Mosier, Oregon for 4.02 miles of new second mainline track and realigned existing track; place five new equipment shelters; install drainage structures, a retaining wall, new lighting and signage, and wireless communication poles; modify existing utilities, temporary landing zones for construction; construct temporary and permanent access roads; and a property line adjustment. The request also includes off-site wetland mitigation east of the primary project site.

Comments received prior to September 6 hearing

VOLUME #2

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nieba Paige
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e8da681edb

Nieba Paige

1322 SE 60th Ave.

Portland, OR 97215

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nieba Paige

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Victoria Miller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155eeec94ce

Victoria Miller

15857 Moorpark Street

Encino, CA 91436

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Victoria Miller

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bruce Johnson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155e97c686e

Bruce Johnson

13 Champions Circle

Branchburg, NJ 08876-7432

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Bruce D. Johnson

Bruce Johnson

Union Pacific Railroad expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Kofler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155e8c6c42e

Michelle Kofler

115 Lee Rd

South Deerfield, MA 01373

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Kofler

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Galen Trembath
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155de7a0cdb

Galen Trembath

4751 40th Street

Long Island City, NY 11104

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Galen Trembath

Train Traffic Through Mosier Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Curt Sayers
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155d2c16759

Curt Sayers

4 Chestnut Ridge

Raymond, NH 03077

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Curt Sayers

Railroad application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dale Shero
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155ca5b78e1

Dale Shero

2364 Penbrook Dr

Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Dale Shero

Dale Shero

Union Pacific railroad construction

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Noel Barnes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155c4311aaf

Noel Barnes

1614 Glenwood Ave. S.E.

Renton, WA 98058

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Noel Barnes

Noel Barnes

No More Oil Trains Through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amy Henry
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155bba9e5b5

Amy Henry

22 Perkins Avenue

Northampton, MA 01060

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amy Henry

Please deny the Union Pacific Railroad expansion through the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Charles Huber
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155bb00e4d5

Charles Huber

1220 Owings Rd

Westminster, MD 21157

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charles Huber

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jerome Roth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155a106f3a5

Jerome Roth

1912 S River Dr

Tempe, AZ 85281

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jerome Roth

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Takako Ishii-Kiefer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155945cb8ea

Takako Ishii-Kiefer

11 Winter Place

Aberdeen, NJ 07747

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Takako Ishii-Kiefer

Takako Ishii-Kiefer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Takako Ishii-Kiefer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de15596479df5

Takako Ishii-Kiefer

11 Winter Place

Aberdeen, NJ 07747

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Takako Ishii-Kiefer

Takako Ishii-Kiefer

Unsafe Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bryan Lilienkamp
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1558d98ba5c

Bryan Lilienkamp

4240 N. Capitol Ave.

Indianapolis, IN 46208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bryan Lilienkamp

Union Pacific's plans for more tracks in Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Katgerine Cote
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1557fdcc616

Katgerine Cote

136 W Spruce St

Milford, MA 01757

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Katherine B Cote

Comment Letter on Tesoro Savage DEIS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "John R. Bartels"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de15573c22050

John R. Bartels

12130 SE Ogden Ct

Portland, OR 97266

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John R. Bartels

Union Railroad's new rail track near Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: stephanie clark
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155734f2bfb

stephanie clark

1884 premier pl.

concord, CA 94520

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

stephanie clark

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Faye Bennett

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155530222ff

Faye Bennett

3965 SE Oak St

Portland, OR 97214

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Faye Bennett

Faye Bennett

New Union Pacific Track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: sarah flum
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1554918e1e8

sarah flum

427 s 13th street

escanaba, MI 49829

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

sarah flum

sarah flum

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:52:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Helen Jones
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de155450d2546

Helen Jones

264 Grant St

Ashland, OR 97520

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Helen Jones

Helen Jones

Oil Trains, Coal Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susanna Askins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c33d157847

Susanna Askins

14640 NE Russell Ct

Portland, OR 97230

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Susanna Askins

Susanna Askins

Disgusting Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Denise Griffin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c3283a65f3

Denise Griffin

1211 Seven Hills Dr

Mobile, AL 36695

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Denise Griffin

Sincerely,

Denise Griffin

Public Health and Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sheri Langham
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c3199d1973

Sheri Langham

3000 Spout Run Parkway

Arlington, VA 22201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sheri Langham

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Goodwin

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c314267202

Nancy Goodwin

1717 Olympia Way, Suite 104

Longview, WA 98632

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Goodwin

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Will Foster
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c30eef9ff3

Will Foster

10708 sycamore hills rd

Austin, TX 78717

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Will foster

Poisons and oil don't belong anywhere. Stop the Union Pacific new track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sunil Misra
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2f70009eb

Sunil Misra

7025 Flintfeet Lane

Columbia, MD 21045

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sunil Misra

No increase in rail traffic through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: ken gunther
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2e8a88dbe

ken gunther

11024 161st St N

Jupiter, FL 33478

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Mosier, OR: The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

ken gunther

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nathan Allen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2de9a0db2

Nathan Allen

505 E. Elm St.

Tampa, FL 33604

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nathan Allen

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rebecca Strout
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2dd5c8e4c

Rebecca Strout

79 Hazelwood Ter

Pittsfield, MA 01201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rebecca Strout

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Scott Bohart
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2db3b75e3

Scott Bohart

7451 4th Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Scott Bohart

---

deny new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Iltis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2d650cd1d

Michael Iltis

729 Clark Ct. #2

Madison, WI 53715

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Iltis

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Vesper

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2b930c3ba

Paul Vesper

1601 Berkeley Way

Berkeley, CA 94703-1237

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Paul Vesper

No Oil Trains in the Colombia, or America!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jean Wyman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c2a43a7fe9

Jean Wyman

3914 NE 75th

Portland, OR 97213

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jean Wyman

---

http://www.nbc.com/americas-got-talent/video/malevo-live-performance-3/3088469

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:42:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diana Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0c28fddd7bd

Diana Smith

1200 N. Nash St. #247

Arlington, VA 22209

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diana Smith

Sorry I missed you! Re: Railroad Safety

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:40:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Angie Brewer
To: pattybonneye@hotmail.com
CC:
ID: 156de0a4f2f7c99a

Hello and thank you for contacting me.

I will be unavailable today, checking email occassionally. If you need immediate assistance, please call 541-506-2560 to speak with the planner on duty.

Thank you and have a wonderful day,
Angie

--

WASCO COUNTY
Pioneering pathways to prosperity.

Angie Brewer | ***Director***
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

angieb@co.wasco.or.us | www.co.wasco.or.us
541-506-2566 | Fax 541-506-2561
2705 East Second Street | The Dalles, OR 97058

Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:40:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mail Delivery Subsystem
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0a5bf3c05e2

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

pattybonneye@hotmail.com

Technical details of permanent failure:

Google tried to deliver your message, but it was rejected by the server for the recipient domain hotmail.com by mx1.hotmail.com. [65.55.37.104].

The error that the other server returned was:

550 Requested action not taken: mailbox unavailable

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=co-wasco-or-us.20150623.gappssmtp.com; s=20150623;

h=to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version

:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence

:auto-submitted;

bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;

b=v5XVa/066Qwp5zJ9mXj30dKSvXG5Prxa3I9W8moSrhEP4mlvN++xUUlplP55984aB9

TjDn1dRrmnm3m/bcNLkC3aclYTZDn+E9mf8VSTp+wXK1pOnq1sUwHD/m5DlwY5NqqXD/

0JE93Mo682bUgur2K9BZ8a8qUeMPlorKfhnV/t5xDpkHTI70rat0N7A5GONH20H+1UW/
MK920wd2xO7IVCuC90FMvXM6AeQWNbV2m4qAuCgbO1X8Sx/kDKxm5gmeXn6bxtVHaw4y
DpszBZTVXMoWXp3P7HsimkbTKWR9/E3gKp5OhQuhyYtkc4SXv3WNKO5RxO1j+SdywbzO
vSfA==

X-Google-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=1e100.net; s=20130820;

h=x-gm-message-state:to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version

:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence

:auto-submitted;

bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;

b=AK6o1IwJsHXdIMhWguDFG85XnhG0SZ0r2cUQ5FsMWJm9zXwXYWcT6BpTUf+z00pamI
68cUfWjujUOjS0QdrW2SS5arqyCwvUjcY01gkZ+SJKdSu9SC9GgPr+119wL/Xhz67WOw
qZepDGW4VPZTGpblbLvdN/sh0xDjPDe8kN2T8OnLI1hrFulhWjDej5kxiwKIJRdXpm9e
a5seLYOlcXIUHyndRxUVc4BG4dfrv5218AiCSr3pjjrJdfsI6PEEbI+bOMPXq/XXxkVF
4Lxx58Dt38NfsvT01/BmDiuOhNfRPtz8eOper1p/SxHe5yNI4ugcjZFAfyVTMZc5OHC+
jc0A==

X-Gm-Message-State:
AE9vXwMgovNB9gdk6hd5cpW7QXny/nAuVuMe5ufxgm0Y1s6hX5DtEWy5hi9oiYpXC3w8vtn8g9pRFrS+615Ny2uk
HSWdVm5ZbStdHXWmSQ==

X-Received: by 10.107.173.224 with SMTP id m93mr2391749ioo.12.1472604032998;

Tue, 30 Aug 2016 17:40:32 -0700 (PDT)

To: pattybonneye@hotmail.com

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Date: Tue, 30 Aug 2016 17:40:32 -0700

Message-ID: <CAPDJuCKMv_tEMLaBbdN=Lz1eswFwkH9HBQvM5V5yPpHcJvZFxw@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Sorry I missed you! Re: Railroad Safety

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: inline

Precedence: bulk

X-Autoreply: yes

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

----- End of message -----

Oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judy Savard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0307dba5e01

Judy Savard

5138 spruce st

Laona, WI 54541

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judy Savard

No Coal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gary Simonsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de030764d3d85

Gary Simonsen

PO Box 339

Medical Lake, WA 99022

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gary Simonsen

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judy Wyeth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de03035d791b9

Judy Wyeth

121 Locust street

Lodi, WI 53555

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judy Wyeth

Columba Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Greg Burnet
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de0301cd2de73

Greg Burnet

3608 Wenonah

Berwyn, IL 60402

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Greg Burnet

Deny Union Pacific's Proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Constance Youens
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de03010354a26

Constance Youens

23341 Red Willow Way

Murrieta, CA 92562-3245

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Constance Youens

No Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lisa Simms
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de03005eeb6f3

Lisa Simms

2204 Rocking Horse Ct.

Colorado Springs, CO 80921

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lisa Simms

Request: Stop oil trains in Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 14:06:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: debbie thorn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f1a90876be3c7

debbie thorn

710 18th ave w

kirkland, WA 98033

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

debbie thorn

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 13:16:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Richita Anderson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f17b35b1a4759

Richita Anderson

10 Downing St,

New York, NY 10014

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richita Anderson

---

no more oil trains along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 12:56:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Catherine Caron
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f168dff5bfdd2

Catherine Caron

323 E Augusta AV

Spokane, WA 99207

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I urge you to deny Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

I live in Spokane Washington where BNSF, despite public outcry, was granted rights to build a refueling station over our regions aguifer. The refueling station leaked within months of being built, just as the public had predicted.

Different issue you say. No. The issue is that oil trains explode, refueling stations leak, oil is toxic to our planet and we must finally end our dependence on oil and seriously invest in alternate forms of energy.

Kootenai County Idaho received a brand new firetruck in return for the commissioners approval of the project. What are you receiving?

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Catherine Caron

Columbia River, Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 11:46:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lynda Barondes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f128bdd29ed99

Lynda Barondes

212A Opera Drive PO Box 1141

Bisbee, AZ 85603

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lynda Barondes

Lynda Barondes

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 09:36:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: McKenna Eckerline

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f0b19483e5c56

McKenna Eckerline

1492 Hunter Dr

Wayzata, MN 55391

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

McKenna Eckerline

Union Pacific railroad application for new rail tracks in the Gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 08:56:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janick Lawrence
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f08ce60ae8482

Janick Lawrence

700 E 29th Street

Vancouver, WA 98663

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Janick Lawrence

Union Pacific railroad application for new rail tracks in the Gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 08:56:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janick Lawrence
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f08ce64963cff

Janick Lawrence

700 E 29th Street

Vancouver, WA 98663

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Janick Lawrence

Union Pacific railroad application for new rail tracks in the Gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 08:56:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janick Lawrence
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f08ce7276c939

Janick Lawrence

700 E 29th Street

Vancouver, WA 98663

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Janick Lawrence

Union Pacific train tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 08:46:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carolyn Treadway
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f083bf308cbb5

Carolyn Treadway

1951 Circle Lane SE

Lacey, WA 98503

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carolyn Treadway

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 07:46:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David LaVerne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f04cbd0ab45d0

David LaVerne

844 Lincoln Street

Dickson City, PA 18519

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David LaVerne

Oregon Sierra Club Comment on UP Rail Expansion Permit PLASAR-15-01-004

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 07:14:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gregory Monahan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC: Rhett Lawrence , "E.M. Stock"
ID: 156f02fa8dba7c3a

To: Angie Brewer, Planning Director, Wasco County, Oregon

Please accept the attached comments relating to the Union Pacific Rail Expansion Permit PLASAR-15-01-004.

Thank You,

Gregory Monahan, PhD
Chair, Beyond Gas & Oil Team
Oregon Sierra Club
cell: 503-314-9647
1 Attachments:
UP_Rail_Expansion_Sierra_Club_Comments_20160906.pdf

Sorry I missed you! Re: The environmental threats of transporting crude oil by-rail

4 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Angie Brewer
To: rohanamc@hotmial.com
CC:
ID: 156decd39be32881

Hello and thank you for contacting me.

I will be unavailable today, checking email occassionally. If you need immediate assistance, please call 541-506-2560 to speak with the planner on duty.

Thank you and have a wonderful day,
Angie

--

WASCO COUNTY
Pioneering pathways to prosperity.

Angie Brewer | *Director*
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

angieb@co.wasco.or.us | www.co.wasco.or.us
541-506-2566 | Fax 541-506-2561
2705 East Second Street | The Dalles, OR 97058

Delivery Status Notification (Delay)

4 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 22:33:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mail Delivery Subsystem
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e4058f872d749

This is an automatically generated Delivery Status Notification

THIS IS A WARNING MESSAGE ONLY.

YOU DO NOT NEED TO RESEND YOUR MESSAGE.

Delivery to the following recipient has been delayed:

rohanamc@hotmial.com

Message will be retried for 1 more day(s)

Technical details of temporary failure:

The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect. Learn more at
https://support.google.com/mail/answer/7720

[hotmial.com 64.99.64.37: socket error]

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=co-wasco-or-us.20150623.gappssmtp.com; s=20150623;
h=to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version
:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence
:auto-submitted;
bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;
b=E5IEARLj+n8FY8zgbagG2w9norO1tpWRWOYtp6VlobDT4uRwQ992pGWt4zyHZudurk
3NQ37VvFEhtU1mtiF2rc79ork/D+YN+b4H67mjxB1WDSHIPpJuX7BHdglo4mqada/N+O
BolV1xkC7tVWZn3/qAXQpi2DTcEzeiCKSsUnuyYq2BXYqrPVZxsCTtTZeAVOQvEW0ewu
/fypaVL+Go/91rwmRID7U+k0Ms7WbypFiQ/3xrsolbt5XfK327o8Bmb5SiouR1yf96u5
y7YmgY8T+AvaE47+XHz07zXU+QS/P+SFWZ5rb3c0Rfd2XeUG2p2leBUsnqdkhkhd5im3
3eYw==
X-Google-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=1e100.net; s=20130820;
h=x-gm-message-state:to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version
:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence
:auto-submitted;
bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;
b=C7aDLBj8Qsz57b4JefV8i6uwfm8AkzhWo8kxGo35OtWRmhBolEcfJJtI2PR8A/dWaO
+AGcsJ+ANe8uNk1r3+Ie9fdWGw2xazRk8soUtV9Q9ZNf45Caek5NSF5u8FmY28OxlB9P
veCb2R8U6Ph5YYqe+Myq8KEbOMw1PKpvKYJIL1ahqyggRjxMhIPFwZvh3rohh5p1JV4O
BrXKk6Rn/kUNqz3yzGOiyJFRNoXxWrtYjH52h80friPPnmKtl3l3nzuNtQuRe4bRV/rM
p2YzLN/KYGFBCMUsILFq8k8FzFpS1MC/vAfyKdCET0wjKMIIxotfdNLqbkOWy1UTSIec
hIPQ==
X-Gm-Message-State:
AE9vXwMvVKJtWLzhRLTniRSOOW6wXVMzWOzXK1Kir7bI7Zx2tFBR2bLQsG3tJakIsmgrnNAPwzn9mY+EWIoT9S
+OX5fs0ygQWznyU0gOWA==

X-Received: by 10.36.95.202 with SMTP id r193mr29995124itb.48.1472616806622;

Tue, 30 Aug 2016 21:13:26 -0700 (PDT)

To: rohanamc@hotmial.com

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Date: Tue, 30 Aug 2016 21:13:26 -0700

Message-ID: <CAPDJuC+=pwvx93wMZPHV1CbZ_X6fkoo4UL0cbNqKESdAmbrDxw@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Sorry I missed you! Re: The environmental threats of transporting crude oil by-rail

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: inline

Precedence: bulk

X-Autoreply: yes

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

----- End of message -----

Delivery Status Notification (Delay)

4 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 23:10:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mail Delivery Subsystem
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e94e4d98b2c3c

This is an automatically generated Delivery Status Notification

THIS IS A WARNING MESSAGE ONLY.

YOU DO NOT NEED TO RESEND YOUR MESSAGE.

Delivery to the following recipient has been delayed:

rohanamc@hotmial.com

Message will be retried for 0 more day(s)

Technical details of temporary failure:

The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect. Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/answer/7720

[hotmial.com 64.99.64.37: socket error]

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=co-wasco-or-us.20150623.gappssmtp.com; s=20150623;

h=to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version

:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence

:auto-submitted;

bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;

b=E5lEARLj+n8FY8zgbagG2w9norO1tpWRWOYtp6VlobDT4uRwQ992pGWt4zyHZudurk

3NQ37VvFEhtU1mtiF2rc79ork/D+YN+b4H67mjxB1WDSHIPpJuX7BHdglo4mqada/N+O

BolV1xkC7tVWZn3/qAXQpi2DTcEzeiCKSsUnuyYq2BXYqrPVZxsCTtTZeAVOQvEW0ewu

/fypaVL+Go/91rwmRID7U+k0Ms7WbypFiQ/3xrsolbt5XfK327o8Bmb5SiouR1yf96u5

y7YmgY8T+AvaE47+XHz07zXU+QS/P+SFWZ5rb3c0Rfd2XeUG2p2leBUsnqdkhkhd5im3

3eYw==

X-Google-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=1e100.net; s=20130820;

h=x-gm-message-state:to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version

:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence

:auto-submitted;

bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;

b=d/xNlcNzSxvhkJxRnRWayuDzOlk6rm+8hW6JxyIrSjDc9+P2QQTe4b+tq3L3cpIbz4

+QkYvATD3GpK7KFC3yJs2CvHEohM5NjVUSNOxq5uBPg19FxW9LY/K4n9GtGJ97IkwgsL

QjEau5GNO0wKse7ra+HPfuilyvG94+fPrlV2S8d0uJsOZxah2dznOiG38/7wJJ8UUdR8

mQKwcFgx7vaT9YsOkL8RyFJUPJpX19M+3PDSjSAWjlqHHCILWOQWCARBVAFhFnADrWWr

ix5q3G28KqM7gsd2o/Uh7JGMq/LJHHyHPP86hCawtQqhCTOlQ/2LPvpHvp5guk0cXjM9

C5gQ==

X-Gm-Message-State:
AE9vXwPV4XMtstpPuw0KAy5w1I3Ky1E1kMyjVMk6+oqkrrgawQMluL2axqiIlsc7OW5lOellx67v5v5X/lje+ZeKLUNH9
SvaVt6mGdaxNw==

X-Received: by 10.36.95.202 with SMTP id r193mr29995124itb.48.1472616806622;

Tue, 30 Aug 2016 21:13:26 -0700 (PDT)

To: rohanamc@hotmial.com

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Date: Tue, 30 Aug 2016 21:13:26 -0700

Message-ID: <CAPDJuC+=pwvx93wMZPHV1CbZ_X6fkoo4UL0cbNqKESdAmbrDxw@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Sorry I missed you! Re: The environmental threats of transporting crude oil by-rail

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: inline

Precedence: bulk

X-Autoreply: yes

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

----- End of message -----

Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

4 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 02:29:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mail Delivery Subsystem
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ef2b0224a53cf

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

rohanamc@hotmial.com

Technical details of permanent failure:

The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect. Learn more at
https://support.google.com/mail/answer/7720

[hotmial.com 64.99.64.37: socket error]

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=co-wasco-or-us.20150623.gappssmtp.com; s=20150623;

h=to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version

:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence

:auto-submitted;

bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;

b=E5IEARLj+n8FY8zgbagG2w9norO1tpWRWOYtp6VIobDT4uRwQ992pGWt4zyHZudurk

3NQ37VvFEhtU1mtiF2rc79ork/D+YN+b4H67mjxB1WDSHIPpJuX7BHdglo4mqada/N+O

BolV1xkC7tVWZn3/qAXQpi2DTcEzeiCKSsUnuyYq2BXYqrPVZxsCTtTZeAVOQvEW0ewu

/fypaVL+Go/91rwmRID7U+k0Ms7WbypFiQ/3xrsolbt5XfK327o8Bmb5SiouR1yf96u5

y7YmgY8T+AvaE47+XHz07zXU+QS/P+SFWZ5rb3c0Rfd2XeUG2p2IeBUsnqdkhkhd5im3

3eYw==

X-Google-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=1e100.net; s=20130820;

h=x-gm-message-state:to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version

:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence

:auto-submitted;

bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;

b=I34fzdO35o7pCJpg4jwj1tb/IFysmod/Oc5UzcHOSZ88xI5DhQF/VcnNfbEbBKGdK0

dtsx1tm3z0WDLTIxmhbE21Jm92U+zMhvm9Mqzh7MFTyv8bmneOv6tU9xg4iEgG17m1kb

zb3y/NF+cf6AP0lWSzaLMbhAI2c83PeH+LWU3zIDPDLOklr6DgX23hh9ixnEbI1J9HCo

bm9cc/zekaR50U+soD7xFpxxx8I8WwdCvQS5tPjqZOtbRxi8tYHxEq09HIkx9ZaiquhP

Oz2J55rfDqGggfJmWHKw5vArAIwQ5BXDpyUGdvaY8P7jVKSSqlh1s3FDBP2ZwHx9JQYW

Ha3A==

X-Gm-Message-State:
AE9vXwNI6Opf0ezNP6UBcNE0DFHAn2D9Uj3ndLyB3L3ICp+MUcFeX43obXqyg8EGTxp0fct3I2pUKloQoO97GLBJi
nIcjo4ph6imSwnQSw==

X-Received: by 10.36.95.202 with SMTP id r193mr29995124itb.48.1472616806622;

Tue, 30 Aug 2016 21:13:26 -0700 (PDT)

To: rohanamc@hotmial.com

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Date: Tue, 30 Aug 2016 21:13:26 -0700

Message-ID: <CAPDJuC+=pwvx93wMZPHV1CbZ_X6fkoo4UL0cbNqKESdAmbrDxw@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: Sorry I missed you! Re: The environmental threats of transporting crude oil by-rail

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: inline

Precedence: bulk

X-Autoreply: yes

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

----- End of message -----

Rail tracks not maintained - stop trains carrying oil from traveling on them

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 01:15:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rebecca Bierbaum
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eee75bb93f747

Rebecca Bierbaum

3719 Horn Ave.

Alton, IL 62002

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Bierbaum

Rebecca Bierbaum

NO oil on Railroad Cars

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 00:05:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jancie Rose
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eea7326ff03f6

Jancie Rose

20367 S. Hwy. 211

Colton, OR 97017

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Janice Rose

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 23:35:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom Fitzpatrick
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ee8bb048557a0

Tom Fitzpatrick

550 north Orlando avenue

West Hollywood, CA 90048

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tom Fitzpatrick

---

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 23:15:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: terry poulson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ee795d328343a

terry poulson

22120 switzer

defiance, OH 43512

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

terry poulson

terry poulson

No more rail tracks in the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 21:55:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Ferm

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ee3005dfed851

Mary Ferm

111 Sadie Lane

Bainbridge Is, WA 98110

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

My family has known personal loss because of a railroad accident in the Gorge. My grandmother's brother was killed at the age of 28 in January 1906 in an accident on the Great Northern Railroad line a short distance east of Rock Island. As this was before I was born, I never had a chance to get to know him.

But this would pale in comparison to a accident with an oil train now, when trains are so much longer, are filled with toxic and combustible fuel, and run through areas with much greater populations.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Ferm

There should be no more oil trains in the Columbia River area

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 20:45:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: sue Geurkink
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156edefdd15bb4bd

sue Geurkink

22095 Glasgow Avenue

Tomah, WI 54660

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sue Geurkink

Sue Geurkink

Oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 20:35:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas Tilque
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ede6b1f4aa7b0

Thomas Tilque

6323 Robin Hood St SE

Salem, OR 97306

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Tilque

---

public safety

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 19:55:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tamara Patrick
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156edc20266cf805

Tamara Patrick

3910 NE Klickitat St.

Portland, OR 97212

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tamara Patrick

---

propose rail expansion in Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 18:24:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Leighton Hazlehurst
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ed6ea9ef5511f

To whom it may concern. We should NOT allow a proposed rail expansion that wojuld allow double tracks to be constructed in Mosier in order to send more and longer trains at higher speeds through Mosier. After what has happened in Mosier with the derailment, everone knows that any expansion could lead to an even larger disaster. Say NO to the expansion.\\

Leighton Hazlehurst
Hood River

Leighton Hazlehurst
phazle@earthlink.net

no new tracks to increase rail traffic and accidents!

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 18:05:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Satya Vayu
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ed5d2a347dfc6

Satya Vayu

6608 SE 81st Ave

Portland, OR 97206

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Satya Vayu

Railroad Safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patty Bonney
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02ff67448e4

Patty Bonney

8625 S W Oleson Road

Portland, OR 97223

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patty Bonney

Stop the new track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Raymond Gettins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02ff5874643

Raymond Gettins

218 Worthington Ave

Wyoming, OH 45215

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Raymond Gettins

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Haslag
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02fedd74745

Robert Haslag

10513 Bryant Rd.

Centertown, MO 65023

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert Haslag

Robert Haslag

Please protect Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Reinke
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02fde37570e

John Reinke

9350 Red-Wood Rd #B212

Redmond, WA 98052

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Reinke

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carolyn Barrett
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02fcc721a8c

Carolyn Barrett

1501 Parkside Ave

Ewing, NJ 08638

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank You

Carolyn Barrett

Public safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Greg Meyer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02facdc1a8a

Greg Meyer

5704 Potomac

St. Louis, MO 63139

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Greg Meyer

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Nicodemus
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02fa1f3a776

Sharon Nicodemus

2710 Danube Dr.

Sacramento, CA 95821

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Nicodemus

Oil Trains in Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Suzanne Geraci
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f825c5c73

Suzanne Geraci

1485 Taft St.

Eugene, OR 97402-1620

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Suzanne Geraci

Consider the Union Pacific track record for safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Daniel Giesy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f7c57315a

Daniel Giesy

4706 Chestnut Fork Road

Gloucester, VA 23061

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Daniel Giesy

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Gabriel

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f724d669d

Robert Gabriel

3125C 36th Ave NE

Olympia, WA 98506

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Tank you for your consideration,

Robert Gabriel

Oil Train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lauren Thompson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f6278e386

Lauren Thompson

13229 Clairmont Way

Oregon City, OR 97045

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

SIncerely.

Lauren Thompson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anton Feokhari
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f5df94f23

Anton Feokhari

1675 E 18 St

Brooklyn, NY 11229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Anton Feokhari

No more trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laura Newton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f4411944b

Laura Newton

37894 Melrose Dr.

Cathedral City, CA 92234

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laura Newton

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anna Shaughnessy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f3f854c44

Anna Shaughnessy

2529 State Route 534

Geneva, OH 44041

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Anna Shaughnessy

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janet Handford
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f3e6c8b4a

Janet Handford

55 Kristee Circle

West Warwick, RI 02893

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Janet Handford

Janet Handford

Mosier Rail Track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:32:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Kellermeyer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de02f1370c830

John Kellermeyer

1732 Bostwick Road

Columbus, OH 43227

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Kellermeyer

John Kellermeyer

Much too risky!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ronald Lemmert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9cc9db736b

Ronald Lemmert

143 Lafayette Place

Peekskill, NY 10566

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald Lemmert

Ronald Lemmert

Application Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Chip Henneman

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9cc1f88c51

Chip Henneman

3117 Orson F Dr

Layton, UT 84040

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chip Henneman

Negative Enviromental Impact of Oil Trains On the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "W. Clark"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9cb761c289

W. Clark

1550 Augusta Street

Lynchburg, VA 24501

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

W. Clark

Consumers safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheryl-Ann Weekes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9cb5b8042c

Cheryl-Ann Weekes

94 Rosseter Street

Dorchester, MA 02121

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Cheryl-Ann Weekes

Cheryl-Ann Weekes

trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: michele lewis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9caf54a0d6

michele lewis

150 w sunnyside st

houston, TX 77076

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

michele lewis

Please deny Union Pacific's request to expand in Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Jean Avery
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c9a25a178

Jean Avery

13314 SE 19th St.

Vancouver, WA 98683

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment.

The railroad is relentless -- to be so brash as to expand tracks through Mosier after the recent derailment. We need less train traffic, not more.

As one of many hikers in the Gorge, we believe its natural beauty should be protected.

The residents of the towns in the Gorge also need to be protected -- both on the Oregon side and the Washington side of the Columbia River.

Please deny Union Pacific's request to expand rail line capacity.

Sincerely,

Jean M. Avery

Environmental

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Connie Zerger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c90dff273

Connie Zerger

6026 SW 27th

Topeka, KS 66614-5447

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Connie Zerger

Connie Zerger

No More Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robin Patten
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c8b9a5f1c

Robin Patten

4301 S Bryant Ave

Oklahoma City, OK 73115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robin Patten

Stand Up to Oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julene Weaver
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c853421f6

Julene Weaver

5201 22nd Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98105

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julene Weaver

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: frank belcastro
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c6bd995bc

frank belcastro

285 north grandview avenue

dubuque, IA 52001-6327

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

frank belcastro

Union Pacific Rail Expansion near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Oleg Varanitsa
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c69db0ad1

Oleg Varanitsa

84th

Redmond, WA 98052

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Oleg Varanitsa

Comment Letter on Tesoro Savage DEIS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Wayne Andrews
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c6382018e

Wayne Andrews

472 S. Gilbert St., Apt H

Castle Rock, CO 80104

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Wayne Andrews

Save the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: JOSEPH LITE
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c5a79f92f

JOSEPH LITE

1773 KING AVENUE

DAYTON, OH 45420-2444

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

JOSEPH LITE

BLM Federal Coal Leasing PEIS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Suzanne Wooldridge
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c4779199c

Suzanne Wooldridge

292 Poorhouse Rd

Gravel Switch, KY 40328-9617

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Suzanne Wooldridge

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: nina clausen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c4637c1e6

nina clausen

new street

new york, NY 13625

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

nina clausen

nina clausen

Stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeff Hibbard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c36b0984a

Jeff Hibbard

303 Harrison Hill Road

Swannanoa, NC 28778

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeff Hibbard

National public lands

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Katherine Hutchins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c2bde2838

Katherine Hutchins

19426 N 36th Place

Phoenix, AZ 85050

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Katherine Hutchins

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Timothy McLaughlin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c277dd364

Timothy McLaughlin

38 Braley Lane, Unit B

Plymouth, MA 02360

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Timothy J. McLaughlin

Timothy J. McLaughlin

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Franken
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c1ea01ab6

Richard Franken

3038 Irvington Way

Madison, WI 53713

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard Franken

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Root
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c1b605bc6

Barbara Root

564 Hummingbird Court

Merced, CA 95340

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Barbara Root

Barbara Root

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sarah Sercombe
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9c0b4a3a5d

Sarah Sercombe

403 Charlotte

Royal Oal, MI 48073

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sarah Sercombe

Sarah Sercombe

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:22:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Claire Garden
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf9bc6f243df

Claire Garden

1404 Gary

Columbia, MO 65203

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Claire Garden

No More Oil Trains Through Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kristen Witt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09ea5fb47b

Kristen Witt

2095 Autumn Fields Lane

Draper, UT 84020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kristen

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: paul potts
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09d16af3c2

paul potts

1720

raymond, WA 98577

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

paul potts

Say no to oil by rail through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Jeffrey White
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09ce32239f

Jeffrey White

42852 SW Dudney Avenue

Forest Grove, OR 97116

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. White

Jeffrey A. White

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bob Weinstein
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09c58a9388

Bob Weinstein

452 N Alexander St

Monongahela, PA 15063-2545

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bob Weinstein

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Veronica Z
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09bb6f3b1e

Veronica Z

Florence

Florence, OR 97439

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Veronica Z

Crude oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Wilson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09b6efd748

Patricia Wilson

642 McCoys Ford Road

Front Royal, VA 22630

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Patricia Wilson

Patricia Wilson

No more oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sherry Salomon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf08f0169d85

Sherry Salomon

1000 SW Vista Ave apt. 807

Portland, OR 97205

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sherry Salomon

No more oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sherry Salomon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf099f4c32b8

Sherry Salomon

1000 SW Vista Ave apt. 807

Portland, OR 97205

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sherry Salomon

Less, not more, oil by rail!! Don't give in to Union Pacific!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maradel Gale
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf098a4a7a20

Maradel Gale

239 Parfitt Way SW

Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Maradel Gale

Maradel Gale

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Bush
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf097a148079

Elizabeth Bush

4941 Dupont Ave. N.

Minneapolis, MN 55430

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bush

Elizabeth Bush

Please protect wildlife and their habitat

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lacey Levitt

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf096420f687

Lacey Levitt

4811 Orcutt Ave

San Diego, CA 92120

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lacey Levitt

Columbia Gorge oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anja Kollbach
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf0953091d64

Anja Kollbach

2180 Camino de los Robles

Menlo Park, CA 94025

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Anja Kollbach

Anja Kollbach

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "M. G. Lind"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09470c260f

M. G. Lind

POB 1063

Vancouver, WA 98666

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

M. G. Lind

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bernadette Belcastro
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf0941d922ac

Bernadette Belcastro

68 Crocus Ave.

Floral Park, NY 11001-2640

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bernadette Belcastro

Railroad Oil Transport

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Raymond Litzsinger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf093efa5c50

Raymond Litzsinger

1159 Gross Avenue

Green Bay, WI 54304-2555

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I share the concerns of the Stand Up To Oil organization. I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

R C Litzsinger

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: M Port
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf093b83e603

M Port

Duomo Cir

Boynton Beach, FL 33472

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

M Port

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Brown
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf09285c844f

Robert Brown

1443 Edwards Avenue

Fircrest, WA 98466

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Brown

Prevent bad train tracks; prevent bad fires

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: fiona barrett
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf091c3b0fc7

fiona barrett

17625 44th ave w

lynnwood, WA 98037

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Fiona Barrett

Train tracks along the Columbia River to transport coal and oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: georgia locker

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf0912594ea9

georgia locker

713 Duke Square

Fort Collis, CO 80525

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Georgia Locker

Georgia Locker

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Browning
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf0906d7b622

John Browning

2815 West Elm

Denison, TX 75020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David

Oil trains must go!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joan Cole
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf08f99f487a

Joan Cole

1411 Corona Street

Port Townsend, WA 98368

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joan Cole

No more oil trains in the CR Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Deborah Pedersen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf08d106f722

Deborah Pedersen

13154 SE 197th Ave

Damascus, OR 97089

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Deborah Pedersen

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain it's tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julie Skelton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf08ced59275

Julie Skelton

40900 Bemis rd

Belleville, MI 48111

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julie Skelton

Union Pacific Railroad's application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: "Robert B. Kaplan"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf08bddfab3f

Robert B. Kaplan

PO Box 577

Port Angeles, WA 98362

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert B. Kaplan

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jean Wiant
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf08b1e7ce4c

Jean Wiant

117 E Oak Ln

Glenolden, PA 19036

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jean Wiant

Jean Wiant

Union Pacific Railroad Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Toni Romei
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf088f92ab7e

Toni Romei

11375 S. W. Erste Place

Tigard, OR 97223

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Toni Romei

No New Rail Track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:12:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laurel Hughes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddf088318074e

Laurel Hughes

18400 52nd Ave W

Lynnwood, WA 98037

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Laurel Hughes

Laurel Hughes

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jennifer Cohen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde762a3eabec

Jennifer Cohen

2604 Jessica Ln, Apt 505

Schaumburg, IL 60173-5739

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jennifer Cohen

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Plubell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde761847f6f8

Susan Plubell

9392 Clearfield Curwensville Hwy

Clearfield, PA 16830

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Plubell

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Hanger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde760a654113

James Hanger

S-350 Wadleigh Road

Reedsburg, WI 53959-8826

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

james hanger

james hanger

New rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alice Evans
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde7601669709

Alice Evans

2455 127th Ave. NE

Bellevue, WA 98005

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

It is high time to move away from oil and coal. adding more fuel to our fast increasing cimate change makes no sense.

Alice B. Evans

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Enevoldsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75633e87f9

David Enevoldsen

1251 Fleming Ave

San Jose, CA 95127

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge. All these plans are a giant backwards step in the quest to prevent global warming and stop the ever worsening contamination of our one and only home...planet Earth!

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Enevoldsen

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Enevoldsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde7600709528

David Enevoldsen

1251 Fleming Ave

San Jose, CA 95127

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge. All these plans are a giant backwards step in the quest to prevent global warming and stop the ever worsening contamination of our one and only home...planet Earth!

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Enevoldsen

No oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kate Anderson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75fcd68b19

Kate Anderson

11155 N Falkirk St

Hayden, ID 83835

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kate Anderson, PhD

Union Pacific railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vickie Sutherland
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75f7e94747

Vickie Sutherland

1237 N. 685 W.

Orem, UT 84057

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Vickie Sutherland

No oil train thru Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Sim
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75eabc5c1a

Barbara Sim

5125 NE Latimer

Seattle, WA 98105

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara A Sim

No oil train thru Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Sim
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75f70ef61c

Barbara Sim

5125 NE Latimer

Seattle, WA 98105

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the Federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara A Sim

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Wayne Harris
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75f6920945

Wayne Harris

49th AVE E

Bradenton, FL 34203

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe. Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Wayne Harris

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Wesley Banks
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75e914c575

Wesley Banks

P.O. Box 823234

Vancouver, WA 98682-0067

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Wesley Banks

No More Oil Trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas Wolslegel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75d36b7e57

Thomas Wolslegel

321 Prospect Street

East Longmeadow, MA 01028

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Thomas Wolslegel

Please do not allow the proposed new rail tracks near Moiser. I was arrested in Vancouver WA sitting on the rail raod tracks to stop the oil trains. My great grandclidren deserve a future!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Troy Horton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75d2f92f49

Troy Horton

71257 Northshore Dr

Clatskanie, OR 97016

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Troy Horton

No new oil trains near Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brie Gyncild
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75d2965938

Brie Gyncild

1407 15th Ave

Seattle, WA 98122-4117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brie Gyncild

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jared Cornelia
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75c22f7daf

Jared Cornelia

125 Denn Place

Wilmington, DE 19804

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jared Cornelia

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Connie Allison
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75b689610c

Connie Allison

147 Sheridan Park

Geneva, NY 14456

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Connie Allison

Ecological safety for Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tess Morgan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75a9816ff9

Tess Morgan

4701 sw admiral wy 71

Seattle, WA 98116-2340

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

T

Safety and Ecology

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Tess Morgan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde7512be5848

Tess Morgan

4701 sw admiral wy 71

Seattle, WA 98116-2340

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge.and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tess Morgan

Safety and Ecology

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tess Morgan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde753b21da24

Tess Morgan

4701 sw admiral wy 71

Seattle, WA 98116-2340

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tess Morgan

Safety and Ecology

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tess Morgan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75a496bc09

Tess Morgan

4701 sw admiral wy 71

Seattle, WA 98116-2340

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tess Morgan

No more oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Varnau
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde75a2aa9e0f

David Varnau

725 driftwood lane

Edmonds, WA 98020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Varnau

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tamara Ashley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde7553ce60e5

Tamara Ashley

PO Box 1023

Mishawaka, IN 46545

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

TAMARA ASHLEY

Tamara Ashley

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rebecca Chang
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde754bce2f41

Rebecca Chang

105 N Branch River Rd.

Branchburg, NJ 08876

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rebecca Chang

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Erik LaRue
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde7540e12d77

Erik LaRue

17567 Maiben Rd.

Burlington, WA 98233-9670

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Erik LaRue

Erik LaRue

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Larry Rosenberg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde752f94bdc7

Larry Rosenberg

PO Box 6902

Tahoe City, CA 96145

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry Rosenberg

Rail Line Expansion Through Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Terry Tedesco-Kerrick

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde752cc4bf17

Terry Tedesco-Kerrick

3042 E. Squaw Peak Circle

Phoenix, AZ 85016

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Terry Tedesco-Kerrick

---

deny Union Pacific's proposal to build double track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:02:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Jill B."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dde7501f64d23

Jill B.

828 Bay St.

San Francisco, CA 94109

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jill B.

No Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bonnie Pattishall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde2baa3475f

Bonnie Pattishall

8787 Southside Blvd

Jacksonville, FL 32256

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bonnie Pattishall

No to Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Sileno
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde2af179498

Michael Sileno

1509 W. Cornwallis Drive

Greensboro, NC 27408

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Sileno

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom France
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde2a9c7162e

Tom France

13 Riverside Ave

Haverstraw, NY 10927

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tom France

Oil Train Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandy Williams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde2a3e1938f

Sandy Williams

530 S. Stewart Dr.

Covina, CA 91723

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandy Williams

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lynn Skibinski <1944maxx@roadrunner.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde2a22a5efb

Lynn Skibinski

49 Elmwood Park West

Tonawanda, NY 14150

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lynn Skibinski

Oil Trains through Columbia Gorge -- NO NO NO!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Polly Tarpley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde29d0470bd

Polly Tarpley

24585 Waghorn Rd NW

Poulsbo, WA 98370-6956

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Polly Tarpley

---

bomb train danger

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Harman

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde29cd31005

Susan Harman

4114 39th Avenue

Oakland, CA 94619

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Harman, Ed.D.

Oil Trains--No!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: robert thompson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde294d1c1a6

robert thompson

16316 alpine drive east

Enumclaw, WA 98022

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert S. Thompson

Health of The Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ken Box
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde29228c4a8

Ken Box

1117 W. 9th St.

Austin, TX 78703-4925

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ken

Please no potential environmental disasters in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Keim
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde28e799ba7

Steve Keim

12305 Mount Morris Rd

Columbiaville, MI 48421

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Keim

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andi Shotwell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde28a3ebbab

Andi Shotwell

3575 pierce

Wheat ridge, CO 80033

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andi

Angie

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Edward Jasiewicz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde27fbbd861

Edward Jasiewicz

411 Lloyd Street

Pittsburgh, PA 15208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Edward Jasiewicz

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Steve Kowal
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde27ef50b12

Steve Kowal

767 W Lois Ct

Louisville, CO 80027

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Kowal

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lisa Mazzola
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde26ce6e198

Lisa Mazzola

1723 Followthru Drive

Tampa, FL 33612-5013

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lisa Mazzola

Lisa Mazzola

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kay Randall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde26ae05cb3

Kay Randall

520 32nd Ave. S. #109

Moorhead, MN 56560

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kay Randall

Kay Randall

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Bremer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde262700de9

John Bremer

2604 Kentucky Street

Bellingham, WA 98229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Bremer

John Bremer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joe Schott
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde25d9bc4de

Joe Schott

54 Grove St.

Central City, IA 52214

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joe Schott

---

no more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: kathy kestell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde25c64bb23

kathy kestell

15611 n little spokane dr

spokane, WA 99208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

kathy kestell

Stop coal and oil trains along the Columbia River!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Priscilla Carlson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde258d6fc9c

Priscilla Carlson

2607 NE Mason Street

Portland, OR 97211-6543

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Priscilla Carlson

Opposition to Union Pacific Rail Expansion near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rhetta Alexander
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde24abb3a14

Rhetta Alexander

6903 Burnet Ave.

Van Nuys, CA 91405-3557

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rhetta Alexander

No more Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eric Hensgen

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde24360da4b

Eric Hensgen

4632 W. Lamb Ave.

Tampa, FL 33629

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Eric Hensgen

Eric Hensgen

Deadly oil train traffic in the Columbia Gorge along the Columbia River must be stopped. The potential for disaster due to derailment is devastating.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: G Joan Jarvis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde23a644c4e

G Joan Jarvis

14614 SW Grayling Lane

Beaverton, OR 97007

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

G Joan Jarvis

No New Tracks near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Adele Kapp
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde23701d79c

Adele Kapp

849 Coast Blvd

La Jolla, CA 92730

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant to ga

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Adele Kapp

Oil Train Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lori Dick
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde2368d5b9a

Lori Dick

4378 Via Padova

Claremont, CA 91711

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lori Dick

NO MORE EXPLODING OIL TRAINS IN THE COLOMBIA GORGE OR ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE NORTHWEST!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeff Tatom
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde215dfe109

Jeff Tatom

333 SE 8th St

Grants Pass, OR 97526

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

THE POTENTIAL DANGER TO CITIZENS AND THE ENVIRONMENT ARE NOT WORTH THE RISK, JUST FOR GREEDY BILLIONAIRES AND OIL COMPANIES TO ADD TO THEIR RICHES!

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeff Tatom

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Ploger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde215b70a1c

James Ploger

1909 S Charles St

Seattle, WA 98144

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

James Ploger

Put the safety of Oregonians first

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Don Wiltsie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1fed18359

Don Wiltsie

237A Partition St

Saugerties, NY 12477

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Don Wiltsie

No new tracks on the Columbia, please.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Josh Bodine
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1fa695d43

Josh Bodine

794 Barcelona Drivve

Fremont, CA 94536

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Josh Bodine

Josh Bodine

Oil Trains through Columba River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eve Shapiro
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1f5eabbb0

Eve Shapiro

5373 N Via Alcalde

Tucson, AZ 85718

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eve Shapiro

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Helga Burkhardt

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1dcdf0396

Helga Burkhardt

1453 childs rd

White salmon, WA 98672

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Helga burkhardt

Please don't let oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patty Haley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1d6d3e3b3

Patty Haley

1919 Doe Run Dr

Mt Sterling, KY 40353

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patty Haley

No Oil Trains In The Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steven Cooper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1d38023f5

Steven Cooper

375 NE Shaver St.

Portland, OR 97212-1078

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steven M. Cooper

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Alan Harper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1c7eb88dc

Alan Harper

3330 warner rd

richmond, VA 23225

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Alan Harper

Alan Harper

Union Pacific RR application to construct new rail track near Mosier< OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Hurst
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde1a225658e

George Hurst

347 Hillside Avenue

Springfield, NJ 07081-3239

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

George Hurst

Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eva Zucker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde19e3446cf

Eva Zucker

749 West End Av

New York, NY 10025

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Eva Zucker

Eva Zucker

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:52:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jack Coombes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddde18f5ab434

Jack Coombes

500 N St

Sacramento, CA 95814

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jack Coombes

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Shelby Hood
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f6fdc4b94

Shelby Hood

500 Alexander

Franklin, TN 37064

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Shelby L. Hood

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bruce Burns
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f671ee977

Bruce Burns

9200 North Plaza #2810

Austin, TX 78753

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Bruce Burns

Bruce Burns

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen La Serra
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f48e7e0ff

Stephen La Serra

52 High St.

Stoneham, MA 02180

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephen La Serra

Proposed new rail tracks in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Celeste Howard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f3f74bc41

Celeste Howard

6525 NE Deer Run St

Hillsboro, OR 97124

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Celeste M Howard

New Rail Tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ronald Sverdlove

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f3b777f41

Ronald Sverdlove

52 Hartley Avenue

Princeton, NJ 08540

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald Sverdlove

Ronald Sverdlove

No to Columbia Gorge Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marion Corbin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f35189b34

Marion Corbin

9 Woods Road

Rhinebeck, NY 12572

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marion Corbin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kate Harder
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f1e87202c

Kate Harder

1N186 Main Street

Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kate Harder

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sabina Astete
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f1119c708

Sabina Astete

8249 Corliss Avenue North

Seattle, WA 98103

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sabina Astete, PhD

Deny Union Pacific's Application/Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mike ZOTTER
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f0b77bcf9

Mike ZOTTER

1038 S. E. 29th Ave.

Portland, OR 97214

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am opposed to Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mike Zotter

Deny Union Pacific rail expansion for oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Farnham
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f06d6d9c7

Carol Farnham

6359 18th Ave SW

Seattle, WA 98106

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carol Farnham

No Expansion of Rail until Oil Trains Stop in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Ardner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4f062124a8

John Ardner

2636 SW Eastwood Ave

Gresham, OR 97080-9477

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Ardner

Add another heartfelt plea to kill the oil bomb trains!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Lipsey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4efd8ff4d3

Steve Lipsey

1536 SE Jackson Park Rd.

Troutdale, OR 97060

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Lipsey

Oil trains traveling along the Columbia river

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Winstanley

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4ef03ff850

William Winstanley

4018 Squilchuck Rd.

Wenatchee, WA 98801

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

William Winstanley

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Plimpton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4edf631d0f

David Plimpton

1000 Sawyer Road

Cape Elizabeth, ME 04107

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Plimpton

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Deborah Dobson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4ed432a493

Deborah Dobson

32 Main St.

Hendersonville, NC 28792-4586

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Deborah Dobson

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Douglass
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4eb816c786

Sharon Douglass

129 E 4th St #4C

New York, NY 10003

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Douglass

No UP double track!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Paul Brown
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4eb72377b0

Paul Brown

8822 5th Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Paul Brown

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Giesler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4eb3b43170

John Giesler

17804 39 PL W

Lynnwood, WY 98037

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Giesler

Upholding the Lummi Nation's treaty rights

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Antoinette Nordan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4eb30b37ab

Antoinette Nordan

2907 Virginia Road

Birmingham, AL 35223

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Antoinette Nordan

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: chris brazis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e99e2fa2e

chris brazis

10 appleton ave

sf, CA 94110

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

chris brazis

No oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Blumenthal
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e8c2afbb9

Robert Blumenthal

2812 NE 62nd St

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Blumenthal

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Martin J. Velez"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e8af39d9a

Martin J. Velez

6118 NE 32nd Ave.

Portland, OR 97211

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Martin J. Velez

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donald Lahti
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e78834775

Donald Lahti

91 E. Soderberg Rd #9

Allyn, WA 98524

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donald and Diane Lahti

Enviroment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David McKeever
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e724e2a01

David McKeever

2523 Brewster Avenue

Redwood City, CA 94062

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David McKeever

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gordon Wood

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e69cee5a7

Gordon Wood

906 Lake Washington Blvd South

Seattle, WA 98144

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gordon Wood

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Clayton Burford
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e5c55e118

Clayton Burford

5277 Todd Ct N

Keizer, OR 97303

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Clayton Burford

Columbia River Coal and Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Graney
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e43141575

Patricia Graney

1135 Yakima Ave S

Seattle, WA 98144

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Pat Graney

No More Oil Trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marcia Hoodwin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e3cefe235

Marcia Hoodwin

8236 Shadow Pine Way

Sarasota, FL 34238

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marcia Hoodwin

Goal: Zero oil trains next to the river; so zero new tracks are needed.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Chris Arthur
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e3a9a5a34

Chris Arthur

3343 NE 18th Ave

Portland, OR 97212

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chris Arthur

---

fossil fuel

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: L Glasner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e14c87374

L Glasner

27 W 96 st

NY, NY 10025

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

L. Glasner

L. Glasner

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jarrett Cloud
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e14b873dc

Jarrett Cloud

79 Central Avenue Fl 1

Madison, NJ 07940-1624

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jarrett Cloud

OIL TRAIN DESTRUCTION OF COLUMBIA RIVER AND IS TOWNS IN THE COLUMBIA

PO2-2127

GORGE!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael O'Neill
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddd4e0193f542

Michael O'Neill

10018 14th Ave. E.

Tacoma, WA 98445-3938

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This

demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael O'Neill

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:42:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Greg Allbee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

CC:
ID: 156ddd4df0e28892

Greg Allbee

4028 Westmont Court

Bedford, TX 76021

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through

some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Greg Allbee

Please protect us!

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Derya Ruggles
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbbae25fc20

Derya Ruggles

4121 E 16th St

Vancouver, WA 98661

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Derya Ruggles

Please protect us!

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Derya Ruggles
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbbbd292e62

Derya Ruggles

4121 E 16th St

Vancouver, WA 98661

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Derya Ruggles

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul McCullough
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbba197a9b4

Paul McCullough

2765 S gladwin

Prudenville, MI 48651

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Paul McCullough

Paul McCullough

no more coal

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 22:02:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Jackson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15702cf8efbe5592

Heather Jackson

1333 Oceano dr

Grayland, WA 80301

September 7, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This

demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Heather Marie

---

no more coal

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 22:02:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Jackson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

CC:
ID: 15702cf8facacb3b

Heather Jackson

1333 Oceano dr

Grayland, WA 80301

September 7, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through

some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Heather Marie

Do NOT Expand the Rails Near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 21:42:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Pfeiler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15702bd385e18070

Nancy Pfeiler

448 Sunwood Dr NW

Salem, OR 97304

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

PC 2-2-1241

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Nancy Pfeiler

Nancy Pfeiler

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 21:12:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Leo E Johnaon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15702a1b90ffc085

Leo E Johnaon

7875 Highland Ave

Citrus Heights, CA 95610-1145

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Leo E Johnson

Union Pacific Track Expansion Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 21:09:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hugh McMahan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157029efa313106a

To: Angie Brewer, Planning Director, Wasco County; the Wasco County Planning Commission

From: Hugh and Linda McMahan
3953 Herriot Drive
Mount Hood, Oregon 97041

Dear Planning Commmissioners:

Although we are not residents of Wasco County, we do reside in neighboring Hood River County. Were Wasco County to approve the Union Pacific track expansion project, there could obviously be deleterious impacts far beyond Mosier running up and down the Columbia River Gorge, both acutely and chronically. Just as it did for the town of Mosier, the derailment and fire last June got our attention! Never was "It's not if, but when" more apt!

To save time, yours and ours, we will not reiterate the detailed testimony you will undoubtedly receive from Friends of the Columbia Gorge and many others. We have reviewed 21 bullet points provided by the Hood River Valley Residents Committee and the Friends of the Columbia Gorge (we are members of both organizations) regarding the threat of crude oil trains, impacts to the Gorge, impacts of potentially dramatically increased rail traffic and the points regarding the need for further review.

We therefore emphatically request that the Wasco County Planning Commission **DENY** this track expansion project. Aside from the direct and indirect impacts and the potential impacts, the era of profit driven corporations riding rough-shod over individuals, communities, habitats and regions must end if we all are to survive. Saying "NO" to this project is a necessary step.

Thank you for the opportunity to express our concerns.

No more oil trains in the Columbia River Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 20:52:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Josie peper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157028f621ac7c86

Josie peper

5276 Ash

Astoria, OR 97103

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Josie peper

railroad sidings

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 20:35:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: t_norgren@riseup.net
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15702807b9ac8acd

Hi Angie, and all involved,

My name's Tim Norgren and I lived in The Dalles for several years. Two years ago my wife and I moved to Stevenson to be closer to the majority of my work (through Laborers local 737), but I maintain ties to the community as well as friends in Mosier and Hood River. I regret my twelve hour work day has kept me from being able to attend the hearing on short notice, but I thought I'd at least drop you a note.

I deeply hope you've denied UP's proposal outright!

I watched what happened in Mosier and the way they traumatized residents and polluted their home, then manipulated them to toe their line simply so they could get the cleanup done with some hope that it would be adequate and without it being drug out for years, while immediately pushing to resume their volatile hauls of explosives and toxins through the National Scenic Area we happen to call home.. and that without even having outside investigation (they immediately "cleaned up" the evidence) into what happened or how to prevent it from happening again. IT WAS DISGUSTING!!! And now they come to you, to our community, to Mosier, and ask for more.

Companies can and do say a lot about safety.. they make promises s to what will happen on projects, as well as what will be stored at those sidings. But can they guarantee they're not storing more dangerous or explosive freight right next to other tracks where derailments and explosions are likely to happen again? Can we enforce it if so? Does their track record show them to be trustworthy? Will they pay for the damage that comes with such wildfires and spills? Can they remove cancers or bring the dead back to life? And if it interferes with profits would they bother if they could?

I work in construction and have seen the realities behind lofty safety and spill prevention promises. On one job I worked for a William's pipeline I saw two hydraulic spills just covered up and also watched as likely hundreds of gallons of diesel escaped from a fuel truck into an "organic" farmer's field because of a stuck valve. We did everything we did to stop and contain it, but in the end there was no inspector close by so it was simply bulldozed over and left unreported. I regret my part in that to this day but it happens all the time with big companies. Please Don't trust it, and deny the permits! I hope I'm not to late for this note to matter. Thanks for reading it.

Sincerely, Tim Norgren 740-817-3101

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 20:02:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Corielle Riddell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15702618efd831d2

Corielle Riddell

1724 Richmond Ave.

Columbus, OH 43203

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Corielle Riddell

Corielle Riddell

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 19:42:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maryann Haller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157024f3b93ded6d

Maryann Haller

1617 S Tulip Street

Escondido, CA 92025

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maryann Haller

Mosier Rail Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 15:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robbie Moller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15701e7445997eb6

Angie Brewer
Wasco Planning Director

Dear Ms. Brewer,

I am encouraging you to deem Union Pacific's request to be **reviewed as a new development**; considering the size and potential impact on the community of Mosier and the surrounding environment. Regardless of whether it is an individual or entity that owns property the public interest for health and safety should not be subservient to land ownership! As an individual property owner I am required by many city ordinances to not harm, abuse or otherwise take advantage of my neighbors/community or develop my property for financial gain outside the scope of the city statutes. Consequently, Union Pacific as a property owner should be held to the same standards of public safety and health that I am required to follow as a property owner.

Again I strongly urge you to deny Union Pacific's request for an expansion as it really is a new project and **most importantly,** it violates the responsibility that all property owners have to the local communities as regulated by statutes governing property ownership regarding public health, safety and protection of the environment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robbie Moller

Mosier Rail Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 16:36:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maggie Roediger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15701c43ac022533

We've chosen to live in hood River because quality of life matter to our family. Let's keep our community safe by saying no to oil trains!!!

Maggie Roediger

Sent from my iPhone

---

rail track expansion in Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 16:44:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Staten
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15701ac77e892597

Dear Angie--

Please find attached our comments on Union Pacific's proposed track expansions.

Heather Staten
Executive Director
Hood River Valley Residents Committee
PO Box 1544
Hood River, OR 97031
(541) 490-5225

"Protecting the place you love."
1 Attachments:
Rail Track Application 9.6.16.pdf

Stop Union Pacific expansion along Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 16:28:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Teneau
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157019e2275ce423

Oppose expansion of Union Pacific train tracks at Mosier

SUPPORTING RATIONALE

1. Union Pacific's notoriously large number of serious safety violations in the past and continuing into the present and its seeming immunity to improvement.

2. The record of industry oil by rail disasters, unsafe cars, etc.

3. An understated daily increases in rail traffic (by UP) the track expansion will facilitate with the following consequences:

_ Additional safety threat the expansion poses for the Columbia River and communities along river routing in the transportation of a deadly cargo from source to destination.

_The multiple quality of life impact more oil train passage will have to cities, towns and communities along the Gorge: the delay, pollution and noise.

_ the transformation of a unique world class attraction the River and the Gorge, to an oil shute for the fracking industry.

_ An infraction of public and Native Tribal land.

_The Mosier derailment, which though fortunately could have been worse, it's consequences are yet not fully talleyed.

Is this not enough to deny permitting ? Are tthousands of voices against this proposal not heard ?

The decision made with regard to this proposal will determine whether corporate profit or peoples safety and welfare, Native American rights and the environment matter more. Which is it UP, profit or people and the environment ?

Peter Teneau
2715 N. Terry St.
Portland, Oregon 97217

tenwa@jps.net

Regarding the proposed rail expansion in Mosier, from a Mosier resident

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 16:15:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Brian B
To: "angieb@co.wasco.or.us"
CC:
ID: 15701922fc81e8b4

Hello, my name is Brian Barrett, and I am a registered nurse. I live at 204 Center Street, which is about 500' from where the train wreck and oil fire occurred.

The Union Pacific Railroad was created in 1862 by President Abraham Lincoln as a crucial part of the successful Civil War effort. It has survived several illegal scandals (particularly bribery of elected officials), bankruptcy, and mergers to become one of the world's largest and most successful transportation companies. Today, Warren Buffet's UP collaborates with the oil and coal industries to achieve a very high profit margin. According to Fortune magazine, 2014 profits were $5.18 billion, which equaled the return on revenues ratio of Apple at 21.6%. But that is not without significant risk and cost to UP and the general public. Part of these huge profits come from dubious petroleum mining techniques, such as fracking. Due to its enormous economy of scale, another part of these huge profits comes from the tweaking of various aspects of the railroad machines, procedures, and policies. For example, did you know every 1mph increase in speed eliminates the need for 200 locomotives? Fortune magazine told me so. There is great incentive for small changes in this railroad company.

The train wreck and oil fire scorched several acres, partially destroyed the water treatment plant, polluted the surrounding ground, and continues to necessitate the destruction of a wonderful small forest habitat adjacent to the tracks.

My understanding is that soon, Mosier will lose access to what I consider to be its most valuable resource. Beach access will be closed for a very long time, perhaps over 18 months. Additionally, there will soon be a second track built through Mosier in order to accommodate double the rail traffic. So Mosier will also lose significant quality of life, as automobile traffic is controlled and all trains sound their horns at all hours of the day and night.

I almost forgot to mention the approximate doubling of risk of crashes due to the doubling of railroad traffic.

Mosier was very lucky in a bad situation. I commend all who got close to the fire in order to subdue the flames and prevent it from growing. That was heroic. I also commend UP for an apparently speedy cleanup. It looks good too. Everybody was lucky. Let's pretend we weren't so lucky. The town of Mosier could have been destroyed, literally blown up and burned. Would there be a desperate rally and hearing like this one in order to stop further increases in high-risk rail traffic through little towns? No. Because I believe there would be little question what the right thing to do would be. And that is to disallow a second track through Mosier and other similar communities along the railroad.

Now let us closely regard the Wasco County commissioners as they deliberate to do the right thing

without any undue influence from the railroad. Everybody should be watching closely.

Thank you for your attention.

Brian Barrett, Mosier

"Life is either a daring adventure or nothing."
Helen Keller

Union Pacific Railroad Expansion Project

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 15:53:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Winn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157017d8b3daf0de

Dear Ms. Brewer,

As a public health nurse who spent over 25 years conducting injury prevention research, I am concerned about several health and safety issues with the proposed expansion of the Union Pacific Railroad in the Mosier area. In my field, we did not use the term accident which implies an event of chance. Instead we focused on analyzing the factors that contributed to injuries and found that injury events were understandable, predictable and preventable.
The rail expansion will allow for a large increase in the number and speed of heavy oil trains travelling through the Columbia Gorge along rails lines whose parent company has a poor safety record. Oil trains have higher rates of derailments than other types of freight trains and the Bakken oil being transported is very volatile and has been associated with a number of devastating fires and explosions. Thus, we can predict that there will be an increase in derailments, fires and explosions and collisions that will seriously impact people's lives and public safety.
As a public health nurse, I am also concerned about a number of other issues associated with this increase in oil and coal rail transport. Several of these are outlined below.
Air pollution will be increased because trains' diesel engines emit particulate matter. Diesel particulate matter is associated with impaired pulmonary development in adolescents; increased cardiopulmonary mortality; pulmonary inflammation; increased severity and frequency of asthma attacks, ER visits and hospital admissions in children; increased rates of myocardial infarction in adults; and, increased risk of ischemic stroke.
The increased number of trains will also increase noise which has been found to be harmful to human health. Noise exposure causes cardiovascular disease, including increased blood pressure and arrhythmia; stroke and ischemic heart disease; cognitive impairment in children; sleep disturbance and increased rate of injury events; and, exacerbation of mental health disorders.
With an increase in coal trains, there will be an increase in the amount of coal dust along the tracks and in the river. Trains carrying coal can lose up to 500 lbs of coal dust per car per 500 miles (100 cars = 25 tons). Coal dust is associated with chronic bronchitis; emphysema; pulmonary fibrosis (pneumoconiosis); and, environmental contamination of soil and water through the leaching of toxic heavy metals such as mercury, arsenic and lead.
Because of all the health and safety risks associated with the Union Pacific rail expansion and the associated increase in the number and speed of oil and coal trains, I urge the County to deny the application.
Sincerely,

Diane Winn RN, MPH
Portland, OR

RE: Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 15:27:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Eric Lutz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 1570165e589c04f7

As a resident in Hood River I have concerns about the recent proposals by Union Pacific to increase tracks and rail traffic through this environmentally sensitive area. The recent oil train crash this June only high lights the real dangers we face from the transportation of these highly flammable materials through the Columbia River Scenic Area.

Please do what you can to limit the rail traffic of oil in our wonderful gorge.

John

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 15:21:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Naomi Cohen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 1570160e6a05caf0

Naomi Cohen

POB 39

Gap Mills, WV 24941

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Naomi Cohen

Mosier Rail Expansion Hearing

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 14:09:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "farmgrl27@yahoo.com"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157011e9086dfd05

I am generally opposed to the environmental impact of more rail traffic though the Gorge Scenic Area. Important concerns about railroads safety must be addressed.
Per the Tri City Herald, September 2nd, page 10A, Washington state Department of Ecology has adopted a rule that railroads shipping oil through the state demonstrate that they can respond immediately to any spills. This will make rules for railroads the same as those for companies that move oil by vessels or pipe lines. Railroads should meet these standards on both sides of the Columbia before any expansion can be considered.
In addition, railroad inspection data secrecy is currently a concern in Spokane per the Tri City Herald of September 6th, page 5A. Federal law allows local governments to request this data and the safety of rail infrastructure can not be objectively decided by the railroads alone. This information must be presented to Mosier and the state of Oregon as detailed engineering reports before any consideration of rail expansion.
Thank you for the opportunity to present my concerns
Karen L. "Penny" Berglund
37 Biggers Road, Lyle WA
and 7904 NE 170th St, Kenmore WA 98028

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE Smartphone

Testimony to Wasco County Planning Commission re: Union Pacific Second Line

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 12:23:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Will White
To: Angie Brewer
CC:
ID: 15700bd6e606a337

Dear Ms. Brewer,

I am submitting the attached comments regarding the Union Pacific proposal to construct a second rail line through Mosier, in our part of Wasco County. The reference number for this proposal is PLASAR-15-01-0004.

I have read the extensive comments prepared by your staff, along with the conditions recommended if the county were to approve the application. Your staff comments, along with the actual Union Pacific application and many other analyses of the proposal have given me a great deal of information about the requested second line and its expected impacts.

Thanks for all the work your team has done on this matter.

Sincerely,

Will White
(503) 758-6986
1 Attachments:
Testimony before Wasco County Planning Commission - Final.docx

---

Testimony for Union Pacific Rail Expansion Hearing

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 12:14:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Will and Kristine
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15700b5cce3dd3c1

Dear Ms. Brewer,

Attached please find my comments on Union Pacific's request to construct a second rail line in the vicinity of Mosier, OR.

I appreciate all the work you and your staff have put into analyzing and responding to this proposal.

Sincerely,
Kristine Harter
1 Attachments:
Angie Brewer - Testimony final.docx

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 08:52:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Warren M. Gold"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e63ca4de70418

Warren M. Gold

300 Monte Vista Avenue

Mill Valley, CA 94941

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Warren M. Gold

Protecting the gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 08:42:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Joanne Rogers
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e63378eda03a3

Joanne Rogers

4323 Gypsy Rd

Marcellus, NY 13108

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Joanne Rogers

Joanne Rogers

NO MORE OIL TRAINS!

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 08:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Dierig
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e617f9a3d1e46

John Dierig

610 Carrington Place

Loveland, OH 45140

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Dierig

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 08:12:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sherman Hoover
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e617f448d8de5

Sherman Hoover

83 Appalachian Way

Asheville, NC 28806

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sherman Hoover

Sherman Hoover

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 08:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: angela wilson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e617f430262c6

angela wilson

37 glendale ct

roanoke, VA 24175

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

angela wilson

angela wilson

No more crude oil-by-rail!

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 08:12:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Edwina NUccio
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e617f40016997

Edwina NUccio

60 Algonquin Rd.

Yonkers, NY 10710

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Edwina Nuccio

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 06:12:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tina deKwaadsteniet
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e5a9edb4aa953

Tina deKwaadsteniet

Tuna Canyon Road

Los Angeles, CA 90290-3435

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tina deKwaadsteniet

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 05:42:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brieaux Poche
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e58e716a04e64

Brieaux Poche

P.O. Box 536

Ponchatoula, LA 70454

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brieaux Poche

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 03:42:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Faith Strailey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e52071b9ee995

Faith Strailey

PO Box 3012

Quincy, CA 95971

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Faith Strailey

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 03:42:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Pam Bacon

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e52070f1ae95d

Pam Bacon

1213 17TH ST NE

Washington, DC 20002

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Pamela Bacon

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 02:21:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: mohsen shenas
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e4d72288fe047

mohsen shenas

1134 cr4425

whitewright, TX 75491

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

mohsen

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 01:31:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Keiko M."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e4a94d3bc35ab

Keiko M.

9 Mayfair Dr.

San Francisco, CA 94118

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Keiko M.

Keiko M.

COMMENT TO WASCO COUNTY Planning Commission

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 01:25:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "waltjorgensen@comcast.net"
To: "Angie Brewer, Planning Director"
CC:
ID: 156e4a3218b43d1b

Angie Brewer, Planning Director
541-506-2560 or angieb@co.wasco.or.us
Wasco County Planning Commission

Dear Ms. Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge. I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

•••••••• The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to

fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

•••••••• The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

•••••••• The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

•••••••• The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

•••••••• Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

•••••••• The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

•••••••• Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

•••••••• Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

•••••••• Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

•••••••• Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

•••••••• A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

•••••••• The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

•••••••• The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

•••••••• The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

•••••••• Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

•••••••• UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

•••••••• The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

•••••••• Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Walter R. Jorgensen

823 North St SE
Tumwater, WA 98501-3526
waltjorgensen@comcast.net
360-489-0764 (home)
360-819-0678 (cell)

Protect the Columbia Gorge from oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 00:31:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jane Yater
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e4724f403fbb7

Jane Yater

2654 Barton Hills Drive

Austin, TX 78704

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jane Yater

Union Pacific Railroad' new rail tracks in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 00:31:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Adrian Maries
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e4724ef5b8346

Adrian Maries

5813 Bartlett St.

Pittsburgh, PA 15217

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Adrian Maries

Oil trains near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 00:11:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charlie Hughes

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e45ff7595bf1c

Charlie Hughes

9725 Phinney Ave N, Apt #B

Seattle, WA 98103

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Charlie Hughes

Charlie Hughes

Union Pacific Railroad's application

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 00:01:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Kravcov Malcolm
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e456cbcf2f531

Karen Kravcov Malcolm

30821 N. 138th St

Scottsdale, AZ 85262

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen Kravcov Malcolm

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 23:31:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amy Roberts
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e43b4d7d1b35a

Amy Roberts

2883 NW Sunny Lane

Albany, OR 97321

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Amy Roberts

Amy Roberts

Dear Wasco County Planning Commission,

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 23:21:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jaci Guerena
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e43225fbe4ab8

Jaci Guerena

1260 SE Alexander Ave

Corvallis, OR 97333

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jaci Guerena

Please protect the Columia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 23:11:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Matthew Waldron
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e428fc651442c

Matthew Waldron

2711 SE Rosespring Drive

HIllsboro, OR 97123

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matthew Waldron

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 22:41:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anthony Vergillo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e40d73399947d

Anthony Vergillo

2606 Walnut st

Bellingham, WA 98225-2339

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the loop Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Anthony Vergillo

Anthony Vergillo

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 22:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Jessica Whitfield
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e4044bc687d3a

Jessica Whitfield

3824 Bell St Apt 3

Kansas City, MO 64111

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

jessica whitfield

Oil by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 22:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maryann Haller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e4044b620359a

Maryann Haller

1617 S Tulip Street

Escondido, CA 92025

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maryann

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 22:21:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Husted
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3fb1d0beeb38

Karen Husted

11337 Alton Avenue NE

Seattle, WA 98125

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Karen Husted

Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 22:11:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Huffman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3f1f4559e88d

James Huffman

1298 Wildwood Road

Toledo, OH 43614

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

James Huffman

James

ENOUGH

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:51:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Schmidt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3df9fd16ba05

Nancy Schmidt

1102 Hickory st

Sandpoint, ID 83864

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy J Schmidt

Please Deny Union Pacific Railroad's Application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:51:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Scott Wenzel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3df9dee96045

Scott Wenzel

31433 36th Ave SW

Federal Way, WA 98023

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Scott Wenzel

Oil Trains in Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:41:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brandon Hall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3d6715a0ba08

Brandon Hall

89 23rd Ave

Milton, WA 98354

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brandon S. Hall

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:31:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Larry Siglin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3cd46a0c0f6a

Larry Siglin

1988 E Gunther Avenue

Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry Siglin

Clinate catastrophe is here (see flodded south)

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:21:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: s slayton

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3c41a6e7427e

s slayton

10521 se 232 nd

kent, WA 98031

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

S Slayton

Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:11:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: fred karlson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3baefccbb331

fred karlson

5779 vista dr

ferndale, WA 98248

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

fred karlson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:11:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Danielle C
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3baecff99bc2

Danielle C

1573 Ashley Garden Blvd.

Charleston, SC 29414

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Danielle

No new oil trains in Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:01:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary DeMar
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3b1c5d7ace65

Mary DeMar

4351 Poseidon Circle

Liverpool, NY 13090

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary DeMar

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 21:01:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Wienert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3b1c4238c6f3

John Wienert

520 Tanacrest Cir

Atlanta, GA 30328

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Wienert

Union Pacific's application to construct new track

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 20:41:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bo Bergstrom
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e39f67ffbc6df

Bo Bergstrom

30 Village Rd

Silver City, NM 88061

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bo Bergstrom

Railroad near Mosier,OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 20:41:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Howard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e39f663022ea8

Karen Howard

8447 Moonglow Ct

Blaine, WA 98230

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Karen Howard

Karen Howard

Expansion of Rail Capacity Along the Columbia Gorge in Wasco County

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 20:31:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janet Alderton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3963b05ea81c

Janet Alderton

491 Harborview Lane

Deer Harbor, WA 98243

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

As a biologist and a citizen who loves the Columbia Gorge, I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Janet M Alderton

Janet M Alderton

Rail Track near Mosier Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 20:31:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Chris Sanders
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e39639a2f963a

Chris Sanders

9 Deepwood Drive

Manchester, CT 06040

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chris Sanders

PLEASE!!! No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 20:31:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheryl Fergeson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e39637c3ecc30

Cheryl Fergeson

2956 W 3500 N

Ogden, UT 84404

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cheryl Fergeson

Please deny any export of crude or dangerous, toxic or volitile trains through The Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 20:31:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: bea wilson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e39636ae5f76b

bea wilson

1033 9th south

Edmonds, WA 98020

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bea Wilson and Family

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Kagan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3718ac017f40

David Kagan

885 Torbert Lane

Jersey Shore, PA 17740

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Ira Kagan

Adding additional rail lines

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Vincent Alvarez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e37186227effc

Vincent Alvarez

12671 SE Where Else Ln.

Milwaukie, OR 97222

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Vincent Alvarez

Vincent Alvarez

No more oil trains!!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:41:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lynne Rooney-Katsma
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3685a4b481a9

Lynne Rooney-Katsma

319 Millstream Ln.

Oswego, IL 60543

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lynne Rooney-Katsma

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:31:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rhett Gambol
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e35f30d033965

Rhett Gambol

318 10th Ave E

Seattle, WA 98102

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rhett Gambol

Rhett Gambol

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:31:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Bedirian
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e35f2ef4a2008

George Bedirian

505 SE Jackson St.

Pullman, WA 99163

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

George Bedirian

Oil By Rail

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:01:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Stone
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e343a911425f8

Andrew Stone

5817 se lafayette

portland, OR 97206

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am a systems ecologist, teacher, and I vote.

The needs of communities along transportation corridors supercede the needs of rail, oil, or any other companies.

Crashes and spills are unavoidable - as seen this spring. It is certain that expansion near Mosier is intended to accomodate oil transport. The certainty of spills is an externalized cost, a subsidy to oil and rail companies. It is not the responsibility of Wasco county to subsidize private national or multinational companies.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrew Stone

Oil By Rail

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:01:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Stone
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e343a99affb09

Andrew Stone

5817 se lafayette

portland, OR 97206

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am a systems ecologist, teacher, and I vote.

The needs of communities along transportation corridors supercede the needs of rail, oil, or any other companies. Crashes and spills are unavoidable - as seen this spring. It is certain that expansion near Mosier is intended to accomodate oil transport. The certainty of spills is an externalized cost, a subsidy to oil and rail companies. It is not the responsibility of Wasco county to subsidize private national or multinational companies.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrew Stone

No new railway track

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:41:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: SCHUYLER JUDD
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e3314faabbbaa

SCHUYLER JUDD

PO Box 64

Island Park, ID 83429

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Schuyler Judd

Schuyler Judd

Consider the risk

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ray Swiatkowski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e32827b05706a

Ray Swiatkowski

10767 Camellia Drive

Dallas, TX 75230

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ray Swiatkowski

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrea Saunders
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e328259cae8b2

Andrea Saunders

1133 Delaware Avenue

Bethlehem, PA 18015

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrea Saunders

No gorge train expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Doug Leonetti
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e32824ed2208d

Doug Leonetti

2017 e 15th

Spokane, WA 99203

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Doug Leonetti

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Bradshaw
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e32824a242720

Elizabeth Bradshaw

4170 Route 91

Jamesville, NY 13078

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bradshaw

Elizabeth Bradshaw

NO TRAINS THRU OUR BEAUTIFUL COLOMAIA GORGE!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:21:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Margaret Hashmi

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e31efa1ecd904

Margaret Hashmi

3704 Tree Farm Lane

Bellingham, WA 98226-1718

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Margaret Hashmi

Please, no oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:21:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Martha Atkinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e31ef9915ae11

Martha Atkinson

4161 Deer Creek Rd.

Valley, WA 99181

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Martha Atkinson

Martha Atkinson

No More Oil Trains along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:21:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Melvin Mackey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e31ef90f8283e

Melvin Mackey

24430 Old Mill Road SW

Vashon, WA 98070

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Melvin Mackey

Stop Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:11:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dan Kittredge
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e315cfb7f794e

Dan Kittredge

306 Lakeway

La Mesa, CA 91941

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dan Kittredge

Stop dangerous oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 18:01:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Ulisses M."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e30ca5a650079

Ulisses M.

OAK ST EXTENSION

BROCKTON, MA 02301-1169

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ulisses M.

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:51:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Garcia
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e30378b7ad5e9

Mark Garcia

5601 Marin Ave

Richmond, CA 94805

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark Garcia

Don't permit dangerous trains to travel in the Columbia River Gorge. The Union Pacific request should be denied.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:41:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Kirkland
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2fa510cfeb94

John Kirkland

289 Fearrington Post

Pittsboro, NC 27312

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John and Rebecca Kirkland

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:41:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Garber
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2fa50813b189

Carol Garber

27 Mill St.

York, PA 17406

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carol L. Garber

NO MORE OIL TRAINS THROUGH THE COLUMBIA GORGE

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:41:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John AND Jean Fleming

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2fa4fd2275d6

John AND Jean Fleming

21364 Hytrail Circle

Lakeville, MN 55044-6035

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John AND Jean Fleming

Dangerous Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:31:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Pardee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2f125bb016c8

Michael Pardee

3730 Dellwood Drive

Knoxville, TN 37919

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Michael Pardee

Michael Pardee

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:31:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Andrew Cadot
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2f124ab87509

Andrew Cadot

45 Eastern Promenade 9E

Portland, ME 04101-4823

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrew Cadot

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hal Glidden
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2e7f9b9fa0c1

Hal Glidden

419 Briar Rd

Bellingham, WA 98225

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hal Glidden

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Dr. Alexander Henrich"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2e7f77f5baa4

Dr. Alexander Henrich

Burgwedeltwiete 17

Hamburg, VA 22457

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dr. Alexander Henrich

Oil Trains Through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:01:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Theodore Marszalek
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2d5a09a03bc1

Theodore Marszalek

5555 N Cumberland Ave

Chicago, IL 60656

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Theodore Marszalek

New rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 17:01:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Sharon B."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2d5a08880646

Sharon B.

202 Adams St.

Ithaca, NY 14850

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon B.

Oil Trains and the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:51:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: JUDY TURKSEL
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2cc787a36837

JUDY TURKSEL

410 OCEAN MIST WAY

EASTSOUND, WA 98245

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

JUDY TURKSEL

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:31:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Darlene Jakusz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2ba2635485cb

Darlene Jakusz

8380 Ambrose Ln.

Amherst Jct., WI 54407

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you,

Darlene Jakusz

Please deny Union Pacific's proposal to expand their rail line through Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:31:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Colleen Cleary
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2ba252bfb801

Colleen Cleary

1 Wood Duck Ln

Ottawa, IL 61350

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Colleen Cleary

NO more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:21:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jacqueline Wiggins

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2b0f347d5d42

Jacqueline Wiggins

P.O. Box 531

Elko, NV 89803

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Jacqueline Wiggins

Jacqueline Wiggins

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:21:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Theresa H Deery
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2b0f343363fa

Theresa H Deery

8701 Blind Pass Rd

St Pete Beach, FL 33706

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Theresa H Deery

Railroad track expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:11:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brian Huseby
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2a7d0b639799

Brian Huseby

521 North 7th Ave.

Tumwater, WA 98512

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brian Huseby

Oil-by-Rail

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:11:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laurel Fee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2a7d00a00ae5

Laurel Fee

401 Driftwood Avenue

Daytona Beach, FL 32118-3640

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laurel Fee

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:11:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Fast
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2a7c74effbec

William Fast

10808 Dickinson Rd

Ozawkie, KS 66070

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Regarding the,risks, it is less a question of if and more a question of when. Accidents do happen.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

William Fast

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:00:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Fehr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e29ea1ae89eae

Richard Fehr

50 Ashford Lane

Sharpsburg, GA 30277

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rjichard P. Fehr

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:00:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Delaney
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e29e9eb8ccec1

Linda Delaney

10409 Edinburgh Drive

Spotsylvania, VA 22553

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda Delaney

Linda Delaney

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Auer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e29e9d67ff73e

Patricia Auer

1353 Westbrooke Meadows Lane

Ballwin, MO 63021-7546

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Auer

---

oil trains in gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Stebbins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e29e9bebcfcdc

Robert Stebbins

1525 SW Brooklane Drive

Corvallis, OR 97333

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Stebbins

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 16:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: SOPHIA McAskill
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e29e9785220e0

SOPHIA McAskill

1391 E. Baronet

Palatine, IL 60074

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Sophia McAskill

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:50:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sally Sorensen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e29568ab48bfc

Sally Sorensen

123 Main

Westerly, RI 02891

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sally Sorensen

Please deny more track to Union Pacific RR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:40:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carolyn Boatsman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e28c50721e723

Carolyn Boatsman

3210 74th AVE SE

Mercer Island, WA 98040

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

This proposal, in my opinion, if granted would really trash the Gorge because of the noise of the trains, the risk of them derailing and exploding, the stink of their exhaust, the coal dust spread throughout communities and the river, and the obliteration of peaceful views. It's just too many! Because of the incredible impact, compared to current use, can you find a way to consider this a new project, rather than a remodel?

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carolyn Boatsman

No Safe Way to Transport Oil by Rail

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:40:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Voget DDS
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e28c45ad4b47b

Richard Voget DDS

1615 N 41st St.

Seattle, WA 98103

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard Voget DDS

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:40:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: k l
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e28c3c07ef954

k l

90 d

roseburg, OR 97470

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

k l

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:40:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Enz Lill
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e28c37a7c5acb

Nancy Enz Lill

820 N Post St, #503

Spokane, WA 99201

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Enz Lill

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:30:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Greg Goodwin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2830ab33d75d

Greg Goodwin

13804 26th Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98125

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Greg Goodwin

NO oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:30:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary A Leon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e283080d790c0

Mary A Leon

5 Loop Street

San Antonio, TX 78212-4231

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary A Leon

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:20:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Regina Flores
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e279dad05601b

Regina Flores

32016 Poppy Way

Lake Elsinore, CA 92532

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Regina Flores

New railroad tracks near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:20:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Claire Frantz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e279d9d11e1ed

Mary Claire Frantz

1737 Peyton Ave. Burbank, CA 91504

Burbank, CA 91504

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Claire Frantz

New rail lines

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:10:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charles Wilkinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e270c5f073650

Charles Wilkinson

5000 26th Ave. S.

Seattle, WA 98108

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charles Wilkinson

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 15:10:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stacey Osborne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e270c18146117

Stacey Osborne

po box 429

Honokaa, HI 96727

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stacey Osborne

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:48:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jill Blaisdell

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e25bf55cb3777

Jill Blaisdell

5152 Earl Dr.

La Canada, CA 91011

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jill Blaisdell

No More Oil Trains thru Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:48:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Val Sanfilippo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e25bf20541ecb

Val Sanfilippo

3246 Ashford

San Diego, CA 92111

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Val Sanfilippo

Val Sanfilippo

No more oil trains through

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:48:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia de Garmo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e25bf02708281

Patricia de Garmo

7410 SE Taylor

Portland, OR 97215

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia de Garmo

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:38:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nick Meek
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e252d5c90fb13

Nick Meek

5177 E Woodspring Dr.

Tucson, AZ 85712

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nick Meek

No more oil Trains through Mosier, Oregon !

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:28:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard McDonald
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e249a46fcff66

Richard McDonald

1606 N. Florida Street

Silver City, NM 88061

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

With kind regards, and deep concern for our environment

Richard McDonald

No more oil Trains through Mosier, Oregon !

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:28:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard McDonald
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e249a8025e271

Richard McDonald

1606 N. Florida Street

Silver City, NM 88061

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

With kind regards, and deep concern for our environment

Richard McDonald

No more oil trains in the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:28:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Melissa Hathaway
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e249a3a48fd91

Melissa Hathaway

1488 NE Olvera Ct.

Gresham, OR 97030-4543

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Melissa Hathaway

No Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:28:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Katherin Balles
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2499e35d26ce

Katherin Balles

2122 Brewster PL

Bremerton, WA 98310

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Katherin Balles

Just say NO to oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:28:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David and Judith Berg

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2499af47bd1f

David and Judith Berg

Eugene, OR

Eugene, OR 97405

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

The greatest good is served by preserving and protecting the natural systems on which all life depends, the habitats that comprise them, and the wildlife that inhabit them.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David and Judy Berg

Deny UP Railroads new rail track application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:18:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rick Lambert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:

ID: 156e2406bb5888f1

Rick Lambert

1191 High St

Eugene, OR 97401

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains

passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose

State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rick Lambert

No oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:18:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marge Schwartz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2406ba7aa972

Marge Schwartz

PO Box 21955

S.B., CA 93121

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marge Schwartz

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:18:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Simpkin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2406b7b94e02

David Simpkin

101 Old Bridge St.

Pelham, NH 03076

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David B. Simpkin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:18:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Bethany Sattur
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2406b4222774

Bethany Sattur

54 Union St

Clark, NJ 07066

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bethany Sattur

Stop Oil-by-Rail Threats

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:08:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Adina Parsley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2383deb99a0d

Adina Parsley

20420 Marine Dr, Apt P2

Stanwood, WA 98292

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Adina Parsley

No more oil trains through the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:58:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Salovich
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2383be591607

Nancy Salovich

PO Box 65343

University Place, WA 98464-1343

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy K Salovich

---

toxic trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 14:08:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: scot seader
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2383a44e459e

scot seader

#9 O street

hampton, NH 03842

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Scot J Seader

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:58:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Catherine
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e23839cfb6ea4

John Catherine

132 35TH Street

New York, NY 10016

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:58:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Noris Nunez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e238395f3f607

Noris Nunez

2555 JFK Blvd.

Jersey City, NJ 07304-2179

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Noris A. Nunez

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:58:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sarah Kennedy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e23838964aa87

Sarah Kennedy

17 Hinds Lane

Pelham, NH 03076

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sarah Kennedy

Railroads

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:58:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brenda Michaels
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e23837f0331e7

Brenda Michaels

15617 258th Pl. SE

Issaquah, WA 98027

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brenda Michaels

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:58:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Edward Rengers

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e23834f0ee43a

Edward Rengers

391 John Joy Rd

Woodstock, NY 12498

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Edward Rengers

No more bomb trains in Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:48:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sarah Ganzhorn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e224e13ee6361

Sarah Ganzhorn

11 Pearson Rd. Apt. 1

Somerville, MA 02144

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sarah Ganzhorn

Environmental Impact

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:48:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Blake
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e224e0f3977e3

Susan Blake

8023 S 113th St

Seattle, WA 98178

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan K. Blake

Deny UP's Application to Expand Near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:48:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Hine
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e224de1d6a2b1

Patricia Hine

29755 Lusk Road

Eugene, OR 97405

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Hine

Union Pacific new track proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:38:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elaine Cavanaugh
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e21bb4880e22b

Elaine Cavanaugh

10 Emery Rd

Townsend, MA 01469

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elaine Cavanaugh

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:38:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Zack
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e21bb297c57b8

Mary Zack

Ridgedale dr. N.

Worthington, OH 43085

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Zack

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:27:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Forbes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e21286c9863f0

William Forbes

607 Burk St.

Nacogdoches, TX 75964

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

William Forbes

<drudzinski@angeloplanning.com>; Hallyburton, Rob <rhallyburton@dlcd.state.or.us>
Subject: Re: Wasco - Code Update Project

Looks like we also have flexibility Tuesday the 20th, Wed. the 21st and Thur. the 22nd if that works?

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:17:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dennis Lengel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2095d320db37

Dennis Lengel

12901 S. Wildwood Lane

Anacortes, WA 98221

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dennis Lengel

Please Deny Union Pacific Columbia Gorge Construction

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:17:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Miller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2095bb4feaa3

Barbara Miller

3403 NE 11th Ave

Portland, OR 97212

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I join with many other residents of the Pacific Northwest in my great concern about Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, Oregon. The new track and resulting increase in number, length, and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

We ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, we ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, Oregon, because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Threats to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Effects of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Miller

---

deny oil transport

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:17:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rebecca & Greg Durr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2095b15dbcf1

Rebecca & Greg Durr

2703 Riverview Dr.

Aberdeen, WA 98520

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rebecca & Greg Durr

Union Pacific and Mosier. OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:17:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Wellington
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e209599bd9edf

Mary Wellington

8682 N. Morning View Dr.

Tucson, AZ 85704

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Wellington

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:17:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Elizabeth Lengel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2095972b2638

Elizabeth Lengel

12901 S. Wildwood Lane

Anacortes, WA 98221

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Lengel

Stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:17:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Ocskai
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e20958f0ae755

Barbara Ocskai

51 Cedar Avenue #2

Snohomish, WA 98290

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Ocskai

---

application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:07:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: karen stickney
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2002f6ee42b5

karen stickney

27 baril street

lewiston, ME 04240

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

karen

UP's Request for New Lines Near Mosier OR should be denied

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:07:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Lou Johnson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2002f3885c14

Mary Lou Johnson

3319 W. 23rd Ave

Spokane, WA 99224

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mary Lou Johnson

Mary Lou Johnson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 13:07:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dianne Ensign
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e2002c86c8355

Dianne Ensign

11600 SW Lancaster Rd

Portland, OR 97219

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Protecting the environment is my highest priority issue, and I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains

through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dianne Ensign

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:57:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Else
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1f6ffb727a72

Carol Else

9702 Veterans Dr SW

Lakewood, WA 98498

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carol Else

Union Pacific Railroad's application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:57:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Margie Stewart
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1f6ff4bf2ae3

Margie Stewart

2606 Francis St

Durham, NC 27707

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Margie Stewart

Public Safety

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:47:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kevin Darcy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1edd0a0ad93d

Kevin Darcy

3140 Adams Ave. #C203

Bellingham, WA 98225

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kevin Darcy

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:37:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Williams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1e4a9fe79431

William Williams

2661 Sandpiper Loop

Richland, WA 99354

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

William Williams

No oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:37:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Debbie Zarr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1e4a8c77628b

Debbie Zarr

11075 Applegate Ln

Boynton Beach, FL 33437

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Debbie Zarr

Union Pacific Railroad application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:37:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sylvan Grey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1e4a8c6aafae

Sylvan Grey

1019 SW 10th Ave.

Portland, OR 97205

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sylvan Grey

STOP OIL TANKER WRECKS ON RIVERS

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:37:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: JOHN MIFFLIN
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1e4a6b6ff0f7

JOHN MIFFLIN

4827 S PALOUSE HWY

SPOKANE, WA 99223

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Mifflin

John Mifflin

Official comment to WCPC U P = Unsafe Passage = Union Pacific. Refuse more Oil Trains please

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:27:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Franklin
To: "angieb@co.wasco.or.us"
CC:
ID: 156e1df255176b11

COMMENT TEXT TO WASCO COUNTY Planning Commission
Angie Brewer, Planning Director,
Bad planning will haunt you in its consequences
Plan solar and no one will get hurt

Dear Ms. Brewer,
I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge. I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:
•••••••• The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific [illegible]erates

oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

•••••••• The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

•••••••• The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

•••••••• The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

•••••••• Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

•••••••• The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

•••••••• Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

•••••••• Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

•••••••• Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

•••••••• Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

•••••••• A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

•••••••• The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

•••••••• The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

•••••••• The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

•••••••• Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
•••••••• UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
•••••••• The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
•••••••• Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.
Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.
Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.
a justifiably concerned citizen who votes, pays taxes and is worried,
L G Franklin

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:27:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Christian
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1db7ff0f9dde

Karen Christian

8435 Tumbling X Ranch

Vail, AZ 85641

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Plus, I have relatives living in impacted areas!

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen Christian

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:27:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Larry LaPointe
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1db7d6852609

Larry LaPointe

PO Box 890751

Temecula, CA 92589

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry LaPointe

Typical Corporate Response To Its Own Incompetence

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:27:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patrick Conn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1db7cfc0a6d1

Patrick Conn

22018 126th Court SE

Kent, WA 98031-9669

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the posted

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patrick Conn

Keep the crude oil export ban

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:27:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Chaney
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1db7c033341c

David Chaney

1220 Farwell Avenue NW

Olympia, WA 98502-4366

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chaney David

---

extending rail traffic

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:27:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Claytor
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1db79ab1e5c8

Patricia Claytor

365 Calvert Ave

Webster Groves, MO 63119

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Claytor

No oil trains through columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:27:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Larry Johnson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1db78007708a

Larry Johnson

901 Vinson Rd

Lafayette, TN 37083

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Larry

Larry Johnson

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:17:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Kesich
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1d2556f2811e

John Kesich

4281 Lenox Blvd

Venice, FL 34293

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Kesich

Preservation and Prevention of more oil train disasters

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:17:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: T J Thompson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1d24c153e6bb

T J Thompson

PO Box 1178

Gig Harbor, WA 98335

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

T J Thompson

Oil Trains-Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:07:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ralph Sanchez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1c921780c8d0

Ralph Sanchez

P. O. Box 956

Capitola, CA 95010

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ralph Sanchez

Crude oil-by-rail

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:07:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: miguel ramos
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1c92100e83ac

miguel ramos

5846 madrona dr

ferndale, WA 98248

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

thank you

miguel ramos

---

oil trains in columbia gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:07:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: richard stolz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1c91e96ea4ce

richard stolz

52 huckleberry circle

emporium, PA 15834

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard Stolz

Deny new rail track near Mosier OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 12:07:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Butler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1c91daa624c4

Elizabeth Butler

1110 S. Alves Street

Henderson, KY 42420

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Butler

Elizabeth Butler

No more railroad lines.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:57:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Crayton Kelly
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1bff26cc686d

Crayton Kelly

11 Woodland Street

Portsmouth, VA 23702

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Crayton Kelly

Rail Traffic

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:57:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Wirth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1bff2389d5d9

Mark Wirth

101 Boylston Ave. E. #35

Seattle, WA 98102-5656

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark Wirth

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:57:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Button
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1bff1edb1d9d

Mary Button

125 Searles Rd.

Groton, NY 13073

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Button

Oil-by-Rail

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:57:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jack Stansfield

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1bff18b74081

Jack Stansfield

16314 62nd Ave NW

Stanwood, WA 98292

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jack Stansfield

Please deny UP's new rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:57:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jesse Spears
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1bff0632d477

Jesse Spears

5212 Bandera Creek Trail

Austin, TX 78735

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

PC 2 2-1707

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Jesse Spears

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:47:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "H. Fleishon"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1b6c7f250fa1

H. Fleishon

100 Landsdowne

Cambridge, MA 02139

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

H. Fleishon

UP Railroad track expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:47:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Greg MacDonald
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1b6c618a13b7

Greg MacDonald

P.O. box 11

Underwood, WA 98651

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Greg MacDonald

Oil Trains and the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:47:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ray Bartlett
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1b6c4e7a7049

Ray Bartlett

17858 La Lima Ln

Fountain Valley, CA 92708

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ray Bartlett

Gorge rail traffic

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:47:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steven Wheeler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1b6c405cecef

Steven Wheeler

4501 NE Wistaria Drive

Portland, OR 97213

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Just as every generation of Americans, we now have an opportunity to either protect and preserve the Columbia Gorge, or allow it to be degraded by over-use and over-development.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steven B. Wheeler

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Meghan McCutcheon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ada1eddd625

Meghan McCutcheon

215 NW Country Place Dr.

White Salmon, WA 98672

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Meghan McCutcheon

Meghan McCutcheon

No More Dangerous Oil Trains...

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sheila Di
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ad9b7aa7f2a

Sheila Di

1701 5th St

Small Town, MN 56201

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sheila D

No More Dangerous Oil Trains...

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sheila Di
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ada10310ba9

Sheila Di

1701 5th St

Small Town, MN 56201

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sheila D

PLEASE NO ADDITIONAL RAIL TRACKS IN OUR BEAUTIFUL GORGE!!!!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joseph Klobucnik
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ada005f2c1c

Joseph Klobucnik

1117 NW 52nd Street

Vancouver, WA 98663-1501

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joseph J Klobucnik

Union Pacific numerous safety failures prove they shouldn't be allowed to transport oil

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elsbeth Meier
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ad9e60305b5

Elsbeth Meier

320 Napa Ave

Rodeo, CA 94572

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elsbeth Meier

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lynne Sabourin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ad9d2c19ee2

Lynne Sabourin

681 SE Mayberry Ave

Corvallis, OR 97333

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Oregonian

Lynne Sabourin

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Owen Gustafson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ad996739214

Owen Gustafson

1106 innsbrook ln

Buffalo, MN 55313

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

sincerely

Owen Gustafson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:37:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Cortney Zaret
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1ad9897699b5

Cortney Zaret

646 W. Wellington #1

Chicago, IL 60657

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cortney Zaret

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:27:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Melisa Castro
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1a469730ba9c

Melisa Castro

72-10 37th Ave

Jackson Heights, NY 11372

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Melisa Castro

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:27:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen Silva
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1a46943539c2

Stephen Silva

124 Titicut Rd

Raynham, MA 02767

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephen Silva

Protect Mosier from Union Pacific's inadequately maintained train tracks!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:27:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charlene MacKenzie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1a46763c0f62

Charlene MacKenzie

425 Chuckanut Dr. N., #9

Bellingham, WA 98229

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charlene MacKenzie

No additional rail lines at this time

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:27:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lois Johnston
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1a4671f241f2

Lois Johnston

2429 N. Normandie st.

Spokane, WA 99205

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lois Johnston

Please don't increase the rail lines in the Columbia gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:27:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lois Johnston
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1a466832f3eb

Lois Johnston

2429 N. Normandie st.

Spokane, WA 99205

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lois R. Johnston

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:27:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: dorothy Anderson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1a46658366f9

dorothy Anderson

125 river St

Weymouth, MA 02191

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dorothy Anderson

No more oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:17:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laurie Miller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e19b3b695efa9

Laurie Miller

8224 Brandon Drive

Millersville, MD 21108

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laurie Miller

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:17:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Feit

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e19b3ac227499

James Feit

2906 Jackman Street

Port Townsend, WA 98368-6132

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Feit

No oil trains through Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:17:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandy Heisler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e19b38c133da1

Sandy Heisler

2004 Sunrise Street

Kelso, WA 98626

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandy Heisler

There's no assurince!

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:17:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Johnneau S. Sonin"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e19b3617e2688

Johnneau S. Sonin

329 Fifth Street

Juneau, AK 99801

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John S. Sonin

John S. Sonin

There's no assurince!

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:17:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Johnneau S. Sonin"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e19b374f64e92

Johnneau S. Sonin

329 Fifth Street

Juneau, AK 99801

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John S. Sonin

John S. Sonin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:17:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeanine Lord
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e19b36681774b

Jeanine Lord

14131 Brightmore Dr.

Sterling Hts., MI 48312-2405

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you,

Jeanine Lord

Crude oil-by-rail

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:17:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mitchell Chaikin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e19b357615e73

Mitchell Chaikin

140 Oak Park Dr.

Northumberland, PA 17857

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mitchell Chaikin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:07:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Levin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1920d6ea0c9d

Mark Levin

3038 Arrowhead

Plymouth, PA 19462-2308

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark Levin

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:07:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Kinyon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1920bb73dc6e

Susan Kinyon

1911 Puget Dr E

Port Orchard, WA 98366

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Kinyon

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:07:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: sandra ruterman

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1920a7850a5c

sandra ruterman

26886 hwy 38

scottsburg, OR 97473

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

sandra ruterman

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 11:07:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Fred Fall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1920a372b028

Fred Fall

106 Uxbridge

Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Fred Fall

No More Oil Trains.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lois Bancroft
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186bf518bc8f

Lois Bancroft

50 Moore Rd

White Salmon, WA 98672

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lois Bancroft

No to oil trains through the Columbia River Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "mr.g. west"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186bc2015bac

mr.g. west

2625 hoyt

everett, WA 98201

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

mr.g. west

Brains or a LACK THERE OF.....

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Harold Manning
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186ba37dc67c

Harold Manning

P.O. Box 1222

Martinsburg, WV 25402

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Harold Manning

Dangerous Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Charles Fox
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186b9cb5dba1

Charles Fox

119 W Berger

Santa Fe, NM 87505

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Charles Fox

Charles Fox

Please Help Stop the Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Scott Kulhanek
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186b9ca38fb0

Scott Kulhanek

12330 SE Bush St #41

Portland, OR 97236

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Scott R Kulhanek

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Oles
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186b6f98cf69

Mark Oles

2579 Clara Avenue

Aurora, IL 60502

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark Oles

Oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marianne Gordon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186b6636a0c7

Marianne Gordon

1008 E. Mountain View Ave. #109

Ellensburg, WA 98926

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marianne Gordon

No trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:55:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kim Nero
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e186b4a75dfcc

Kim Nero

1927 harbor bl

Costa Mesa, CA 92627

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kim

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:45:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: angela schwartz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e17d896a7933c

angela schwartz

2314 N Street, B

Sacramento, CA 95816

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

angela schwartz

Safety and Health First

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:45:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Constance McConnell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e17d89495d27e

Constance McConnell

3122 SE Main St

Portland, OR 97214

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Constance McConnell

Do we trust Union Pacific?

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:45:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joe Chasse
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e17d88428ec4b

Joe Chasse

22313 V St.

Ocean Park, WA 98640

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

WE HAVE ALREADY BEEN SHOWN by UP that we can NOT trust them. Their ONLY MOTIVE is PROFITS for the shareholders, while OUR MOTIVES run deep throughout our communities and our region.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joe Chasse

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:45:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Felicia Sanders
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e17d878c77b25

Felicia Sanders

38385 Lower Brice Creek Rd.

Dorena, OR 97434

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Felicia Sanders

Oil transport

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:45:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Margaret Robertson

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e17d86e1220c4

Margaret Robertson

3724 Summit Drive

Mount Shasta, CA 96067

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Margaret Robertson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:35:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: lorraine foster
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e17450c626e39

lorraine foster

8205 se 9th ave

portland, OR 97202

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

lorraine foster

Oil trains are dangerouse

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:35:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Diederichs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1744f2830e66

Barbara Diederichs

12956 Christman Lane

Poway, CA 92064

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Diederichs

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:35:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marc Lionetti
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1744c2576c8a

Marc Lionetti

405 Battle Bend Blvd.

Austin, TX 78745

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marc Lionetti

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Francisco J. Velez"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b208b3d297

Francisco J. Velez

100 Erskine Place

Bronx, NY 10475

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Francisco J. Velez

Please stop allowing planetary destruction and climate denial to continue. No more oil trains.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jordan Van Voast
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1f27063e2

Jordan Van Voast

2109 31st Ave. S.

Seattle, WA 98144

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jordan Van Voast

My thoughts on railway proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Arlo Ryan-Keohane
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1ee671023

Arlo Ryan-Keohane

5 Pericles

Lake Oswego, OR 97035

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Arlo Ryan-Keohane

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Leslie Bradford
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1ecea23e9

Leslie Bradford

2107 Briarcliff Drive

moore, OK 73170

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Leslie Bradford

Leslie Bradford

Stop Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Adam Wojdac

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1d7015fa1

Adam Wojdac

8340 Palmerson Dr

Antelope, CA 95843

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Adam Wojdac

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Wendy Hansen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1d5fa2806

Wendy Hansen

717 Snyder Ave

Aromas, CA 95004

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Wendy Hansen

WascoPlanningComm:UP Rail Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 12:12:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bonnie McKinlay
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15700b35f8c95207

To the Wasco County Planning Commission

Attn: Angie Brewer, Planning Director

From:

Bonnie McKinlay,

Elementary Schoolteacher-Oregon City & Lake Oswego Public Schools, retired

7112 SW 53rd Avenue

Portland 97219

Thank you for the opportunity to express my concerns about granting of Union Pacific's Rail expansion. More oil-by-rail traffic is not beneficial to the Pacific Northwest treasure that is the Columbia River Gorge, its people, its wildlife.

For thirty years, as an elementary teacher, I joined my students in the giddy, giggling joys of the last few days of school. Students and teachers shared the memories of the past school year and looked eagerly at the summer ahead. Summer! That vast, magic, unknown adventure land, free of desks, free of due dates. A school year can contain many rich and exciting days---and some, less memorable---but The Last Days of School always pop to the top. A grade complete. We did this together! We celebrate!

On June 9th, I came to the Mosier Community School, not to watch delighted children wiggle with the thrill of an imminent vacation, but to see a solemn assembly of Northwest Tribal leaders, officials, and exhausted community members speak on the risks of oil trains and the fierce conviction to oppose them. On that day, the school was not a happy, youth-supporting center, but an efficient disaster operations site, with hard-working members of state and federal agencies taking care of the derailment clean-up. Because of the oil train derailment, the students of the Mosier Community School were denied those

last auspicious days of school. Of course, this unfortunate outcome is nothing compared to the unthinkable tragedy that *could* have unfolded in this community without the grace of that unusual windless Gorge day of June 3rd, 2016. I say, "unthinkable" because I don't want to think of it---none of us do. To stop these terrifying thoughts, we must stop the free reign of the oil industry to put schoolchildren and their loving parents at perpetual risk. In Oregon alone, 284 schools, containing over 101,000 students, are within the mile-wide radius of an oil-by-rail blast zone. On the long list of reasons to deny Union Pacific's application, which includes maintaining air quality, honoring Tribal fishing rights, preservation of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, add the protection of schoolchildren---and their happy last week of school.

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 11:51:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "S. Alexander McArdle"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 15700a06b64b5a75

S. Alexander McArdle

2612 Ripplewood Dr.

Dallas, TX 75228

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

S. Alexander McArdle

NO more oil on rr tracks along the Columbia!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 11:41:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: BRian BOucher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157009742cc39f3a

BRian BOucher

101 Greenwood Ct

Kelso, WA, WA 98626

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, brian boucher

BRian Boucher

UPRR application for the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Sep 06 2016 11:41:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Milliren
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 157009742b4b2524

Patricia Milliren

1703 W. 8th

Port Angeles, WA 98363

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Pat Milliren

Patricia A. Milliren

~~Marijuana in rural residential zones~~

~~23 message(s)~~

~~Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 18:14:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)~~
~~From: VERRET Greg J~~

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Rimbos
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1d0ef89e4

Peter Rimbos

19711 241st Ave SE

Maple Valley, WA 98038

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Peter Rimbos

Energy = BOOM!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Pete Sandifer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1be1bb902

Pete Sandifer

410 Pecan tree dr.

Montgomery, AL 36109

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Pete Sandifer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:25:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: ken kuzma
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e16b1b252e52e

ken kuzma

5628 horizon ct

longview, WA 98632

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kenneth Kuzma

I request that you deny Union Pacific's proposal for track build

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:15:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amanda Goodwin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e161effa08061

Amanda Goodwin

3538 sw 110th st.

Seattle, WA 98146

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amanda Goodwin

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:15:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brian Gingras
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e161ee2bed11f

Brian Gingras

52 Bradford Commons Lane

Braintree, MA 02184

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brian Gingras

The Mosier derailment should be a wake-up call for all regulators and decision makers, and they need to hear that we demand the denial of the project.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:15:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: LK WOODRUFF
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e161edf02d6bc

LK WOODRUFF

2884 138th St W

ROSEMOUNT, MN 55068

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I remain deeply concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of ever more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I hope you consider my comments very seriously. Far more serious regulation of the RRS is needed. They have enjoyed tremendous autonomy for many, many years...and as a result have made tremendous profits but also run amuck horrifically & clearly care not at all about the impact on humans or the environment:(

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

LK WOODRUFF

opposition to an increase in Oil and coal transport along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:15:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dennis Megrditchian
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e161ed0397651

Dennis Megrditchian

11204 NE Sherwood Dr

Vancouver, WA 98686

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I have just sold my $12,000 of Union Pacific Stock putting my money where my mouth is regarding the increased risk to the Columbia River, the communities along the tracks and the potential for negating the by now billions we have spent and continue to add to to protect the salmon.

And the purpose of new terminals, track and an order of magnitude more rail traffic is to sell cheap energy to our manufacturing competitors while we continue to cook our planet.

I am a Physicist and a Professional Mechanical Engineer, licensed i three states. I know how difficult it will be to substantially transition away from fossil in the near future. But the first step in reducing their use is to stop increasing their use. Especially when our Columbia is at stake.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wildlife down

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dennis Megrditchian

Dirty oil

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 10:15:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Melski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e161ec975ae09

Linda Melski

1136 W Ives St

Marshfield, WI 54449

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Linda Melski

Rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:45:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jean Crossley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1466afe559f1

Jean Crossley

Box 1185

Winters, CA 95694

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it would increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jean Crossley

Union Pacific track expanson

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:45:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Wilhelm
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1466a4aca355

George Wilhelm

12747 4th Ave NW

Seattle, WA 98177

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

George Wilhelm

Please don't allow oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:45:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Felber

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1466a1a7fddf

Michael Felber

5413 State Route 20

Port Townsend, WA 98368

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Felber

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:45:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: pat holbrook
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e14669d6f9914

pat holbrook

403 mccarty rd

clintwood, VA 24228

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

sincerely

pat holbrook

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Phyllis Lager
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3f3881a6f

Phyllis Lager

5349 Theresa Way

Livermore, CA 94550

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Phyllis Lager

No More Oil Train Disasters!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Springer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3f264eb01

John Springer

370 N East Camano Dr 5-231

Camano Island, WA 98282

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

John Springer

Oil train concerns

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alec Herr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3f0f53875

Alec Herr

4905 Osage Dr, Apt. 107

Boulder, CO 80303

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Alec Herr

Alec Herr

---

oil trains in the Columbia River gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christina Manetti
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3abdd16ea

Christina Manetti

PO Box 99097

Lakewood, WA 98496

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Christina Manetti

---

oil trains in the Columbia River gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christina Manetti
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3db802ba8

Christina Manetti

PO Box 99097

Lakewood, WA 98496

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

hristina Manetti

oil trains in the Columbia River gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christina Manetti
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3df821db3

Christina Manetti

PO Box 99097

Lakewood, WA 98496

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Christina Manetti

Oil Train danger

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Melissa Simmons

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3cd2a4857

Melissa Simmons

2742 Montana Ave

Cincinnati, OH 45211

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

elissa Simmons

elissa Simmons

---

l trains through the gorge

nessage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Keri Merriman
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

156e13d3ab880938

Merriman

s. youngfield ct.

Morrison, CO 80465

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tra passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffi

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Keri merriman

Oil track construction

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barb Fritz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d3a0769f34

Barb Fritz

4705 N.E. Ainsworth

Portland, OR 97218

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This lemonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barb Fritz

Oil

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Brooks
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d396d71e2f

aul Brooks

088 w oakmeadow dr

Porte, IN 46360

gust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Paul Brooks

Oil

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Brooks
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d399e90516

Paul Brooks

7088 w oakmeadow dr

La Porte, IN 46360

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Paul Brooks

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tina Tine'
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d383f6abbb

Tina Tine'

4831 E. Summit Circle

Knoxville, TN 37919

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Tina Tine'

Tina Tine'

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:35:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Thomas Gilmore
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e13d381e8a1e8

Thomas Gilmore

317 Parkridge Rd

Bellingham, WA 98225

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for il train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

omas Gilmore

mas Gilmore

---

rge Oil Trains

essage(s)

: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:24:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Tim Stone
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56e1340dc27e37d

tone

164 Palos Verdes

White Salmon, WA 98672

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throu some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of t passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Vater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of v much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union ific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

eview needed:

he project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

arm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ark, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely creation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans d Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tim Stone

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:24:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Moran
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1340d6e0e1f9

Robert Moran

193 Water Side St.

Ridgeley, WV 26753

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pl to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Moran

---

il trains

message(s)

---

te: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:24:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Kara Stucker
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156e1340d3651cf9

a Stucker

4 e. Mt. Spokane park dr

d, WA 99021

st 31, 2016

ngie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colur River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is l necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kara Stucker

Kara Stucker

No more oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:24:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Zoe Bird
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1340cda73a4e

Zoe Bird

4918 37th Ave So

Minneapolis, MN 55417

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The nev track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia r. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of s and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown on into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Zoe Bird

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:24:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheri Hill
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e1340a80fb24c

Cheri Hill

Po box 480

White salmon, WA 98672

ugust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbi River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ely,

Sincerely,

Cheri Hill

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:14:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kathy Tonegawa
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e12ad6d5d29e0

Kathy Tonegawa

7131 S. W. 5th Avenue

Portland, OR 97219

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's o tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia r. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean er Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of nuch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase affic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kathy Tonegawa

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:14:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Erma Lewis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e12ad6c7d5ad1

Erma Lewis

1736 63 Street

Brooklyn, NY 11204

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of osier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

he Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ing to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements c how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Un Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incr train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mos Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are dowr inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be lversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

Lewis

E THE COLUMBIA RIVER GORGE

sage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 09:04:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Christine Westland

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e121ac8ffe55d

Christine Westland

8293 Fawn Crescent

Blaine, WA 98230

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

HURT NOT THE EARTH

Christine Westland

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:04:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Andrade
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e121ab8c90e45

Paul Andrade

115 D coral St

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Paul S Andrade

Paul S Andrade

---

lo Oil Trains in the Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:04:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Martha Stevenson
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
156e121aac790ba5

rtha Stevenson

Box 1878

te Salmon, WA 98672

ust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trair passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Martha Stevenson

No new tracks for cola or oil. Stop transport along Columbia River

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:04:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: E LONGWELL
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e121a964a9dbd

E LONGWELL

2032 ST CLAIR ST

BELLINGHAM, WA 98229

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- .The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is bited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbi River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

E JONES LONGWELL

E JONES LONGWELL

RE: Union Pacific's application for new rail tracks near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:04:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ericka Berg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e121a852c611f

ricka Berg

2004 31st Ave NE

eattle, WA 98125

ugust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

n very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ericka Berg

No More Oil Trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:04:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jayleen Hatmaker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e121a70d56f7c

Jayleen Hatmaker

501 Patton Dr.

Springboro, OH 45066-8954

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County linances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is nibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the bia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jayleen Hatmaker

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 09:04:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maria Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e121a6f2c50ef

Maria Smith

Deildarvegur 1

Syðrugøta, AK 00513

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

n very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

e oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture old of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ar safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onal use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available s, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Ur Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could inc train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

rely,

Kristina Smith

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:54:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jon lo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e118793cbaab7

jon lo

jon@longsworth.com

aptos, CA 95003

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oi tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to oss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown llution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia er. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the umbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ter Act.

acts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union ic had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This eniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

jon longsworth

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:54:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jon lo

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1187ba833f96

jon lo

jon@longsworth.com

aptos, CA 95003

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for il train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The try average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

ts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

longsworth

---

lumbia Gorge Oil Trains

essage(s)

: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:54:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: greta aul
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56e1187adf85bec

aul

olumbia Ave

Lancaster, PA 17603

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throug some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tra passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traff

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that le of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans and Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Greta R. Aul

Deny Union Pacific's proposal to Build a Double Track

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:54:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Shayna Bailey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1187a21dea37

Shayna Bailey

11400 Cuervo Way

Atascadero, CA 93422

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic l adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

he proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Shayna Bailey

Shayna Bailey

No more oil trains

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:54:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Nora Roman
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
156e1187a08fbb55

ra Roman

Arnold

Francisco, CA 94110

ust 31, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colu River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is l necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nora Roman, RN

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:44:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Able
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e10f556f2a575

Mary Able

535-000 Little Valley Road

McArthur, CA 96056

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's c tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of t project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, there increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fau the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requiremen

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is rohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia r. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean r Act.

cts of more trains:

recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of uch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase affic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

e project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

e proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Able

No more oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:44:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Randi Holt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e10f545ea9e6e

Randi Holt

573 N Stephen Dr

Palatine, IL 60067

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

s to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unic Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

di Holt

MORE TRAIN THROUGH THE COLUMBIA GORGE

ssage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 08:44:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Judy Nuttman
gieb@co.wasco.or.us

6e10f4eae63ed3

uttman

305 NE 104th Ave.

Vancouver, WA 98664

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throu some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of t passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

he project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

arm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ark, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ecreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that e of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans nd Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Judy Nuttman

Judy Nuttman

Please protect the Columbia gorge scenic and natural area

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:44:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stan Robibson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e10f4ce37f873

Stan Robibson

4627 SW 29 th place

Portland, OR 97239

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through one of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is affected by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, stan Robinson

Stan Robinson

No trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:44:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Lieberman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e10f4cbc954fe

Sharon Lieberman

36660 Annapolis Rd

Annapolis, CA 95412

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is d necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Lieberman

No oil trains through Columbia River gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:44:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ron Hubert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e10f4c2c66cf2

Ron Hubert

6800 Virgil Way

Flagstaff, AZ 86001

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated / viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County inances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of s and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ron Hubert

Oil Trains Through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:34:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Rossi
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1061fffe8954

Linda Rossi

402 4th St NE

Auburn, WA 98002

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

Sincerely,

Linda Rossi

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:34:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Arnold Strang
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1061f1f8c4d4

Arnold Strang

23607 46th Pl. W.

Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043-5745

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's c tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of t project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, there increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia r. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean r Act.

ts of more trains:

recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of uch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase affic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Arnold Strang

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:34:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan King
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1061e68372c0

Susan King

9508 NE 56th Ct

Vancouver, WA 98665

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of osier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

he Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ing to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements c how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Un Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incr train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosi Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be lversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

n King

---

rains

sage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 08:34:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Brian Resh

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1061dc95c6ed

Brian Resh

1153 Marticville Rd

Pequea, PA 17565

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia iver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union ific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

he project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brian Resh

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:34:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas Dawley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e1061d5111c1f

Thomas Dawley

4 Pine Ridge End

North Truro, MA 02652

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through one of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

PC 2 2-2029

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversel affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

homas Dawley

homas Dawley

---

o more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

message(s)

---

te: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: William Ryerson
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156e0fcf5c2d6295

iam Ryerson

0 Oles Dr N

anapolis, IN 46228

ust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

William Ryerson

William Ryerson

NO OIL IN OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steven Kostis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0fcf32b2b625

Steven Kostis

261 W. 28th St.

New York, NY 10001

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steven Kostis

Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Doyle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e0fcf2da18d8e

haron Doyle

0026 Palms blvd. #2

s Angeles, CA 90034

gust 31, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal , and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

y, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be sely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Doyle

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellen Stauffer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0fcf25fb568e

Ellen Stauffer

2631 E Poplar Drive

Bloomington, IN 47401

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia river. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia river. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ellen Stauffer

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jamie Fillmore
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0fcf1b3ef344

Jamie Fillmore

18770 SW Lisa Court

Beaverton, OR 97006

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for itional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Un Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incr train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

rely,

Fillmore

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeanette Copeland
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0fcf141d1e35

Jeanette Copeland

1832 Montana St

Missoula, MT 59801

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oi tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In li the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirement According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union c had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase raffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

he project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

he proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This eniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeanette Copeland

No Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Peha

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0fcf01968c25

David Peha

14923 SE 183rd St.

Renton, WA 98058

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, ne Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

s to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansi

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

vid and Julie Peha

vid and Julie Peha

---

trains

ssage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 08:24:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Robert Connor
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

6e0fcedb2b9109

t Connor

ore Road

White Salmon, WA 98672

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throug some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tr passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traf

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that le of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans and Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Connor

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks in Oregan

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:14:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheryl Fahlman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0f3c4ac84611

Cheryl Fahlman

9224 Sandy Lake Circle

Gaithersburg, MD 20879

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would sely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause e impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated wing areas.

e proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would advers affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure t any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

hank you for your consideration

heryl Fahlman

Coal and Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:14:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sheri Staley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0f3c3be2e376

Sheri Staley

6052 E Pickering Rd

Shelton, WA 98584

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oi tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sheri Staley

NEW RAIL TRACK

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:14:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Dorothy Battle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0f3c268909c3

Dorothy Battle

6088 Brookline Court

Lisle, IL 60532

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

s to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

othy Battle

---

loding trains

ssage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 08:14:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Toddy Perryman
gieb@co.wasco.or.us

6e0f3c16344f1b

Perryman

1525 Silver Sage Ln.

Corvallis, MT 59828

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throu some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of t passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

he project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

arm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ark, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ecreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that e of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans nd Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ms. Toddy Perryman

Safety and the Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:14:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Margolis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0f3c0b9a94b8

David Margolis

507 Highland Grove Dr.

Buffalo Grove, IL 60089

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic l adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure t any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

avid Margolis

---

o more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

nessage(s)

---

te: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:04:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Vernon Batty
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

156e0ea95769e47c

on Batty

Majestic Dr

sa Springs, CO 81147

t 31, 2016

ngie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Vernon Batty

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:04:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vanessa Carlson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0ea94f391ad2

Vanessa Carlson

PO Box 411

Centerville, SD 57014

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbi River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Vanessa

---

no more bomb trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:04:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Troyano
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e0ea93cb776b8

Paul Troyano

738 Palmyra St

ew Orleans, LA 70119

ugust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columb River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ely,

thank you.

Paul Troyano

Toxic oil is destroying our natural environment.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:04:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Rivera
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0ea91cd2bb52

Robert Rivera

95 W 95th St. Apt. 24 A

New York City, NY 10025-6776

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, there increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown tion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia . As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean r Act.

ts of more trains:

recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of uch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Rivera

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 08:04:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lisa Allarde
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0ea9065fcd99

Lisa Allarde

129 Milan Way

Green Lane, PA 18054-2265

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for itional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Un Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incr train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mos Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be lversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

Allarde

---

nore oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!

sage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 08:04:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Lisa Allarde

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0ea9157ccaba

Lisa Allarde

129 Milan Way

Green Lane, PA 18054-2265

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

acts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

eview needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lisa Allarde

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Litsa Katsarou
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0e16bec05b68

Litsa Katsarou

65 Kerasountos

Albany, NY 12242

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

he project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains g through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

ne project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ed by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would advers affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure t any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ncerely,

sa Katsarou

---

l Trains

essage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: Rhonda Danner
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

56e0e16586c8632

da Danner

Stonewall Cir

n, OH 45415

31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that the sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Danner

Rhonda Danner

Oil Trains

3 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rhonda Danner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0e168209d645

Rhonda Danner

4121 Stonewall Cir

Dayton, OH 45415

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County inances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Colun River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Danner

Rhonda Danner

Oil Trains

3 message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Rhonda Danner
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156e0e16b6717cef

onda Danner

21 Stonewall Cir

yton, OH 45415

ust 31, 2016

Angie Brewer,

ery concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new nd resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, lumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new d crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting t cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be sely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Danner

Rhonda Danner

No new Union Pacific rail lines in Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sigrid Asmus
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0e16a361ce2e

Sigrid Asmus

4009 24th Ave West

Seattle, WA 98199

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am profoundly concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, Orego
The new track and the resulting increase in number, length, heaviness, and speed of trains pose significant risks to
the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment -- not to mention the health of th
people of Mosier, a little town right by the rails. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal ar
crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, an
dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

(1) Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. All oil trains are inherently unsafe, and approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires.Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, Oregon, because they failed to follow even the minimum accurate safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

(2) Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural, and recreation resources, and would present a constant danger to all local ommunities along the line.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause versible adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from signated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia r. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is absolutely not in the public's interest; moreover, filling is one more step that will advance global warming. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special agement Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of s and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown n into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

oal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

(3) Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by only 5 to 7 trains per day, whereas the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the center of the Mosier Urban Area, creating a permanent negative impact on every aspect of the local community and its environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

(4) More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than merely "an expansion."

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR deliberately excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be provided in full, and be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by an independent professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives must be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has an exceptionally high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance.These resources must be fully identified and protected with proper consultation done with local tribal leaders and informed scholars.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sigrid Asmus

Unsafe Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeffery Young
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e0e169b599e08

effery Young

792 Saint Paul Blvd

ochester, NY 14617

gust 31, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new nd crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ou to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

Sincerely,

jeffery young

Union P acific Railroad application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: April Hancy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0e166d4d76c5

April Hancy

70 Tremont St.

Barre, VT 05641

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's o tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of t project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, therel increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia er. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the umbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean er Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union c had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase raffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

April Hancy

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Toni Mabry
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0e16670616d0

Toni Mabry

`1351 E. McIntosh Road

Griffin, GA 30223

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unic Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

Mabry

---

ase stop rail crossings in the Gorge as it is so dangerous.

ssage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 07:54:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Sheila McCandlish

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0e1657bd53cb

Sheila McCandlish

5542 Swift Creek Drive

Mount Vernon, WA 98273

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

acts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union fic had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

eview needed:

he project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sheila McCandlish

Columbia Gorge no more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: rob fursich
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0d839af90b16

rob fursich

9 longfellow

hartsdale, NY 10530

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rob Fursich

Oil Trains

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: George King
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156e0d837e756db4

orge King

08 NE 56th Ct

ncouver, WA 98665

ust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

George King

George King

Oil trains are too dangerous

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Matthew Koch
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0d83676684b3

Matthew Koch

1000 Maxwell Ave #8

Boulder, CO 80304

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matthew Koch

Oil Trains in the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Max Salt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0d8365b01059

Max Salt

l Nomail St

Coventry, RI 02816

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Max Salt

oil train derailment and fire along the grand Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: christin dahl
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0d8355404862

christin dahl

2526 51st St SW

Everett, WA 98203

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia river. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

acts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union fic had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

mother earth

It's time to end the assault on us and our environment

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Simone Dail
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0d834914bc33

Simone Dail

1714 Samoa Ct

Austin, TX 78660

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unior Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

none Dail

---

more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

essage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Martha Price
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

56e0d83267af87c

na Price

PO Box 329

Kittery, ME 03904

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throug some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tra passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that le of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans and Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Martha Price

Union Pacific's inadequate safety record

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:44:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: LYNDA CUNNINGHAM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0d83241b1fcd

LYNDA CUNNINGHAM

1405 SE 38TH ST

VANCOUVER, WA 98683

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The lustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic d adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversel affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

YNDA CUNNINGHAM

---

xpansion on Union Pacific Rail Lines

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Marie Slattery
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156e0cf09503f2f7

rie Slattery

rst Street

sick Falls, NY 12090

ust 31, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is d necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marie Slattery

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bruce Cratty
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0cf08ee9522e

Bruce Cratty

2525 S. Humboldt St.

Denver, CO 80210

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The ne
track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Ar
the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build r
coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coa
trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia . Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is oited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

ridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown n into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Bruce M. Cratty

Bruce M. Cratty

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Katherine Slawinski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0cf081b74f57

Katherine Slawinski

321 East 17 Street

New York, NY 10003

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbi River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

Sincerely,

Katherine Slawinski

You must deny Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Sheldon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0cf069649ed3

Michelle Sheldon

2880 NW 156th Pl

Beaverton, OR 97006

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, then increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown tion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the nbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Act.

s of more trains:

recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Sheldon

Oil on the tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Wolfe
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0cf06926ac46

Michael Wolfe

4071 Liberty Rd. S

Salem, OR 97302

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

chael Wolfe

chael Wolfe

---

otect and serve the citizens of the Gorge and beyond

essage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: Kate Fuqua

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0cf0576e9b59

Kate Fuqua

100 SE 52nd Ave

Portland, OR 97215

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia iver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean /ater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kate Fuqua

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jessica McGuire
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0cf0535d4519

Jessica McGuire

613 N Bancroft St

Indianapolis, IN 46201

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

ts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

he project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains g through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

e project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ed by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure t any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ncerely,

ssica McGuire

---

l trsins

---

nessage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Tom Warren
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

156e0cf052b07198

Warren

Box 64

nthe, NC 27968

t 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throug some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tra passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traff would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from desig key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans and Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that d is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

PRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That tion must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

e County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a onal botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tom Warren

---

no oil trains along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Helen Hays
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0cf04b186206

Helen Hays

18553 S Ferguson Rd

Oregon City, OR 97045

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for ne oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ne of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic d adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause rse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated iewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nces and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

larm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ted in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

hreats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Helen Logan Hays

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:34:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Martin Sheehan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e0cf03d392ee7

Martin Sheehan

817 north Atlantic ave

ape Canaveral, FL 32920

gust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Martin Sheehan

oil trains in Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:24:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kristin King
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0c5d4dd7094e

Kristin King

2716B Via Milano Avenue

Tallahassee, FL 32303

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kristin King

Comment

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:24:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan McMullin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0c5d48c35241

Susan McMullin

Fairfield Avenue

Ft. Wayne, IN 46807

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

e oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ar safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this t would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby sing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onal use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unior Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

ncerely,

san McMullin

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:24:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Guier
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0c5d3bde57cf

Richard Guier

255 W 108th St

New York, NY 10025

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard Guier

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:14:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jennifer Scott

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0bca95fa289f

Jennifer Scott

15930 Bayside Pointe West #703

Fort Myers, FL 33908-6944

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expans

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

nifer Scott

---

umbia River Mosier Oregon

ssage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 07:14:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Patricia DiRienzo
gieb@co.wasco.or.us

6e0bca90646af2

DiRienzo

orehead St

Erie, PA 16508

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trair passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Dirienzo

Columbia Gorge oil trains?

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:14:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lindsay Suter
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0bca8f832a97

Lindsay Suter

16 Mill Rd

North Branford, CT 06471

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause e impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated wing areas.

e proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversel affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lindsay Suter

Union Pacific Application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:14:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Derek Johnson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0bca870a97f0

Derek Johnson

326 Sherman Hare Rd

Grantsville, MD 21536

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's o tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of t project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, there increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In li the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requiremen

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia river. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union c had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase raffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

he project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

he proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Derek Johnson

Please Keep Oregon Green and Beautiful

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:14:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Tony Moureilles
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0bca74b5821e

Tony Moureilles

77 Trowbridge Street #61

Cambridge, MA 02138

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

ny Moureilles

---

ergy

essage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:14:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Inez Hein
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

56e0bca661b428d

Hein

2320 Starr Lake Dr.

Acworth, GA 30101

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that le of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans and Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Inez Hein

Inadequately maintained tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:14:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diana Cimadevilla
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0bca51d42355

Diana Cimadevilla

19124 NW 13th St

Pembroke Pines, FL 33029

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic d adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Diana Cimadevilla

Diana Cimadevilla

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sterling & Louise Proffitt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b3830614763

Sterling & Louise Proffitt

795 Whitetail Lane

Keswick, VA 22947-9361

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

lore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sterling & Louise Proffitt

End oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kathryn Carpenter
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b3826e5fd77

Kathryn Carpenter

2708 Fenimore Rd.

Wheaton, MD 20902-2610

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The ne track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia r. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of s and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown on into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbi River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Carpenter

Kathryn Carpenter

Bomb Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Smead
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b381432f870

Sharon Smead

31 Academy Street

Mayville, NY 14757

ugust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

n very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

e oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

Sharon Smead

Sharon Smead

Union Pacific Rail Expansion Proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bob Shippee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b380d36c6f2

Bob Shippee

13000 Trinity Ct

Henrico, VA 23233

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's o tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is rohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to oss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia er. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the umbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean er Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union c had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase raffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bob Shippee

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Timothy Schacht
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b37f1017149

Timothy Schacht

1330 Whittier Road

Grosse Pointe Park, MI 48230

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

thy Schacht, DVM

---

more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

ssage(s)

Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Eric Wessman

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b37d21d5ff6

Eric Wessman

723 Pelhamdale Ave

Pelham, NY 10803

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier pan Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eric Wessman

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kirk Rhoads
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b37ca429f60

Kirk Rhoads

0000

Mountain Home, AR 72653

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kirk Rhoads

Kirk Rhoads

Rape of our countryside

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Terri Gilreath
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156e0b37b1e95990

rri Gilreath

2 Cofield Dr.

peville, GA 30354

gust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from desig key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Terri Gilreath

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 07:04:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dorothy Jordan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0b37ad501c0f

Dorothy Jordan

1407 Abbott Rd

Lynden, WA 98264

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dorothy Jordan

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:54:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charity Moschopoulos
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0aa50f8961b7

Charity Moschopoulos

3617 Sprucedale Dr

Annandale, VA 22003

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charity Mos

Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:54:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "C. Kasey"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0aa50f6d3976

C. Kasey

9317 Guenevere Pl.

Mechanicsville, VA 23116

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia river. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia river. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

C. Kasey

Dangers of Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:44:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joan Ferrante
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0a123d1433df

Joan Ferrante

440 Riverside Drive

New York, NY 10027

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Un Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incr train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

Ferrante

Ferrante

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:44:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marty Mason
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0a121851a651

Marty Mason

371 E Glengary Cir

Highland Heights, OH 44143

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length, and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest, which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe and approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project should be reviewed as new development. Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marty Mason

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:44:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sarah Deumling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0a120f9715e2

Sarah Deumling

4550 Oak Grove Rd.

Rickreall, OR 97371

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sarah Deumling

---

stop

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:44:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: steve lucas
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0a120b253607

steve lucas

2706 del curto rd

austin, TX 78704

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia river. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

steve

Deny application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:34:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: FELICIA DiSalvatore
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e097ee1a7b58f

FELICIA DiSalvatore

Plainfield St

Johnston, RI 02919

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

FELICIA DiSalvatore

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:34:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vik H
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e097edd64eb76

Vik H

28 street

Astoria, NY 11102

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Vik h

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:34:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Bridget Irons
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e097ed413fa2d

Bridget Irons

16 W. Southampton Ave.

Philadelphia, PA 19118-3909

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The lustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bridget Irons

Rail tracks in the Gorge

message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:34:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: aron shevis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e097ed290b7ea

aron shevis

302 windsor pl

brooklyn, NY 11218

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans oand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

aron shevis

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:24:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Leopold
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e08ec74ea397b

James Leopold

227 Three Islands Rd.

Colchester, VT 05446

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for ne oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plar to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Leopold

Oil trains

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:24:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Rosalind Bolach
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156e08ec5791d758

osalind Bolach

1 5th

zeman, MT 59718

just 31, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rosalind

Deny Union Pacific Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:24:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brooke Kane
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e08ec45d5f74b

Brooke Kane

6102 Franklin Park Road

McLean, VA 22101

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brooke Kane

Safety

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:24:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Campbell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e08ec36213f4a

Susan Campbell

472 Arbella Loop

The Villages, FL 32162

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

Susan Campbell

Susan Campbell

Oil by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:24:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Putrich
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e08ec29ffb24b

Steve Putrich

2513 Huntleigh Rd

Springfield, IL 62704

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oi tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve

Stop oil trains thru Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:14:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joy LaClaire
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0859785d4a26

Joy LaClaire

3018-B W. Villard St.

Bozeman, MT 59718

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joy LaClaire

---

railroad in columbia gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:14:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: susan lewis

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e085955a3ebde

susan lewis

1471 Jewett

Ann Arbor, MI 48104

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

susan lewis

Stop oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:14:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Clapper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0859500eaa84

David Clapper

5301 Broadwing Drive

Duluth, MN 55804

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David L. Clapper, Ph.D.

---

lo more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:14:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: WF Clement
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
156e085931415483

F Clement

2 Kent Oaks Way

thersburg, MD 20878

ust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

WF Clement

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:14:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sally Yost
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0859295794d9

Sally Yost

6303 Blenheim Rd.

Baltimore, MD 21212

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sally Yost

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:14:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: MaryAnn Linehan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e085923f693f6

aryAnn Linehan

21 e lancaster ave

ayne, PA 19087

gust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Linehan

MaryAnn Linehan

No RR Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:04:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Avra Leigh
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e07c6918bb39a

Avra Leigh

2470 Layman Trestle Rd

Harrisonburg, VA 22802

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am disgusted by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. Of the $7 billion dollars that the railroad system was required to spend on maintenance, not a damn thing has been done.

Now UPR wants expand its track resulting in the increase in number, length and speed of trains posing significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River Gorge National Area and Memaloose State Park. There are proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. The speed of transport by Union Pacific oil trains is routinely in violation of safety rules including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s, as well as new rail car rules required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires.

Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County linances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is nibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Avra Leigh

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:04:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Cleland
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e07c68d12a32c

James Cleland

168-19th Ave

Madawaska, ME 04756

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

mes Cleland

James Cleland

No new track

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:04:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ryan Houlette
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e07c68a29c16b

Ryan Houlette

11 Newman St

Cambridge, MA 02140

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ryan Houlette

Upholding the Lummi Nation's treaty rights

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 06:04:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Roxy Darling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e07c68a1c20b1

Roxy Darling

936 Waterlily Rd.

Coinjock, NC 27923

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

ncerely,

xy Darling

---

more oil trains

essage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:54:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: Kathleen Spinks
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56e0733f62f33b2

Kathleen Spinks

5023 SW 69th Terrace

Gainesville, FL 32608-3734

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kathleen Spinks

---

oil

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:54:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alan Shute
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0733f4489d4b

Alan Shute

15 Cummings Ave.

Lexington, MA 02420

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alan Shute

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:54:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nicole Berkheimer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e07339033f567

Nicole Berkheimer

5709 Bluewood Lane

Knoxville, TN 37921

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nicole Berkheimer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:44:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: patrick BOOT
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e06a0f048ab85

patrick BOOT

4130 beaver brook

Dallas, TX 75229

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

P. BOOT

New rail track near Mosier, OR

message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:44:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marilyn Waltasti
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e06a0ea61e2aa

Marilyn Waltasti

20117 N. Geyser Drive

Maricopa, AZ 85138

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trair passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marilyn Waltasti

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:44:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steven Steele
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e06a0e6dca3aa

Steven Steele

7451 Dallas Ct N

Maple Grove, MN 55311

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This lemonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Steven Steele

Steven Steele

---

exploding oil trains

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:44:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Roseanne L
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156e06a0c8876fa6

oseanne L

4 21st

llingham, WA 98225

gust 31, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deemed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Roseanne

---

deny Union Pacific's proposal to build this double track.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:44:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: norris williams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e06a0c6c86b03

norris williams

2430 nw 38 st

gainesville, FL 32605

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

norris williams

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:44:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Seigerschmidt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e06a0c66085b3

Barbara Seigerschmidt

10041 Scott Ave

Whittier, CA 90603

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara

Rail Expansion In Columbia Gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:34:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen Sleeper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e060e084f5aca

Stephen Sleeper

24716 Carnoustie Ct.

Bonita Springs, FL 34135

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephen Sleeper

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:34:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: M W
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e060df901f14d

M W

9

R, LA 70123

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ncerely,

---

o more oil trains

nessage(s)

---

te: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:34:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Elaine Matthew

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e060df599c12d

Elaine Matthew

923 Oak St

Grand Forks, ND 58201

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Elaine Matthew

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:24:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elena Wood
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e057b3338e848

Elena Wood

3946 NE 12th

Portland, OR 97212

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ne of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elena Wood

Union Pacific Rail Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:24:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Edith Borie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156e057b2c5bef85

Edith Borie

109 Friedrich Naumann St.

Karlsruhe, Germany, NY 12561

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that / sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Edith Borie

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:14:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Matthew Genaze
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e04e89b5be630

Matthew Genaze

334 Harvard Street

Cambridge, MA 02139

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Matthew Genaze

Matthew Genaze

Oil and coal trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:14:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Noel Orr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e04e889e887e3

Noel Orr

1610 NE 192nd St.

Shoreline, WA 98155

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal rains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Noel Orr

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:14:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christina Krauz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e04e87cd46ddf

Christina Krauz

701 W 5th St.

Grand Marais, MN 55604

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is rohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to oss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown lution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia er. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the umbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ter Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Christina Krauz

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 05:14:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Moulton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e04e87c315a95

David Moulton

186 harmony lane

Millers Creek, NC 28651

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

David Moulton

Oil Trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:54:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donald Priest
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e03c32f5ff3bf

Donald Priest

11054 Botsford Ln

Petoskey, MI 49770

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donald Priest

Safety

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:44:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eric West

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0330bb9810e9

Eric West

3943 S. Peninsula Dr.

Port Orange, FL 32127-6515

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The lustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eric West

No oil trains

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:44:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Jacqueline Pasternack
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156e0330b07e6e6b

acqueline Pasternack

47 Harborough Rd.

Columbus, OH 43220

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Pasternack

Deny Union Pacific's plan to expand rail capacity in Wasco County

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:44:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marsha Barr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e033083a7cc0b

Marsha Barr

1939 AdamsSt

Eugene, OR 97405

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Marsha Barr

Marsha Barr

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:34:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra koppel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e029dd330ccee

Sandra koppel

337 West 30th Street

New York, NY 10001

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sandra Koppel

Sandra Koppel

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:34:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Hallette Salazar
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e029d88de45ee

Hallette Salazar

9017 NE Country Woods Lane

Kingston, WA 98346

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hallette Salazar

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:24:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gail Troy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e020ac3dfb889

Gail Troy

3036 Dutch Creek

Shipman, VA 22971

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gail Troy

NO more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:14:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Natalie Hanson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0178199baef9

Natalie Hanson

1815 Briarwood Dr.

Lansing, MI 48917

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I strongly urge you to DENY this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I strongly urge you to DENY the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Natalie Hanson

No More OIL TRAINS through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:14:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sergi Goldman-Hull
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e017801742306

Sergi Goldman-Hull

3923 Nevil St.

Oakland, CA 94601

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sergi Goldman-Hull

Sergi Goldman-Hull

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:14:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Ms. Gayle B. Rosenberry"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e017800d92fc4

Ms. Gayle B. Rosenberry

703 Gorsuch Ave.

Baltimore, MD 21218-3525

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ms. Gayle B. Rosenberry

No More Trains!

message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:04:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Adams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e00e5ae641157

James Adams

522 N. 6th St.

Missouri Valley, IA 51555

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Adams

Rail Track Near Mosier, Oregon

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:04:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Sparks
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e00e565ad53d3

Diane Sparks

P.O. Box 675

Lillian, TX 76061

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Sparks

Rail Track Near Mosier, Oregon

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:04:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Sparks
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e00e58981d699

Diane Sparks

PO. Box 675

Allian, TX 76061

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Sparks

Comment on Union Pacific RR Application for New Track by Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:04:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Adams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e00e5839a8076

David Adams

14487 Burlington Pkwy.

Penn Valley, CA 95946

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am intensely concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The expansion in both track and number, length, and speed of trains poses significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Please deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Adams

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:04:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julie Adams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e00e56fc2a917

Julie Adams

522 N. 6th St.

Missouri Valley, IA 51555

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Julie Adams

Julie Adams

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 04:04:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: ISABEL CERVERA
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e00e56777cefa

ISABEL CERVERA

25, SCHOOL ST

FAITH, NC 28041

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ISABEL CERVERA

ISABEL CERVERA

Say No to Oil Trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 03:54:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "R. Brent Palmer"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e0052b191b710

R. Brent Palmer

1384 Courthouse Rd

Palmyra, VA 22963

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unior Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Brent Palmer

Brent Palmer

Please deny Union Pacific's application for new track

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 03:34:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Virginia Smedberg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dff2d5305ea6c

Virginia Smedberg

441 Washington Ave

Palo Alto, CA 94301

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

This especially concerns me, since Union Pacific evidently has not offered to improve their maintenance, and thereby proves themselves inadequate to deal with any new track. They should be forced to upgrade their existing track maintenance before any other change is even considered.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Virginia Smedberg

Prevent Yet More Train Wrecks: Nix Union Pacific's Application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 03:24:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sarah McKee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dfe9a7e35c2d1

Sarah McKee

9 Chadwick CT

Amherst, MA 01002-2825

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

t gives new meaning to the term, 'train wreck.'

he new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National cenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment.

his is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest. hese would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the orge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR. Why? Because it failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sarah McKee

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 03:24:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Camilla Spicer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dfe9a706ad2a3

Camilla Spicer

142 Sinclair St SE

Port Charlotte, FL 33952

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Camilla Spicer

Oil Trains along the Columbia River especially

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 03:24:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Ms Barbara Hughes
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156dfe9a62c93c13

s Barbara Hughes

11 Via Hermosa

nford, FL 32771

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that the sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

The Columbia River is one of the most beautiful places I've ever been, and there are far too many oil train spills on record to endanger it now!

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Hughes

No more oil trains!!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 03:04:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robin Craft
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dfd74f3b33f01

Robin Craft

PO Box 126

Plain City, OH 43064

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robin Craft

Rail track in Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 02:44:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: gay williamson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156dfc4fc8a4affc

ay williamson

17 Main

amilton, OH 45013

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deemed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

gay williamson

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 02:24:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Guadalupe Yanez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dfb2a508af12e

Guadalupe Yanez

12484 Robert Dahl Dr.

El Paso, TX 79938

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Guadalupe Yanez

Unsafe Railroad Transport

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 02:03:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "B. Chan"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dfa04532ddf7e

B. Chan

9895 Scripps Westview

San Digeo, CA 92131

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

Sincerely,

B. Chan

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 02:03:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Celeste Hong
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dfa044b71b732

Celeste Hong

4758 Cromwell Ave.

LA, CA 90027-1144

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Celeste Hong

No more exploding oil trains in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:53:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Carol Hiltner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df971cd0b3a48

Carol Hiltner

14002 Linden Ave N Apt 428

Seattle, WA 98133

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ncerely,

arol Hiltner

---

l train through the gorge NOOOOOOOOOOOOO

nessage(s)

---

te: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:53:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: patricia schiewe
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156df971ac0cb3f8

icia schiewe

6235 washington ct

lake oswego, OR 97035

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Schiewe

Oil trains.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:53:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lauren Goldman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df971aa371231

Lauren Goldman

1000 Sutter Street, #621

San Francisco, CA 94109

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lauren Goldman

Deny Union Pacific Proposal

message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:33:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sara Airoldi
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df84c79d7c213

ara Airoldi

327 Northwest Ave Apt 3

ellingham, WA 98225

gust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designatec key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sara Airoldi

Sara Airoldi

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:33:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diana Saxon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df84c6bad4ad6

Diana Saxon

4098 Market St NE

Salem, OR 97301

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diana Saxon

No more oil trains in the Columbia Gorge!!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:23:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Natalia Voegele
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df7b9c45c712f

Natalia Voegele

12532 SE Linwood Ave

Milwaukie, OR 97222

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

le oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be lversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

Sincerely,

natalia Voegele

UP rail application

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:23:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: stockton garver
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df7b9b4ac06ca

stockton garver

1085 reuben boise rd

dallas, OR 97338

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stockton Garver

Stop the Oil Trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:23:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elaine Fischer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df7b9aa813255

Elaine Fischer

2514 Sharmar Rd.

Roanoke, VA 24018

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unior Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

ncerely,

ine Fischer

---

l?

essage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:13:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Jeff Carlsom

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df726e34b20fa

Jeff Carlsom

4113 No., 26th st

Tacoma, WA 98407

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeff Carlson

Jeff Carlson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:13:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Arrabaca
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df726cbe22922

Andrew Arrabaca

210 Main St., Apt. 1D

Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrew Arrabaca

Environment concerns

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:03:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: tia pearson
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156df6943dd11e6a

pearson

O.box 861697

ahiawa, HI 96786

gust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

tia pearson

tia pearson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 01:03:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Daniela Rossi
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df69430597ae2

Daniela Rossi

Via Roma, 15

Pomezia (Roma), ID 83210

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Daniela Rossi

Daniela Rossi

Investigate Exxon

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:53:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Jacobsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df601594aa93c

Michelle Jacobsen

2006 Boyer Ave E

Seattle, WA 98112

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Jacobsen

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:53:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: dean esch
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df601402806ac

dean esch

1050 E..Cactus Ave. Unit 1007

Las Vegas, NV 89183

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

dean esch

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:53:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Thomas
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df600fa8e546d

Robert Thomas

230 Lynx Court

Fremont, CA 94539

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Uni Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

ert Thomas

Railroads

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:53:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Margaret Beck
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df600c0bbb357

Margaret Beck

445 Moran

Grosse Pointe, MI 48236

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Margaret Beck

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:43:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vera Brown

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df56ddf043e3e

Vera Brown

6 Barcelona Circle

Redwood City, CA 94065-1338

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

era Brown

---

rain Tracks

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:43:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Barbara Williams
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
156df56dca82206e

rbara Williams

Jorgens Rd

Newport, WA 99156

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Barbara Williams

Barbara Williams

Union Pacific Railroad

2 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:43:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Evelyn Och
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df56dc240917c

Evelyn Och

971 bridgewater drive

Pgh, PA 15216

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Evelyn och

Union Pacific Railroad

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:43:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Evelyn Och
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156df56dc49cecda

elyn Och

1 bridgewater drive

n, PA 15216

ust 31, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colun River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species o

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is d necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Evelyn och

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Mon Sep 05 2016 10:09:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Heywood
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156fb1ca42e4232f

Susan Heywood

1311 South 54th Street

Tacoma, WA 98408

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Heywood

Public comment Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Mon Sep 05 2016 08:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Shelly Filgo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156facb62d956c2d

Hi Angie,

Unfortunately I cannot make the public hearing but did want to voice my

opinion. I live in Salt Lake City during the winter we deal with bad air quality from coal plants. Utah also just tried to buy a port in Oakland CA to ship coal to China but with the rally of the public we defeated this action. I'm not sure where thi soil is going but my first guess it's not for the US, but rather over seas. Doesn't this remind you of the typewriter ?

Aret we more advanced than this? It seems with all our technology we can develop better ways to serve the needs of our own country and if big oil wants to make some money by selling overseas they will have to pay for a safe effective way of getting it there. Thank you wish I could be there to support I know how powerful the people can be.

Shelly Filgo

Sbfilgo@gmail.com

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Mon Sep 05 2016 05:59:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Yolanda Holguin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156fa377883b47c7

olanda Holguin

922 Benward Lane

an Antonio, TX 78250

eptember 5, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Yolanda Holguin

Crude oil-by-rail

1 message(s)

Date: Mon Sep 05 2016 04:59:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Oscar Revilla <89065441@opayq.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156fa007d86cda99

Oscar Revilla

none

none, NC 28024

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Oscar Revilla

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Mon Sep 05 2016 03:29:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robin Kory
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f9ae01926c8f5

Robin Kory

15340 SW Village Ln.

Beaverton, OR 97007

September 5, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robin Kory

Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Mon Sep 05 2016 00:38:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kate Ague
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f9123386f596c

Kate Ague

491 Sherwood Way

Menlo Park, CA 94025

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kate Ague

Protect the Columbia River from Oil Spills!

1 message(s)

Date: Mon Sep 05 2016 00:18:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: robert Raven
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f8ffe7e3cf534

robert Raven

250 San Felipe

Novato, CA 94945

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

obert Raven

Jnion Pacific tracks

message(s)

---

ate: Sun Sep 04 2016 22:18:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Barbara Benson
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156f891f17348f36

Barbara Benson

104 Deerfeld Court

Cedar Point, NC 28584

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara

Oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 21:48:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judith Barton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f87676cb29817

Judith Barton

118 Wolf' Trail

Chapel Hill, NC 27516

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversel affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pl to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Judith Barton

udith Barton

---

lo more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Sun Sep 04 2016 20:38:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Giampiero Mariani
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156f8364a1547774

mpiero Mariani

2 West 4 Street

oklyn, NY 11223

ember 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

lore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Giampiero Mariani

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

---

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 18:58:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amy Hansen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f7daa974824f7

Amy Hansen

8 Deboer Farm Ln

Asbury, NJ 08802

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County inances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amy Hansen

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 18:58:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rebecca Canright
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f7daa8dfeafa3

Rebecca Canright

8 Deboer Farm Ln

Asbury, NJ 08802

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rebecca Canright

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 16:38:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Micah Githens
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f75a60009d47e

Micah Githens

1117 W 34th WAy

Vancouver, WA 98660

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Micah Githens

File #PLASAR-15-01-0004

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 16:16:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tracie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f74643b7a166a

I lived 2 blocks from the railroad tracks in Mosier for 7 years. I don't believe it is smart to put a second track through Mosier for a number of reasons. However, the most important is safety.

If an oil train derailed and exploded on the northern track, and a train was parked on the southern track, how would emergency personnel get to it? The Columbia River obviously creates a barrier to access from the north.

Such explosions, as has been demonstrated wherever they occur, are too much of a conflagration for most emergency service districts to deal with as it is. Don't make it more difficult for them by adding yet another complication to their efforts in the form of a second track.

Sincerely,

Tracie Hornung

For Public Hearing On Gorge Rail Line Addition

message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 10:24:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Andrew G. Rowe"
To: "angieb@co.wasco.or.us"
CC: Arlene Burns , Bennett Burns
ID: 156f6039a16e539a

Dear Wasco County Planning Commission,

Am writing to express my opposition to the additional rail line through the Columbia River Gorge on the Oregon side at is being proposed, and specifically through Mosier, Oregon. This decision would be an environmental hardship r the community and expose this region to additional risks that are unwarranted. The recent oil train fire in Mosier is clear example of this, and could have easily escalated into a much larger disaster.

We all recognize the importance of maintaining our transportation infrastructure, and the role that rail traffic plays in enabling commerce. However, the Columbia River Gorge area already is saturated with the two lines which run on both sides of the Columbia River, creating noise, pollution and exposing the area to hazard. The current state of train traffic should not be increased.

Most important, the Gorge communities are opposed to the transport of hazardous materials such as oil through the Gorge, for obvious reasons. The recent oil train fire in Mosier is a clear example of this, and could have easily escalated into a much larger disaster.

Please enter these comments into the public record during the hearing and read them aloud if appropriate.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew G. Rowe
1007 Lava Place
Mosier, OR 97040
Tel: 503-816-9727

Oil Trains through Columbia Gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 09:58:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Langan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f5ebc357abea6

Barbara Langan

13051 Greenwood Road

Huntingdon, PA 16652-6029

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The ne track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Ar the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build n coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coa

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia . Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is bited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

ridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Langan

Fw: PLASAR-15-01-004

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 09:03:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laurie Wall
To: "angieb@co.wasco.or.us"
CC: Laurie Wall
ID: 156f5ba511841bcd

Ms. Brewer, Planning Director-

lease submit this into the record for this case.

ne following approval criterion applies to the proposal:

Chapter 5 - Conditional Use Review

The use or development will not significantly increase fire hazard, fire suppression costs or risks to fire ppression personnel."

dings:
e proposed train rail expansion will clearly significantly increase fire hazard because there will be a ssive increase in train traffic. More trains means more possibilities for accidents that could cause fires. s fact can simply not be disputed.
ncompliance with this criterion means that the application must be denied.

cerely,
rie Wall and Bob Schroeder
perty owners at 1325 Morgensen Road, Wasco County, OR
tact:
eawall@yahoo.com

Mosier OR

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 08:27:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joline Barth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f5994aac01753

Joline Barth

7339 Greenway Drive

Jacksonville, FL 32244

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joline Barth

Protect our homes and health.

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 05:57:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cate Campbell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f50fcb42cc48b

Cate Campbell

PO Box 16962

Missoula, MT 59808

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal rains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cate Campbell

Crude Oil Train Terminals

message(s)

---

ate: Sun Sep 04 2016 05:47:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Robert Sargent
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156f506a1a8349cf

obert Sargent

320 Main St.

Salem, NH 03079

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Sargent

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:33:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janiece Staton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df4db11dc9a52

Janiece Staton

817 SW 171st Avenue

Beaverton, OR 97006

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Janiece Staton

Deny Union Pacific's new rail track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:33:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: James K Hadcroft
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df4db027b120d

James K Hadcroft

356 Gifford St

Falmouth, MA 02540

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

James K Hadcroft here. I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unior Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

mes K Hadcroft

Oil Trains

essage(s)

---

e: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:33:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: Robert Weingart
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56df4dafd45732c

rt Weingart

1888 Jewett Rd.

Powell, OH 43065

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length, and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe; approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions, and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44%, and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance on the part of Union Pacific.

Impacts on the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act; the project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge, and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural, and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality; air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: the construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts on scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: the project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands will adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: the project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: new bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier; the project would create a double track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development; considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: the project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion; OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by a professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: the project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Weingart

NO MORE OIL TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:23:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: james hipp
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df44873adc10c

james hipp

609 rosette ct

bellingham, WA 98226

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic d adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Hipp

Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:13:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hellene Chapman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df3b59e24d472

Hellene Chapman

2018 Del RIO RD.

ROSEBURG, OR 97471

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Also I have family members who live nearby and are negatively affected by the fires that have occurred on the tracks!!

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hellene Chapman

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:13:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joy Mamoyac
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df3b563bb21f4

Joy Mamoyac

2988 NW Angelica Dr.

Corvallis, OR 97330

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joy Mamoyac

New Rail Track in Mosier, Or

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:03:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ruth Judkins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df322e29c48e5

Ruth Judkins

2310

Altadena, CA 91001

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is leed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ruth Judkins

PleaseDeny Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct new rail track near Mosier, Oregon

---

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:03:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Doris (Jody) Wilson"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df322df3624a9

Doris (Jody) Wilson

12711 NE 129th Ct #G-104

Kirkland, WA 98034-3265

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment.

This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause rse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated iewing areas.

he proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nces and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Doris (Jody) Wilson

No Oil Trains in the Gorge!!!

message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:03:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Hodges
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

CC:
ID: 156df322db258c94

Robert Hodges

3735 NE Cleveland Ave

Portland, OR 97212

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through

some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Hodges

No oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:03:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: S Spencer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df322bd7d80f6

S Spencer

2212 southwest

gresham, OR 97080

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for ne oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansior

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

Spencer

---

efore it is too late.

nessage(s)

---

te: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:03:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Roger Imes
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

156df322a06ee900

er Imes

E Celesta Ave

ane, WA 99202

st 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

We are all connected when it comes to coal and oil trains for we are risking the quality of thousands of peoples lives directly, and by burning fossil fuels, millions of people worldwide.

These issues are no longer isolated to a few states and it is time that we accept that fact before it is too late.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that nd is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Please help to stop this madness before it is too late.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

I feel strongly that you should not suport these bad ideas. Sincerely, Roger Imes

Roger Imes

Mosier Oregon RR tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:03:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Quinet
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df3229d7ec1cb

Linda Quinet

141 Church St.

Willimantic, CT 06226

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for ne oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ne of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause rse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated iewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversel affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Colu

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Linda Quinet

No more track for oil trains

message(s)

---

ate: Wed Aug 31 2016 00:03:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Norm Conrad
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156df32291b18ed6

rm Conrad

0 S 25th St, #87

unt Vernon, WA 98274

ust 31, 2016

Angie Brewer,

xtremely concerned that Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. will n the increase in number, length and speed of trains and will pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, residents all along the route, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the dangerous proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive and unusually toxic Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project conflicst with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colur River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that nd is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a sional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Norm Conrad

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:53:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Foreman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df290046596b4

Richard Foreman

1040 Spring Hill Dr.

Albany, GA 31721

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause lverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbi River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Richard Foreman

Rail Traffic in Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:53:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Edward Laclergue
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156df28ffdc52a1e

dward Laclergue

604 Holiday dr.

lympia, WA 98501-4259

ugust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

n very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is need necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Edward Laclergue M.D.

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:53:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Victor Roberge
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df28fe621c2a9

Victor Roberge

1600 Jeanette Rd

Hood River, OR 97031

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Victor Roberge

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:53:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Arthur Noble
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df28fe339d5bb

Arthur Noble

88570 trout pond

Bandon, OR 97411

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

ur Noble

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dan Goldberg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df1fd5fde5090

Dan Goldberg

2120 N Pacific Ave Spc 27

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

acts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union fic had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dan Goldberg

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eric Fosburgh

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df1fd418ff793

Eric Fosburgh

1415 E Republican St #203

Seattle, WA 98112

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

ric Fosburgh

---

nessage(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: j greene
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156df1fd3b9d36af

eene

press

chico, CA 95927

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

j greene

Please keep oil trains out of Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kenneth West
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df1fd397a8afa

Kenneth West

7328 SW 184 Place

Aloha, OR 97007-6749

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kenneth West

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: L Cam Taylor
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df1fd24570a50

L Cam Taylor

705 SE 32nd Ave

Portland, OR 97214-3103

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

am Taylor

am Taylor

---

w rail track near Mosier, OR

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Jessie Casteel
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56df1fd1c44e881

e Casteel

10811 Greenwillow St. #20

Houston, TX 77035

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jessie Casteel

New rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ron Flax
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df1fd1663fa24

Ron Flax

2836 Elm Avenue

Boulder, CO 80305

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would sely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause e impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated wing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ron Flax

---

rail concerns

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:43:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tami Fosmark
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156df1fd109b5991

ami Fosmark

7302 270th Ave SE

saquah, WA 98027

gust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tami Fosmark

Stop dangerous oil transport by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:33:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Katherine Harband
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df16abdcf664b

Katherine Harband

PO Box M

San Rafael, CA 94913

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columl River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that coul adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Katherine Harband

Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:33:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vicki Benson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156df16a9d111b0d

icki Benson

309 W Ridgecrest Ave

ine Mile Falls, WA 99026

gust 31, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new nd crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ou to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

Sincerely,

Vicki Benson

No more oil trains.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:33:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: trina cooper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df16a980c6221

trina cooper

2239 sw 331st st

federal way, WA 98023

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

trina cooper

trina cooper

No to Union Pacific, No to Any Oil Trains in Our Beautiful Gorge!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:33:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susi Brothers
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df16a864548bb

Susi Brothers

4832 SW Wembley Pl

Beaverton, OR 97005

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

i Brothers

---

w Rail Track for Oil

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Frances McDonal

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df0d8109a9f91

Frances McDonal

200 W. Packard #329

Appleton, WI 54911

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Vater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

This is a long letter and I appreciate your taking the time to read it. I live in Appleton, WI, in a retirement community that is directly across the street from a very busy rail line carrying oil among other dangerous liquids. So the proposed rail line you are dealing with has personal meaning for me.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Frances McDonal

Transportation

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Priscilla Skerry
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

CC:
ID: 156df0d7f28364f2

Priscilla Skerry

95 Emery St

Portland, ME 04102

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The istry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through

some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Priscilla Skerry, N.D.

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dan Lombardo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df0d7e5a8d10e

Dan Lombardo

1515 149th pl SW

Lynnwood, WA 98087

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throu some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tr passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train tra would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

larm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ecreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that e of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans nd Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ark land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dan Lombardo

Transportation of oil by train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dianne Varga
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df0d7d43aa7a1

Dianne Varga

Hwy 51

Janesville, WI 53545-9650

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

he proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ces and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dianne Varga

No new rail track near Mosier, Oregon!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Victor Escobar
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156df0d7cd57b28b

ictor Escobar

1747 N Briar Patch Dr

idlothian, VA 23113

gust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colu River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attemptin

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a sional botanist hired by the applicant.

roject necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

he project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Victor Escobar

Victor Escobar

OilTrains in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Glenda Goldwater
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df0d7afd66f9d

Glenda Goldwater

932 SE12

Portland, OR 97214

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Glenda Goldwater

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kevin Schmidt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df0d7a482ca83

Kevin Schmidt

5186 New Sweden RD NE

Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kevin Schmidt

No more oil trains along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Catherine Russell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df0d789ef7cc3

Catherine Russell

3321 Cherry Blossom Dr, NE

Olympia, WA 98506

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Catherine Russell

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:23:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kendra Waddell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df0d7892c07ec

Kendra Waddell

6995 E Mary Dr.

Tucson, AZ 85730-1713

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

endra Waddell

---

nvironment

message(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:13:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Mercy Sidbury
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156df044b9b551e5

Mercy Sidbury

4998 Vine Hill Road

Sebastopol, CA 95472

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mercy Sidbury

---

oil trains in the columbia gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:13:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Sullivan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156df044a3523788

Sharon Sullivan

2022 N. Willamette Blvd.

Portland, OR 97217-4405

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Sullivan

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:03:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: mollie smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156defb23b0d9486

mollie smith

1046 coal creek rd

chehalis, WA 98532

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mollie Smith

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:03:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Diana Talcott
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156defb2198e55c1

Diana Talcott

2806 SE 15th Ave

Portland, OR 97202

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

na Talcott

---

n't Do It!!!!!

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:03:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Julie Glover
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56defb208042ab4

Glover

7292 Maxwelton Rd

Clinton, WA 98236

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am EXTREMELY concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

PLEASE DENY this application!

This matters so much to the health and safety of our communities!

Julia R. Glover

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:03:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: kathleen tei
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156defb1e152a1b6

kathleen tei

1408 A St. KPN

Lakebay, WA 98349

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause e impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated wing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

athleen tei

---

il trains through the Columbia River

message(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:03:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Ian Turner
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156defb1df1e8739

Turner

43rd Ave.,

amento, CA 95831

st 31, 2016

ngie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colun River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is d necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ian Turner

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 23:03:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Kilson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156defb1d396135c

Peter Kilson

80 W. Concord St., #4

Boston, MA 02118

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County inances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is nibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbi River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Peter Kilson

People and planet over fossil fuel profits

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:53:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mike Eddy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156def1f561a10a7

Mike Eddy

2125 Waverly Pl N

Seattle, WA 98109

ugust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

social and environmental costs of burning fossil fuels are not represented in costs consumers see at pumps and ers. This represents a MARKET FAILURE. When carbon is properly priced, the market will choose renewable rgy sources and policies.

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal , and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

cannibalizing Earth and start harvesting all the energy we could ever need from the sun.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mike Eddy

Westway and Imperium Crude Oil-by-Rail DEISs

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:53:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gary Pierson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156def1f3fc9df59

Gary Pierson

126 I ST SE

Auburn, WA 98002

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County inances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is nibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the bia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gary Pierson

Environment, protection of the people and the land from dangerous, risky oil train passage through the Columbia River Gorge and other areas of the country.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:53:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marilynn Keenan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156def1f00686100

Marilynn Keenan

5925 Mooseberry St. SE

Portland, OR 97306

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

ely,

Marilynn Keenan

NEW UNION PACIFIC RAIL TRACK NEAR MOSIER, OREGON

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:53:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Penny St. John"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156def1efbda427d

Penny St. John

2120 Renee Place

Port Townsend, WA 98368-7308

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Penny St. John

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:53:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jennifer Prezant
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156def1ee99fda06

Jennifer Prezant

15 Fairview Ave.

West Orange, NJ 07052

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal rains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

erely,

ifer

Trains

ssage(s)

Tue Aug 30 2016 22:53:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Barry Ulman
gieb@co.wasco.or.us

6def1ee4af1302

Barry Ulman

1424 1/2 Grant St.

Belliongham, WA 98225

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throug some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tra passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barry Ulman

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:53:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Greg Kimber
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156def1ec3559110

Greg Kimber

PO Box525

Temple, ME 04984

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Greg Kimber

Oil trains.

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: John Schmidt
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156dee8cf41dcd99

hn Schmidt

90 Sentinel Pkwy

wrenceville, GA 30043-2194

ust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colur River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that the sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of their park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is not necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Schmidt

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Roger Nystrom
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dee8c2af4af6a

Roger Nystrom

6510 141st St SW

Edmonds, WA 98026

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbi River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Roger Nystrom

Roger Nystrom

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Linn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156dee8c1dab1deb

David Linn

18 Hassalo Ave SE

Ocean Shores, WA 98569

ugust 31, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

n very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

Sincerely

David Linn

No oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Norm Osterman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dee8c12276f31

Norm Osterman

1032 Pomona

Walla Walla, WA 99362

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Norm Osterman

Norm Osterman

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Kirschling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dee8be74d0030

Karen Kirschling

633 Oak

SF, CA 94117

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

ncerely,

ren Kirschling

---

more oil trains

essage(s)

---

e: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Annah Gardner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dee8be3ed78ec

Annah Gardner

1906 1st Ave

Minneapolis, MN 55403

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia iver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Annah Gardner

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Krista Munster
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dee8bdf98a59e

Krista Munster

313 7th Ave NE

minneapolis, MN 55413

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pl to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

rista Munster

Oil trains, public safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:43:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Zachary McAtee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dee8bd530e6a4

Zachary McAtee

624 shepherd rd

Lawson, MO 64062

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mr. Mcatee

Trains in theGorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Peter von Christierson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dedf993b1e30c

Peter von Christierson

1229m - 29th St

Port Townsend, WA 98368

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unior Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

ter von Christierson

---

plication for new track near Mosier, OR

essage(s)

---

e: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: Barbara Brock
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

56dedf97df10394

ara Brock

3302 Walnut Court

Camano Island, WA 98282

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Brock

More oil trains would be bad for the entire Northwest

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Sielaff
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dedf97beb99da

David Sielaff

3827 Bagley Ave. N

Seattle, WA 98103

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through one of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversel affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Sielaff

Please deny the application for new rail tracks in the Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Gary Saaris
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
c:
156dedf974f1c00c

ry Saaris

4 152nd Ave NE #102

evue, WA 98007

ust 31, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gary Saaris

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marcia Huey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dedf94f816b1d

Marcia Huey

10817 Moller Dr. NW

Gig Harbor, WA 98332

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that coul adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marcia Huey

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kate Bohn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156dedf93553a30d

Kate Bohn

000 149th Lane NW

amsey, MN 55303

gust 31, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal , and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ou to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kate Bohn

Kate Bohn

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joan Christensen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dedf9206a3cd0

Joan Christensen

3292 County Rd 24

Intl Falls, MN 56649

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joan Christensen

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sybille Dubois
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dedf91fc219b3

Sybille Dubois

9925 Florence

Pinckney, MI 48169

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

ybille dubois

---

nough with coal, oil and natural gas

message(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:33:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Dave King

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dedf911ab3146

Dave King

8728 N Edison

Portland, OR 97203

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dave King

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: G Allen Daily
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded66d16bdca9

G Allen Daily

4119 N 110th St

Wauwatosa, WI 53222-1104

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

G Allen Daily

Oil Trains

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Bonnie Faith-Smith
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156ded66d0de195f

onnie Faith-Smith

0A Washington Street

mbridge, MA 02139

gust 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bonnie Faith-Smith

Coal and oil trains in Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alida Bockino
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded66be8669f0

Alida Bockino

1104 Pine Crest Rd

Moscow, ID 83843

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia . Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is oited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alida Bockino

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeanne Schlatter
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156ded66a952e37d

Jeanne Schlatter

049 Cambridge Rd

Coshocton, OH 43812

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeanne Schlatter

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Barger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded66a717acff

John Barger

7752 SE 44th Ave

Portland, OR 97206

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia river. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Barger

Environment/Energy

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Harris
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded668f6c5cb1

David Harris

4485 Hope St.

Ventura, CA 93003-1906

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for itional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unic Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

cerely,

id

No Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Norm Cegelnik
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded668e147297

Norm Cegelnik

PO Box 682

Selma, OR 97538

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Norm Cegelnik

---

oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Proa

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded668b1e3c05

Mark Proa

5608 18th AVE SW

Seattle, WA 98106

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

ark Proa

---

emember the Mosier disaster

nessage(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Michael Terry
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156ded6675325537

hael Terry

W. Rustic Rd.

Santa Monica, CA 90402

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael G. Terry

Stop oil by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Frasca
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded6666bef723

John Frasca

1215 Lawrence St

Port Townsend, WA 98368

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause lverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Frasca

Bomb trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Watson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded664e0942a6

William Watson

1378 Suntree Dr SE

Salem, OR 97302

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

William C Watson

The safety of our communities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area are at risk.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Valerie Red
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ded6649a8bb26

Valerie Red

Kennedy 7

Washington, DC 20098

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

st regards,

erie Red

---

oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:23:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: George Tolleson
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56ded6649922725

ge Tolleson

48 W. Raleigh Rd.

Asheville, NC 28803

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

George Tolleson

Expanded Rail Line Capacity near Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd38feced5f

Diane Smith

1234 Chuckanut

Bellingham, WA 98229

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic d adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause rse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Smith

Crude oil-by-rail threats

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Deshotels
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd38cff8cb7

James Deshotels

161 Vondera Dr

Robertsville, MO 63072

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

James Deshotels, SJ, MSN, MPH

James Deshotels, SJ, MSN, MPH

Rail transport of oil and coal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marilyn Britton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd389e84725

Marilyn Britton

16 Long Hill Estates

Peterborough, NH 03458

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment.

This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

It is quite unfathomable to me that a project with such a long and wide array of serious problems should even be thought of, never mind be at an application stage.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Very Sincerely,

Marilyn Britton

Please keep oil trains out of the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephanie Feldstein
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd372ec4443

Stephanie Feldstein

32903 SE 20th Cir

Vashougal, WA 98671

ugust 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you,

Stephanie Feldstein

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Doug Brown
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd36ffcce68

Doug Brown

5405 N Vista Grande Drive

Otis Orchards, WA 99027

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia r. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean er Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Doug Brown

Doug Brown

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: sharon lacy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd36838c999

sharon lacy

536 so. main st.

sebastopol, CA 95472

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Uni Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

on lacyharon lacy

Oil trains is a huge mistake

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: CJ James
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd36415e143

CJ James

Box 70283

Eugene, OR 97440

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

CJ

Rail tracks through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kirsten Andersen

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd35f011d90

Kirsten Andersen

709 Warburton Ave

Yonkers, NY 10701

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

rsten Andersen

---

o more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!

message(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Mark Correia
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156decd35c3f6502

rk Correia

ress Line 1

Olympia, WA 98501

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trair passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark A Correia

The environmental threats of transporting crude oil by-rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:13:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rohana McLaughlin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156decd3598a8c88

Rohana McLaughlin

150 San Francisco Blvd.

San Anselmo, CA 94960

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This lemonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rohana McLaughlin

Oil Trains

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Tara Lawrence
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156dec4093683476

ra Lawrence

00 Glen Rd

est Palm Beach, FL 33406

gust 31, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tara

Oil Train Access

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Matt B."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec40935f3d14

Matt B.

119 S 34th

Bellingham, WA 98225

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matt B.

Pollution

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: T Mo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec407b32ed0e

T Mo

3310 69th st e

IGH, MN 55076

August 31, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

Sincerely,

T Mo

Protect our river

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donlon McGovern
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec406da23168

Donlon McGovern

4107 NE 24th Ave

Portland, OR 97211

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oi tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In lig

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is rohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to oss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia er. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the umbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean er Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union c had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase raffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Donlon McGovern

Donlon McGovern

NO OIL BOMB TRAINS!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mercedes Lackey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec40640902bf

Mercedes Lackey

16525 E 470 rd

Claremore, OK 74017

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Uni Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosi Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

edes Lackey

---

de oil rail threats

ssage(s)

Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Nancy Peterson

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec4057c82da5

Nancy Peterson

229 Sherman Drive

Scotts Valley, CA 95066

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia iver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean /ater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Peterson

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather haverfield
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec40546a9027

Heather haverfield

PO Box 964

Langley, WA 98260

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Tl inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansior

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

eather haverfield

Oil Trains in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Hermanns
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec4032354dc5

David Hermanns

9442 N Tioga Ave

Portland, OR 97203

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

David Hermanns

David Hermanns

---

stop rail expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 22:03:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: George Lawrence
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dec402d36c011

George Lawrence

5775 Schickler Lane

Bellingham, WA 98226

August 31, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

orge Lawrence

---

trains in the Columbia Gorge are not acceptable

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Eric Burr
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56debadfef558c6

urr

585 Lost River Rd

Mazama, WA 98833

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eric Burr

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark George
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156debaddb139f52

Mark George

37 Loring St

Auburn, MA 01501

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The lustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic d adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversel affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark

Oil Trains

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Scott McIntyre
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156debadcee197e6

ott McIntyre

59 Cedar Fly

Antonio, TX 78253

st 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colur River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is l necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Scott McIntyre

Deny Union Pacific's proposed rail expansion

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Scott Luchessa
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156debadcca3daec

Scott Luchessa

4013 32nd Ave W

Seattle, WA 98199

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia r. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of s and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown on into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that coul adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation c

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Scott Luchessa

Scott Luchessa

No new rail line

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Casner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156debadb9d4f297

George Casner

324 w Port Au Prince

hoenix, AZ 85023

gust 30, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new
and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,
olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new
and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal
, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ou to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbi River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

Sincerely,

George Casner

Standing up for oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Darnell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156debadaefe6a27

Barbara Darnell

11 Lismore Court

Inman, SC 29349

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build nev coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, ther increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown tion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia . As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Act.

s of more trains:

recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of uch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Darnell

No permit to Union Pacific Railroad to build more track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Duncan Baruch
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156debada3b1fdcb

Duncan Baruch

8833 SW 30th Ave. #308

Portland, OR 97219

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for itional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of osier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

can Baruch

---

road concerns

ssage(s)

Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Jenna Fallaw

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156debad8d0a32d4

Jenna Fallaw

1309 S. Montana Ave

Bozeman, MT 59715

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia iver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of v much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jenna Fallaw

---

application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:53:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Pierre Schlemel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156debad638c51fe

Pierre Schlemel

15 Sheli Drive

Old Bethpage, NY 11804-1615

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pl to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

ierre Schlemel

---

o more Oil Trains!

message(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Maureen O'Neal
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156deb1b2e0bd27a

ureen O'Neal

0 s.w. 80th ave

and, OR 97223

st 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maureen O'Neal

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ryan Wilkerson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1b0f53662e

Ryan Wilkerson

23635 42nd Ave SE

Bothell, WA 98021

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ryan Wilkerson

Ryan Wilkerson

Please deny the new rail track near Moiser, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Robey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1b0b0823d4

Steve Robey

548 Wildcat Canyon Road

Berkeley, CA 94708-1229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Steve Robey

No coal and oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Russell Weisz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1b00374c36

Russell Weisz

319 Laguna St.

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Russell Weisz

Russell Weisz

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom Stark
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1afa501909

Tom Stark

1501 E. Buena Ventura St.

Colo. Spgs., CO 80909

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Tom Stark

Tom Stark

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nathan Jackson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1af5903489

Nathan Jackson

my house

Tigard, OR 97224

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nathan Jackson

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hilary Emberton

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1ae6c7179b

Hilary Emberton

191 Lucas Ln

Grass Valley, CA 95945

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

s to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansior

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

ary Emberton

---

l Trains

essage(s)

---

e: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Iris Moore
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

56deb1adb34d1cd

Moore

7 Port Orford Blvd. SE

Yelm, WA 98597-9763

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Iris Moore

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen West
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1ada033662

Karen West

13224 Newport Ave

Tustin, CA 92780

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen West

Union Pacific tracks near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Thompsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1ac2653241

Linda Thompsen

18425 NE 95th St. #201

Redmnd, WA 98052

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda Thompsen

Linda Thompsen

Please deny application for a new rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:43:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Nikita Metelica
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156deb1ab1e0f3cc

Nikita Metelica

1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy

Mountain View, CA 94043

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely,

ikita Metelica

---

o More Trains Through the Colombia Gorge!

message(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Jon Eden
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156dea87dff88aa9

Eden

494 Vincente Ave

Berkeley, CA 94707

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jon Eden

No more Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nance Wilson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea87d36d64a6

Nance Wilson

1818 Woodhaven Way

Oakland, CA 94611

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

With kind regards,

Nance Wilson

Stand with the City of Mosier and suspend all oil train traffic

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vicki Tomola
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea87d08af20f

Vicki Tomola

Box 744

Point Arena, CA 95468

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Vicki Tomola

Oil Train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tim Durnell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea87bf7e4921

Tim Durnell

3087 Daisy Mine Rd.

Rice, WA 99167

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown tion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Tim Durnell

---

no oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jeff mcdermott
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea87acf18d4c

jeff mcdermott

1021 bianco dr

raleigh, NC 27607

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

Sincerely,

jeff mcdermott

Oil trains Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jerry Klemm
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea879ff2ba26

Jerry Klemm

4905 217th St N

Forest Lake, MN 55025

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jerry Klemm

danger of oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Blincoe
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea8778641270

Richard Blincoe

929 E. Foothill Blvd

Upland, CA 91786

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sinserly

Richard G Blincoe

Oil trains through to Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steven Guy

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea87676e5476

Steven Guy

3601 me Cavitt Rd

Camas, WA 98607

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steven Guy

I URGE YOU TO DENY UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD's Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:33:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jon Singleton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dea87601a277c

Jon Singleton

45 Rockefeller plaza

New york, NY 10118

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jon singleton

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Panko
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f53feb560f

John Panko

2604 W Estes ave

Chicago, IL 60645

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank yoy

John Panko

Oil Trains through the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Morris
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f531ea954f

John Morris

6636 SW Burlingame Ave.

Portland, OR 97239

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you

John Morris

Protect the environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Chris hazynski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f51d3ac5d0

hris hazynski

2 cypress ct

rdentown, NJ 08505

gust 30, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal , and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting t cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be sely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Chris hazynski

Chris hazynski

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Bonfield
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f519d80507

Barbara Bonfield

5702 N 33rd St

Tacoma, WA 98407

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bonfield2 N

Barbara Bonfield

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bob Riek
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f503b531d9

Bob Riek

297 Beacon Road

Bellingham, WA 98229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for tional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Uni Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

Riek

New Rail Tracks near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Kennedy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f4e0dd9e72

Sharon Kennedy

4121 SW Webster St

Seattle, WA 98136

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Kennedy

Oil Trains/Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Thompson

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f4e0785db4

James Thompson

316 Jordan Street

Hendersonville, NC 28739-4720

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for il train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The try average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

ts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely, James Thompson

mes Thompson

Trains/Columbia Gorge

essage(s)

---

e: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: James Thompson
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56de9f4e244f1f4

s Thompson

ordan Street

Hendersonville, NC 28739-4720

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train tra

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

larm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ecreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that e of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans nd Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ark land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, James Thompson

James Thompson

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Valerie Leonard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f4db97e1dd

Valerie Leonard

5479 Hound Hill Ct

Columbia, MD 21045-2238

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pl to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings tha the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Valerie Leonard

Valerie Leonard

---

lo more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Christopher Dowling
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
156de9f4d589a62f

ristopher Dowling

9 Highland Dr.

tin, TX 78734

ust 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colu River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species o

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is l necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Christopher Dowling

UPR new rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jared Widman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f4bfe45ade

Jared Widman

504 1/2 DeKalb St

Port Orchard, WA 98366

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jared Widman

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Adam Savett
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9f4a402cd47

Adam Savett

31760 Woodsdale Lane

Solon, OH 44139

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ncerely,

dam Savett

---

op the Exploding/Coal Train Express!

nessage(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:23:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Rich Moser
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156de9f48c585872

n Moser

659 Mayrum St.

Santa Barbara, CA 93111

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans oand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rich Moser [not a typo]

Sorry I missed you! Re: No More Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:08:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Angie Brewer
To: stubbsgene@comcast.net
CC:
ID: 156de921070c5f35

Hello and thank you for contacting me.

I will be unavailable today, checking email occassionally. If you need immediate assistance, please call 541-506-2560 to spea with the planner on duty.

Thank you and have a wonderful day,
Angie

--

Pioneering pathways to prosperity.

Angie Brewer | *Director*
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

angieb@co.wasco.or.us | www.co.wasco.or.us
541-506-2566 | Fax 541-506-2561
2705 East Second Street | The Dalles, OR 97058

Re: Sorry I missed you! Re: No More Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:14:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gene Stubbs
To: Angie Brewer
CC:
ID: 156de97234192657

Dear Angie Brewer,

Please stop oil trains in the Columbia Gorge. They are very dangerous, polluting, can destroy towns and kill many people. Our planet is heating up and we need to keep fossil fuels in the ground. The Columbia Gorge is beautiful and needs to stay that way. However, it will be trashed if oil trains are allowed to run through it and derail and explode.

Please do what you can to stop further oil trains through the gorge.

hanks,

ene Stubbs,
032 SW 3rd Avenue,
ortland, Oregon 97219

om: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>
: stubbsgene@comcast.net
nt: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 8:08:49 PM
bject: Sorry I missed you! Re: No More Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge

lo and thank you for contacting me.

ll be unavailable today, checking email occassionally. If you need immediate assistance, please call 541-506-2560 to k with the planner on duty.

nk you and have a wonderful day,
ie

Angie Brewer | *Director*
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

angieb@co.wasco.or.us | www.co.wasco.or.us
541-506-2566 | Fax 541-506-2561
2705 East Second Street | The Dalles, OR 97058

WASCO COUNTY
Pioneering pathways to prosperity.

Colombia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Melissa Rees
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9620fe60747

Melissa Rees

16102 e broadway ave

spokane valley, WA 99037

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Melissa Rees

Please make good decisions.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: josh pucci josh pucci
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9620acebf88

josh pucci josh pucci

1502 n 22nd st

richmond, VA 23223

August 30, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this t would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby sing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of sier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

he Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for rain derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ng to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. U Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could inc train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mo Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are dow inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

ely,

ucci

---

ore oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!!

age(s)

---

ue Aug 30 2016 21:13:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
avid Kreisman

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de961f5ef6f36

David Kreisman

15066 SE Robinette Ct

Portland, OR 97267

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia iver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of v much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Kreisman

Olí trauma

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Angelica Palomo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de961e66931c7

Angelica Palomo

1400 N Artesian Apt 1

Chicago, IL 60622

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

he project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains g through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

he project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ed by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Thi inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plar to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

ngelica Palomo

IO more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Art Hanson
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156de961dc649a01

Hanson

15 Briarwood Dr.

sing, MI 48917

ust 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I strongly urge you to DENY this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I strongly urge you to DENY the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Art Hanson

Stand up to Oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Ring
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de961d234efff

Susan Ring

802 N. 23rd Ave

Kelso, WA 98626

August 30, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Uni

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

n Ring

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lisa Hughes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de961d1b0ebe1

Lisa Hughes

1713 21st Street

Galveston, TX 77550

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lisa Hughes

Lisa Hughes

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Donald Dimock
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de961c4b066c1

Donald Dimock

543 Clay St. E

Monmouth, OR 97361

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for itional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of losier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The y average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This strates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

s to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ncerely,

onald Dimock

---

ease, stop the miles of oil trains coming through.

nessage(s)

---

te: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Annie McCuen
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156de961b57f8194

ie McCuen

1825 Fairmount Ave S

Salem, OR 97302

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Annoe McCuen

Union Pacific Railroad tracks near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheryl Speer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de961a9425567

Cheryl Speer

410 SW Park St

Camas, WA 98607

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause e impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated wing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Speer

Cheryl Speer

Union Pacific

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Shelley Kilbon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8cf0fde0378

helley Kilbon

575 Keota Pl

veland, CO 80538

gust 30, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Shelley Kilbon

Shelley Kilbon

Union Pacific

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Shelley Kilbon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de9618ad1a0fe

Shelley Kilbon

4575 Keota Pl

Loveland, CO 80538

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Shelley Kilbon

Shelley Kilbon

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Hoyt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de96187d81833

Peter Hoyt

245 Valley View Drive

Medford, OR 97504

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

Sincerely

Peter Hoyt

Eliminate oil transport on the Columbia River

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Sword
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de96168b4289b

Carol Sword

2701 Landes Street

Port Townsend, WA 98368

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Carol Sword

Carol Sword

Stop the dangerous oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:13:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Boyce
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de96149927807

Susan Boyce

273 Crest Drive

Myrtle Creek, OR 97457

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for il train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

san Boyce

---

ject Union Pacific Construction Application

essage(s)

---

e: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Steven Vogel

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8cf30d79789

Steven Vogel

449 Hampton Court

Falls Church, VA 22046-4121

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steven Vogel

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Etienne Wasson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8cf2740bc3d

Etienne Wasson

5832 Casablanca Court

Fort Myers, FL 33919

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Etienne Wasson

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maxine Clark
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8cf222fdcb0

Maxine Clark

1717 Sheridan Rd c4

Bremerton, WA 98310

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of lants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia ver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ater Act.

acts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union ific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Maxine Clark

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Mike Seyfried
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8cf0be39ece

Mike Seyfried

701 California Ave

Boulder City, NV 89005

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

incerely

like Seyfried

---

o to more oil trains through the Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Amy Robertson
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
156de8cf042eb062

y Robertson

2128 Medway Rd Apt A

Charleston, SC 29412

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throug some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tra passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that le of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans and Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amy E Robertson

Deny new rail tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Cunningham
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8cf02ca11ec

Elizabeth Cunningham

1746 NW 57 St.

Seattle, WA 98107

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This lemonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plar to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Cunningham

Please-protect the Columbia Gorge from more oil trains

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Patricia Jerrells
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156de8cef71a7b4f

tricia Jerrells

0 SE Nighthawk Place

elton, WA 98584

ust 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Our coastal and river regions are becoming more endangered due to the hazardous management of the railroad tracks and practices which endanger the lives and environment of our home areas. Please do your utmost to protect our homes and the lands we love. What a beautiful place and how sad to contemplate the horrible conditions that will arise with increased trafficking of oil and coal products.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Jerrells

No More Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gene Stubbs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ced8d50187

Gene Stubbs

7032 SW 3rd Avenue

Portland, OR 97219-2214

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gene Stubbs

No More Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gene Stubbs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8cede2ab805

ene Stubbs

032 SW 3rd Avenue

rtland, OR 97219-2214

gust 30, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal , and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gene Stubbs

No More Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gene Stubbs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ceeedad895

Gene Stubbs

7032 SW 3rd Avenue

Portland, OR 97219-2214

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gene Stubbs

Oil & the Columba Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Young
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ceed6862a8

William Young

180 Bishops Lane

Lynchburg, VA 24503

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements o how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Un Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incr train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

rely,

m H. Young

No more oil train in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Poulsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ceb8c85d4d

Barbara Poulsen

2722 NW Monterey Dr

Corvallis, OR 97330

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligl the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of lants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown llution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia ver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the lumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ter Act.

acts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union fic had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Poulsen

Union Pacific Railroad Construction Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Maki

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ceb7923d99

Linda Maki

7309 32nd Ave SW

Seattle, WA 98126

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

cerely,

da Maki

---

il Safety

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: Richard Walker
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56de8ceae54856c

rd Walker

spana Park

Waveland, MS 39576-2536

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard Walker

Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bret Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce4ca686ad

Bret Smith

PO Box 2824

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ne of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause rse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated iewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bret Smith

Oil Trains

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Bret Smith
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156de8ce97b915fa

et Smith

Box 2824

nta Cruz, CA 95060

ust 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely fect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia r Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bret Smith

---

railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: alana willroth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce93384626

alana willroth

1605 birch lake ave

white bear lake, MN 55110

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County dinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown tion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

alanawillroth

Oil Trains in Our Gorge...

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard & Sharon Erspamer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce817a0297

Richard & Sharon Erspamer

900 NW Fruit Valley Rd

ancouver, WA 98665

ugust 30, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

he project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

Sincerely,

Richard & Sharon Erspamer

Oil Trains in Our Gorge...

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard & Sharon Erspamer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce943d2a8f

Richard & Sharon Erspamer

7900 NW Fruit Valley Rd

Vancouver, WA 98665

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard & Sharon Erspamer

Oil Trains and the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brian Garofalo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce73caacf4

Brian Garofalo

5469 N. Pierce lane

Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for litional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Un Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could inc train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mos Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are dow inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

nally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

rely, Brian

Garofalo

---

rail track near Mosier, OR

age(s)

---

ue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
orenz Steininger

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce68e75f14

Lorenz Steininger

13 main st

stafford, VA 22554

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lorenz Steininger

Potential oil train disaster!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Phillipsf
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce5e928122

George Phillipsf

124 Fidelty St., Apt. 36

Carrboro, NC 27510

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

George Phillips

Deny Union Pacific through the

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carrie Darling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce51bbcf37

Carrie Darling

8032 N 2nd Street

Phoenix, AZ 85022

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carrie Darling

Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 21:03:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julie Taylor
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de8ce50263254

Julie Taylor

4405 230th Pl SW

Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for ne oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause rse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated iewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

hreats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julie Taylor

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Dr. William 'Skip' Dykoski"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156de83c1cab7b97

r. William 'Skip' Dykoski

90 9th Ave NW

ew Brighton, MN 55112-2662

gust 30, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal , and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dr. William 'Skip' Dykoski

Bomb Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Vickstrom
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83c02d35530

William Vickstrom

11 Ashberry St

Plymouth, MA 02360

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia er. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the umbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean er Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

William Vickstrom

William Vickstrom

No expansion of oil train traffic through the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra Baldrige
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83bfbcd9178

Sandra Baldrige

11292 NW Gatto Ct.

Portland, OR 97229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligl the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

acts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union fic had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier n Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Baldrige

Union Pacific Track Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Blaine Ackley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83bf79cc084

Blaine Ackley

655 NW 229th Ave

Hillsboro, OR 97124

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

aine

---

l Trains

nessage(s)

---

e: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Harold Watson
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

156de83beff81e4a

old Watson

3250 W. Page

Springfield, MO 65802

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose tate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Harold Watson

Union Pacific Railroad Proposed Project near Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Johnston
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83bd7e790b3

Mark Johnston

10404 Eagle Creek Road

Leavenworth, WA 98826-9114

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark Johnston

More tracks a threat to health, now and in the future

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Troy Faith Ward
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83bcfac9f05

Troy Faith Ward

2827 Nevada ST

Bellilngham, WA 98226

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Troy Faith Ward

---

oil trains destroying our planet

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Seltzer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83bb9e3eb43

Elizabeth Seltzer

2901 Burden Rd

Parkside, PA 19015

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia river. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Seltzer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Schilling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83bb3b5e5d5

Robert Schilling

1356 Wicke Ave

Des Plaines, IL 60018

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal rains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Schilling

Deny New Railway Tracks in the Gorge near Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Desiree Mendes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83bb06a238d

Desiree Mendes

1914 Scott St Apt 4

Missoula, MT 59802

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's c tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of t project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, there increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In l the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at faul the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requiremen According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

he project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

e proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Desiree Mendes

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gerri Songer

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83b944296cb

Gerri Songer

60 Seneca Avenue East

Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansi

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

ri Songer

More Oil Trains Through Columbia Gorge

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Kim Kensler-Prager
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56de83b93d0db63

ensler-Prager

Secor Rd #8

Toledo, OH 43623

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throug some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of tra passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traf

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that le of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans nd Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kim Kensler-Prager

Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hannah Perkins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83b809516e8

Hannah Perkins

140 N Clifton

Wichita, KS 67208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The lustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic l adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

he proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloos State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pl to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sa of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Hannah Perkins

Hannah Perkins

LM Federal Coal Leasing PEIS

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Michael Little
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156de83b73a5be98

hael Little

33 NE 136th Pl

and, WA 98034

st 30, 2016

ngie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deemed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Little

Oil Train Disaster IS Inevitable - Please Reject Union Pacific Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:53:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen Pew
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de83b61f2c590

Stephen Pew

14519 SE 9th St.

Vancouver, WA 98683

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephen Pew

New rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:43:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Bishop
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156de7a89c480412

Nancy Bishop

725 N. Alberta St.

ortland, OR 97217

ugust 30, 2016

ar Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new k and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

he project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

Nancy Bishop

Nancy Bishop

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:43:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gail Veiby
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7a89b49add0

Gail Veiby

16 Nipmuck Dr

Westborough, MA 01581

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's c tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of t project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, therel increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In li

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia r. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean r Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of nuch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase affic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation dor

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gail Veiby

Oil shipments by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:43:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Thompson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7a88161ef64

Mark Thompson

1209 NW 80th st

Seattle, WA 98117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby sing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of osier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

he Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for rain derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ing to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. U Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could in train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the M Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are do inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

erely,

ely

hompson

---

n't have any more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

ge(s)

---

e Aug 30 2016 20:43:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
nn Comella

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7a87197917c

john Comella

1900 J F Kennedy Blvd

Philadelphia, PA 19103

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Comella

John Comella

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:43:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ramiro Noguerol
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7a860139293

Ramiro Noguerol

1073 Haiku

Haiku, HI 96708

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansi

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memal State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adve affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

miro Noguerol

---

more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:43:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Lisa Fues
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

6de7a8538b2e50

ues

Caton Ave

dria, VA 22301-1519

30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely impact recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that the sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lisa Fues

My parents live in Hood River and...

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:43:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellen Koivisto
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7a8524be230

Ellen Koivisto

1556 Great Hwy #101

San Francisco, CA 94122

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Colum River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ellen Koivisto

Oil Trains Near Tivers

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 04:37:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Pierpont
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f4c67959128ab

Nancy Pierpont

57 Nute Rd

Madbury, NH 03823

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Hauling oil is risky. Hauling it next to a river is more risk than we need to take. We have that situation in NH where I volunteer to assess the water quality of our rivers. I worry about the Cocheco River in Dover. The Oyster River in Durham, and the Lamprey River in Newmarket which are all identified as being in the "blast zone" at http://explosive-crude-by-rail.org/

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Pierpont

Deny all oil trains tracks in Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Sun Sep 04 2016 00:57:28 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Meaghan Simpson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f3fcda5dc7462

Meaghan Simpson

2401 Newburg road

Fortuna, CA 95540

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Y VAY! NO WAY! What an insane proposal!

e stand to demand forever bans to all such proposals to prostitute and pollute our Columbia River gorge!
ROTECT!!! DO NOT POLLUTE!!!

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for itional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. U Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could in train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

ely,

an Simpson

Sorry I missed you! Re: Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

4 message(s)

Date: Wed Aug 31 2016 19:31:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Angie Brewer
To: gbedirian@hotmail.capwiz.com
CC:
ID: 156e35f37bf0bbe4

Hello and thank you for contacting me.

I will be unavailable today, checking email occassionally. If you need immediate assistance, please call 541-506-2560 to speak with the planner on duty.

Thank you and have a wonderful day,
Angie

--

Angie Brewer | *Director*
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

angieb@co.wasco.or.us | www.co.wasco.or.us
541-506-2566 | Fax 541-506-2561
2705 East Second Street | The Dalles, OR 97058

WASCO COUNTY
Pioneering pathways to prosperity.

Delivery Status Notification (Delay)

4 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 20:01:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mail Delivery Subsystem
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8a1740f835b4

This is an automatically generated Delivery Status Notification

THIS IS A WARNING MESSAGE ONLY.

YOU DO NOT NEED TO RESEND YOUR MESSAGE.

Delivery to the following recipient has been delayed:

gbedirian@hotmail.capwiz.com

Message will be retried for 1 more day(s)

Technical details of temporary failure:

The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect. Learn more at https://support.google.com/mail/answer/7720

[hotmail.capwiz.com 63.251.90.20: socket error]

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=co-wasco-or-us.20150623.gappssmtp.com; s=20150623;
h=to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version
:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence
:auto-submitted;
bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;
b=a/jEHaYeuXbTgOfeIB8plyVYK9pzMuco4A278+rkNm4QJmvJ6OK60U+T00/F355p1O
qf6IWcUKSCKtvylaNW1WFSA+MtZkrM5ji++d65SyYgTuDDg8FpChtHJGJyha5awzlyYQ
EYs0uRqYZhaLIkzo7uQQV0qMwnpffdUmvwlvYzq8AGUfQUTcaTaR7TcFPFCVJ/qAP85D
aEpe3XFgWRr4TsW3Gf4jrnI1ZJz5ukyG7urOBgEUTBOUR8xwTuSQn2ceHBx3joiZkfQp
XXT0Qj3CHnUV7tk0Di4X7pbafvtoluEz/oI1ykbt7yWEw7qgd+ErxnJyiYA2LfAzoB3x
e8sQ==

gle-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
=1e100.net; s=20130820;
x-gm-message-state:to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version

:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence

:auto-submitted;

bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;

b=UpODaLOMOoTG4vn8rRL/+Q2SKQRiFSpCKG2WIdTMqvV1SvSGhZlkPjxo6TpHX64MyY

i7t4kwawaKmzx8H4xFFMZ++hdoOLoOgr60TDCp1R0e4pru6daqxBpNY05a7vzWqF6k5C

74KGnajuC4G91WPRVII2Ae7lxPA6Gyvm32BJjsTID8mLxGrfBkzUj7h2Xk57/pXKFZrN

G/4UigCUF6GHACuNeqw6UOo+hyMwrIICZaVwEb8BBIn9Nye5aujUnHL82Ujml7pwsYQC

nTafFZfcx32o7K+oWN5SemOADO6E+SvzKdYksV0VDt6ITZpsPIn7peBQcD2Lru1DGKF+

v3cw==

X-Gm-Message-State:
AE9vXwPVXyQ5YAwxwevo34Rj329d3tAkveqZGAN87JgePIIKEluIZvOqr012mPcGkttYX3W9B7d3AER3NmoG9BO
uFYNGLmUihWv4VrAzPA==

X-Received: by 10.36.3.76 with SMTP id e73mr36497034ite.42.1472693483556;

Wed, 31 Aug 2016 18:31:23 -0700 (PDT)

To: gbedirian@hotmail.capwiz.com

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Date: Wed, 31 Aug 2016 18:31:23 -0700

Message-ID: <CAPDJuCLzofRT6eCH5e6Ff3iJcPCwZoPYdZBu8X-BNc_uj2py9Q@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: =?UTF-8?Q?Sorry_I_missed_you!_Re:_Union_Pacific_Railroad=E2=80=99s_appl?=
=?UTF-8?Q?ication_to_construct_a_new_rail_track_near_Mosier,_OR.?=

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: inline

Precedence: bulk

X-Autoreply: yes

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

----- End of message -----

Delivery Status Notification (Delay)

4 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 19:39:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mail Delivery Subsystem
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156edb33b5e11ca8

This is an automatically generated Delivery Status Notification

THIS IS A WARNING MESSAGE ONLY.

YOU DO NOT NEED TO RESEND YOUR MESSAGE.

Delivery to the following recipient has been delayed:

gbedirian@hotmail.capwiz.com

Message will be retried for 0 more day(s)

Technical details of temporary failure:

The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect. Learn more at
https://support.google.com/mail/answer/7720

[hotmail.capwiz.com 63.251.90.20: socket error]

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;

d=co-wasco-or-us.20150623.gappssmtp.com; s=20150623;
h=to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version
:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence
:auto-submitted;
bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;
b=a/jEHaYeuXbTgOfelB8plyVYK9pzMuco4A278+rkNm4QJmvJ6OK60U+T00/F355p1O
qf6IWcUKSCKtvylaNW1WFSA+MtZkrM5ji++d65SyYgTuDDg8FpChtHJGJyha5awzlyYQ
EYs0uRqYZhaLIkzo7uQQV0qMwnpffdUmvwlvYzq8AGUfQUTcaTaR7TcFPFCVJ/qAP85D
aEpe3XFgWRr4TsW3Gf4jrnI1ZJz5ukyG7urOBgEUTBOUR8xwTuSQn2ceHBx3joiZkfQp
XXT0Qj3CHnUV7tk0Di4X7pbafvtoluEz/oI1ykbt7yWEw7qgd+ErxnJyiYA2LfAzoB3x
e8sQ==
X-Google-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=1e100.net; s=20130820;
h=x-gm-message-state:to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version
:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence
:auto-submitted;
bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;
b=jAkB7HBAyJB1bgAt7MLORzlYvwRhtbrb37OQFjOJ56zouDdeACIG1V9Rt809e5DgzI
lN2xNegBugRMQbma+2gZJeU1zERRDSenPC9F8AbnsTXC6BwyqbHqVO3qXphXAdPaL/we
HX3dDPEh8vO3C6ZJHKCZp4xNIF+LahJrYZhZlYJwIaEK9hgoastn6hlfEfi9yMX0GJDw
rfvLw/PwnUDqc/3RSK6zLoBduoHBjt6KxKIpF0Jne2W/Abd4O2P2BDLzjVjtz7hcOBSI
+/13AKZFs1WlkSHew3AnbJAIWDjmAlFRIw4SrVARGFuRE0W1TKEF3v/4O8yp4/Vve24I
Q0pw==
X-Gm-Message-State:
AE9vXwNAX30NQzfBiiquqL48qrsQ3VCNdBQjgLcBbcKj7ji19IEF7qv1zfeewihf5tyi34Gd3rSIOsN3anGZjyFoOC
y2Lyot5vkg==
X-Received: by 10.36.3.76 with SMTP id e73mr36497034ite.42.1472693483556;
Wed, 31 Aug 2016 18:31:23 -0700 (PDT)

To: gbedirian@hotmail.capwiz.com

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Date: Wed, 31 Aug 2016 18:31:23 -0700

Message-ID: <CAPDJuCLzofRT6eCH5e6Ff3iJcPCwZoPYdZBu8X-BNc_uj2py9Q@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: =?UTF-8?Q?Sorry_I_missed_you!_Re:_Union_Pacific_Railroad=E2=80=99s_appl?=
=?UTF-8?Q?ication_to_construct_a_new_rail_track_near_Mosier,_OR.?=

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: inline

Precedence: bulk

X-Autoreply: yes

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

----- End of message -----

---

ivery Status Notification (Failure)

ssage(s)

Sat Sep 03 2016 22:51:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
Mail Delivery Subsystem
gieb@co.wasco.or.us

6f389aaa370d2d

y to the following recipient failed permanently:

irian@hotmail.capwiz.com

details of permanent failure:

ient server did not accept our requests to connect. Learn more at

https://support.google.com/mail/answer/7720

[hotmail.capwiz.com 63.251.90.20: socket error]

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=co-wasco-or-us.20150623.gappssmtp.com; s=20150623;
h=to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version
:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence
:auto-submitted;
bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;
b=a/jEHaYeuXbTgOfelB8plyVYK9pzMuco4A278+rkNm4QJmvJ6OK60U+T00/F355p1O
qf6IWcUKSCKtvyIaNW1WFSA+MtZkrM5ji++d65SyYgTuDDg8FpChtHJGJyha5awzlyYQ
EYs0uRqYZhaLIkzo7uQQV0qMwnpffdUmvwlvYzq8AGUfQUTcaTaR7TcFPFCVJ/qAP85D
aEpe3XFgWRr4TsW3Gf4jrnI1ZJz5ukyG7urOBgEUTBOUR8xwTuSQn2ceHBx3joiZkfQp
XXT0Qj3CHnUV7tk0Di4X7pbafvtoluEz/oI1ykbt7yWEw7qgd+ErxnJyiYA2LfAzoB3x
e8sQ==
X-Google-DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=1e100.net; s=20130820;
h=x-gm-message-state:to:from:date:message-id:subject:mime-version
:content-transfer-encoding:content-disposition:precedence
:auto-submitted;
bh=nUsPA+BcBNhMdBoejy9WRctKEwdsLY9vGOPIsLGqwdE=;
b=gP15zOXAUv7KPJKv6qcfZ8saaL+PHZGEoRjOoUOCja4d9uGupC6VFyMws40sLC1bkn
vQrNtqhZOMssH9mwvVaou56uTUtpGHfSJ12r48WeKyRkiS5biFudAqwZYJn0sRxnodWb
9amkXjSiCYSNuWY7TfaLCqGdJ9GDriaEcuTBV0HUun+CkeBCme4d3JMmLE47TvK6g/5z
CkXJj+dQbSExh6uOD74AySTChU0swwShIZoYtOLH/u7RoJB7ue+/kMTfmdzYBPlhSQ0X

IgeWs2/i9ri24aBoW9CYng4g0TuCb0borm6s46gV86JT/VUdbu49EOpfISAnCGCqm95I

kAdQ==

X-Gm-Message-State:
AE9vXwMjeT74dwR8WshwquvXH0+EAdzg51e8ZFhkb4ER73xeotbQ/gsqRJZnBSM+7mM8ZwUdyrZq7+s5nqpYfZz
elly+InsAe3g0HD0mKg==

X-Received: by 10.36.3.76 with SMTP id e73mr36497034ite.42.1472693483556;

Wed, 31 Aug 2016 18:31:23 -0700 (PDT)

To: gbedirian@hotmail.capwiz.com

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Date: Wed, 31 Aug 2016 18:31:23 -0700

Message-ID: <CAPDJuCLzofRT6eCH5e6Ff3iJcPCwZoPYdZBu8X-BNc_uj2py9Q@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: =?UTF-8?Q?Sorry_I_missed_you!_Re:_Union_Pacific_Railroad=E2=80=99s_appl?=
=?UTF-8?Q?ication_to_construct_a_new_rail_track_near_Mosier,_OR.?=

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: base64

Content-Disposition: inline

Precedence: bulk

X-Autoreply: yes

Auto-Submitted: auto-replied

--- End of message -----

---

o more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

message(s)

---

te: Sat Sep 03 2016 22:27:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Sharon Fetter
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156f3735c6463b4a

Sharon Fetter

PO Box 521

Puyallup, WA 98371-0054

September 4, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase in traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

e review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Fetter

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 21:37:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Cleveland
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f34584f768251

George Cleveland

713 Valley Way

Santa Clara, CA 95051-5626

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is aded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic d adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would advers affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure t any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

egards,

eorge Cleveland

---

o more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

nessage(s)

---

e: Sat Sep 03 2016 19:27:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Maggie Davidson
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

156f2ce60b8580dd

gie Davidson

Pine Drive, Apt 11

ano Beach, FL 33060-7281

mber 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is d necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Maggie Davidson

Maggie Davidson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 19:17:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marcy Matasick
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f2c537c1d7c60

Marcy Matasick

PO Box 1134

Sandia Park, NM 87047

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The ne
track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Ar

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application.

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia . Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is oited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation d

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marcy Matasick

Mosier double track

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 18:57:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ann Marie Jelderks
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156f2b386cb9386c

Please do not allow the double track to be built in Mosier, or.
hey already have had a terrible accident with the derailment.
lore trains at a higher speed will bring more problems.

espectfully submitted, Ann Marie Jelderks

---

l Transport

essage(s)

---

e: Sat Sep 03 2016 18:27:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
m: Carolyn Minert
angieb@co.wasco.or.us

56f2975b3ea54f8

lyn Minert

cm67@gmail.com

go, IL 60631

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase rain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carolyn Minert

---

no more trains

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 17:46:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ronald Benisch
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f272b3bf74e19

Ronald Benisch

512 w mackie st

beaver dam, WI 53916

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through e of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would rsely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic l adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause se impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ewing areas.

he proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ces and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

arm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia illing these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. Th inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adverse affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure th any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the s of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings th the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Col River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ron

---

ailroad

message(s)

---

ate: Sat Sep 03 2016 17:06:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Dakotah Woller
angieb@co.wasco.or.us
:
156f24e08a6c322b

otah Woller

Westfalls Dr

vood Falls, MN 56283

mber 3, 2016

ngie Brewer,

y concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new
d resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,
mbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designat key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colum River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ommunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ate Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that y sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That rmation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a essional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is d necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be sely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dakotah Woller

Union Pacific's proposal to build a double track through Mosier

---

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 16:16:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kevin Harvey-Marose
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f22035c1f0466

Kevin Harvey-Marose

3432 6th St.

Lewiston, ID 83501

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The nev track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kevin Harvey-Marose

Union Pacific Rail Track

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 15:56:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Meagan Fastuca
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f20ddcfae62b5

Meagan Fastuca

1792 Lenox Avenue

East Meadow, NY 11554

eptember 3, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

e oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture old of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ar safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this t would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columb River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes th Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clea Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statemen how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans o expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale f our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ly,

,

Fastuca

Columbia Gorge protection

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 15:26:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lynne Haagensen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f1f25f890ba3b

Lynne Haagensen

1060 Lamb Road

Troy, ID 83871

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

The Columbia Gorge is a national treasure. It deserves protection. I have driven it many times and feel strongly that it should not be threatened.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, there increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause dverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated ey viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia ver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is hibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown tion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia . As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean r Act.

ts of more trains:

recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of uch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lynne Haagensen

Oil Trains are a disaster on wheels

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 15:16:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Melloh
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f1e934a599df4

James Melloh

47 Sprague St

S Portland, ME 04106

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for tional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of osier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. U Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could in train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the M Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are do inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia iver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be versely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

ely,

Melloh

---

ins

ge(s)

Sep 03 2016 15:06:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
nda Weber

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f1e00821fd467

Brenda Weber

9125 Dun loggin Rd

Ellicott City, MD 21042

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oi tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In li the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirement According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. Th demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of lants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown llution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia ver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the lumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ter Act.

acts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union c had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase raffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

he project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

he proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This eniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

view needed:

e project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the ity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Brenda Weber

Brenda Weber

No new rail tracks through the Columbia River Gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 14:56:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carole Ehrhardt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f1d6dff07c8cc

Carole Ehrhardt

P.O. Box 243

Pebble Beach, CA 93953

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture reshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil nk car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this oject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby reasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

ts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

he project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains g through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

e project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ed by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memalo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would advers affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings t

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

arole Ehrhardt

role Ehrhardt

---

more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

essage(s)

---

e: Sat Sep 03 2016 14:56:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: David Kallechey
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56f1d6df97f1f5d

Kallechey

oulevard Place NE

a, GA 30308

ber 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trair passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that the sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

David P Kallechey

David P Kallechey

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 14:56:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kathleen Jaissle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156f1d6dde6ae473

Kathleen Jaissle

1447 Arthur

Arthur, OH 44107

September 3, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause rse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated iewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

hreats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columb River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kathleen Jaissle

UP's Appl to construct new track near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Sat Sep 03 2016 14:06:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas Gillespie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156f1a90996a3c41

homas Gillespie

5272 Valeda Dr.

Mirada, CA 90638

ptember 3, 2016

r Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new nd crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal , and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colu River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attemptin cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blow pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This nconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

lore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a sional botanist hired by the applicant.

roject necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

he project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be y affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

u this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Thomas Gillespie

Union Pacific Railroad' application to construct new track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:43:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Conn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7a8428f2f65

Sharon Conn

PO Box 1885

Sandy, OR 97055

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Riv Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of thi project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligl the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault f the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

acts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

THIS MORNING I READ A 48 PAGE PETITION FILED IN COURT by EarthJustice against the Army Corp of Engineers for illegally issuing multiple permits to build a Balken oil pipe line through the tribal lands in the Dakota's, e al, violations of water ways, wetlands Federal rules, etc.. UNION PACIFIC'S proposal to build mainline tracks through Mosier leads me to believe that the 'FIX IS IN' and Native Americans interests, as are those of all other citizens of this country, are for sale and exploitation.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Conn

No More Oil Trains Along the Columbia!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jane Hadley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de715d1782ab3

Jane Hadley

1725 30th Ave

Seattle, WA 98122

August 30, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

le oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby sing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of sier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

he Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. U Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could in train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the M Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a rofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is deed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

ely

adley

---

onment

ge(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandy Dumke
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de715b69082e5

Sandy Dumke

807 Executive Circle

Crooks, SD 57020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light c the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandy Dumke

New Rail Track near Mosier OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Abe Levy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de715b591a7c4

Abe Levy

4875 Pelican Colony Blvd Apt 301

Bonita Springs, FL 34134-6916

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

rude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River orge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available vices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The stry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This onstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

cts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

he project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains g through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increas train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would advers affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure t any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes p

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ncerely,

e Levy

---

l Trains

essage(s)

---

e: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
n: Jamie Green
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56de715a9e28957

e Green

Sweetwater Ln

ra, CA 93004

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from design key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale r park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that nd is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

JPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jamie Green

No oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jennife Sellers
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7159405f413

Jennife Sellers

3901 Clayton Rd.#66

Concord, CA 94521

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia . Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is bited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Colum River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jennifer Sellers

Train tracks

l message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tracey Katsouros
o: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156de71592494160

acey Katsouros

22 Harwich Dr.

ldorf, MD 20601

ust 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new nd crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would caus adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tracey Smallwood Katsouros

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anne ekblad
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de71588009362

Anne ekblad

8103 lighthouse lane

Windsor, CO 80528

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The ne
track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are
the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build n
coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal
trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia r. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of s and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown on into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

oal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the bia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Anne Ekblad

Thank you for passing a moratorium on new fossil fuel projects!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Morgan Girling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de71585b3afef

Morgan Girling

364-145th Place SE

Bellevue, WA 98007-5172

ugust 30, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new l and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ns, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. U Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could in

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That formation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

ely,

Girling

Oil train and the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellen Hogarty
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7157798c57d

Ellen Hogarty

1710 Dollar Lake Dr.

Kent, OH 44240

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's c tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of t project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, there increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fau the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requiremen According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of nts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any overed commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia r. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the mbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean r Act.

ts of more trains:

recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of uch this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase affic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

e project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

e proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This iences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ellen Hogarty

---

new rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Wendy Diamond

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7157116694f

Wendy Diamond

523 Santa Barbara

Berkeley, CA 94707

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, ne Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ces, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for l train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

s to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansi

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

ndy Diamond

Trains in Colombia Gorge

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Julie Munoz
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56de715602e676c

Munoz

E Chandler Blvd Apt 2051

Phoenix, AZ 85048

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass throu some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of t passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train tra

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of w much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union cific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase n traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

he project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

arm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose ark, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ecreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that e of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans nd Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ark land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julie Munoz

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Nowak
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de7155f31b803

Diane Nowak

400 Bent River Rd

Clarkdale, AZ 86324

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby creasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for nditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available rvices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. cording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

acts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ng through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would sely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is ded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

dverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause e impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated wing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Nowak

Oil Trains through Columbia Gorge

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:33:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
om: Kathryn Robinson
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156de7154d638850

thryn Robinson

725 River Rd

dstone, OR 97027

ust 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cau adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designa key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Colur River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

ore review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the mmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose te Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that and is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That mation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ssional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is d necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

KATHRYN ROBINSON

KATHRYN ROBINSON

Oil train rail expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paula Chester
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de682b69fccea

Paula Chester

7930 SE 34th St. #105

Mercer Island, WA 98040

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The nev track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Are the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build ne coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills and explosions. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

mpacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ome of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains assing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would dversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is egraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic ould adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause verse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated y viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County inances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia er. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is nibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ts and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to s the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any vered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ion into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Paula Chester

---

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Louise Stebbins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de6829d1d841b

Mary Louise Stebbins

3377 N. Cramer St.

Milwaukee, WI 53211

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plan to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Colum River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that cou adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Louise Stebbins

Against the Union Pacific Railroad's application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barb Travis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
D: 156de6829774c3b0

arb Travis

-999 La'aulu St.

va Beach, HI 96706

gust 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, olumbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new nd crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ou to deny this application for the following reasons:

oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columb River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbi River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ffect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that ny sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be rsely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

k you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ely,

Sincerely,

Barb Travis

Oil Trains and the Columbia River

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen Condit
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de68287a01361

Stephen Condit

2352 N 147th St.

Shoreline, WA 98133

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Ri Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oi tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County rdinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia iver. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is ohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of ants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to ss the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia er. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the umbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean er Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union c had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could b adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation don

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephen Condit

Oil Trains are Dangerous

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Hamilton Burda
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de682816bca01

Heather Hamilton Burda

12271 Hitchingham Rd

Milan, MI 48160

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ins, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

k you to deny this application for the following reasons:

de oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River ge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture shold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ct would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby asing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for tional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available es, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of osier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

he Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unic Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

ther Hamilton Burda

---

on Pacific Railroad application for Mosier, OR

ssage(s)

Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: LJ Lanfranchi

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de68276fdc542

LJ Lanfranchi

311 Hardwick Road

New Braintree, MA 01531

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia Rive Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In ligh the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault fo the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any ncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown ollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia iver. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the olumbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean ater Act.

pacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of v much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union ific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier an Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This veniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

review needed:

he project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the unity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

LJ Lanfranchi

Oil trains in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marina Slaton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de682647433bc

Marina Slaton

11040 SE McCreary Lane

Boring, OR 97009

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

I have lived in the Columbia Gorge area since 1947 and care about it very much. This letter sums up my concerns very well. We have all seen the scenes of huge explosions & fires caused by oil trains, the one in Mosier was just a tiny episode. I don't want any more, even that small. This letter explains the other concerns I had as well. PLEASE don't allow more oil trains in the Columbia Gorge; limiting it so even fewer would be even better. How about NONE!

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marina Slaton

Please deny application for new train tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Hoffman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de68252384544

Nancy Hoffman

1227 Flora Glen Dr.

Sparks, NV 89434

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

sk you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ect would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for il train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could incre train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down.

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is ndeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

inally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be dversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

ank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

cerely,

erely,

cy Hoffman

---

on Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR

ssage(s)

Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Joel Kaplan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de6824ea51774

Joel Kaplan

2301 Dotsero

Loveland, CO 80538

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

npacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier ban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joel Kaplan

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: maureen rogers
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de6824e4fc767

maureen rogers

316 w columbia

newberg, OR 97132

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture hreshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this roject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for onditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ervices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of e Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for e oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This monstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through ne of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains sing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would ersely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. T inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansio

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memalo State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adver affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

incerely,

ank you

ureen rogers

---

ion Pacific. - Oil Trains

essage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Sage Johnson
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

56de6824cf378c5

Johnson

Kearny

rancisco, CA 94133

30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trai passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would ca adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from desigr key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This onveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the munity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely t recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans pand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that nd is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

JPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

he County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sage Johnson

Union Pacific in Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Querido Galdo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de68236d18a88

Querido Galdo

3009 E. 29th Street

Oakland, CA 94601

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Though I live in California, much of my family lives in Oregon and I've spent some of my best times on the banks of the Colombia. I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for he oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The ndustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

npacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is graded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County nances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia r. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is bited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Querido Galdo

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ann Kuter
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de682342d4484

Ann Kuter

562 Taylor Av

Warrington, PA 18976

August 30, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, e Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new al and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designate key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbi River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that e land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That ormation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a fessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is eed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia er Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

lly, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ann Kuter

Oil trains in the Columba Gorge

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barbara Manildi
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de6822608221b

Barbara Manildi

3525 Red Cedar Way

Lake Oswego, OR 97035

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia river. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia river. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation do

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barbara Manildi

Huge environmental risks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:23:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Spady
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de68224ecd8d2

William Spady

21775 S. Yeoman Rd.

Beavercreek, OR 97004

ugust 30, 2016

ear Angie Brewer,

m very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new ck and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal s, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

you to deny this application for the following reasons:

e oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River e National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture old of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil ar safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this t would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby sing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. U Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could in

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that he land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That nformation must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a ofessional botanist hired by the applicant.

Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is leed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia ver Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

ally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be ersely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

nk you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

rely,

ely,

Spady

Thoughts for the conscience

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maximilian Miller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5efdcb41259

Maximilian Miller

8177 Via Mallorca

La Jolla, CA 92037

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia R Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's o tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of th project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereb increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In li the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirement According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any covered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

cts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

he project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

he proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maximilian Miller

Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bridget Hinojosa

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5efd5069a39

Bridget Hinojosa

239 Portage Lakes Dr.

Akron, OH 44319

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new rack and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, he Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new oal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal ains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

ude oil-by-rail threats:

The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River rge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture eshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil k car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this ject would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby easing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for ditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available ices, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for il train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. rding to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The try average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This nstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

ts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Unio Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increa train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosie Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansi

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

hank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

ncerely,

cerely,

lget Hinojosa

---

mment Letter on Tesoro Savage DEIS

ssage(s)

: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
: Mary Kullman
ngieb@co.wasco.or.us

6de5efbde1947c

Kullman

Mansfield Dr.

Aurora, IL 60502

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light o the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of train passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

mpacts of more trains:

A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of ow much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union acific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase ain traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier rban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This conveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

re review needed:

The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the nmunity and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose e Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely ct recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans xpand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale ur park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Kullman

Bombs on wheels

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Earl Grove
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5efb7f165a2

Earl Grove

128 W. 3rd St.

East Canton, OH 44730-1010

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby ncreasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of he Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for ne oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. ccording to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The dustry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This emonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

pacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through me of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains ssing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would versely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is raded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic uld adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause erse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated viewing areas.

The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure tha any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes pla to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sal of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Earl Grove

JP in Mosier

message(s)

---

ate: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
rom: Colleen McGlone
: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
C:
: 156de5efa7cdb68e

lleen McGlone

40 Hartland Dr

w Port Richey, FL 34655

ust 30, 2016

Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Colleen McGlone

Colleen McGlone

Please, No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Deb Brown
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef899b512e

Deb Brown

PO Box 98964

Raleigh, NC 27624

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Deb Brown

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrea Finley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef867358a1

Andrea Finley

100 Vera Ln

Lopez Island, WA 98261

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

ANDREA FINLEY

ANDREA FINLEY

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Scott Matash
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef8446ec99

Scott Matash

48119 Sandy ridge

Macomb, MI 48044

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Scott Matash

Fossil Fuel Has No Place In Our Modern Time

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Billy Angus
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef7ac0ed04

Billy Angus

604 North 2nd St.

Hamilton, MT 59840

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Personal Note:

Fossil fuel is outdated and has no place anywhere

on this Earth in the 21st Century and beyond!

It's time to invest in solar and wind and keep

oil and coal buried in the ground once and for all!

Sincerely,

Billy "The WiZaRd" Angus

Billy "The WiZaRd" Angus

Re: No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:13:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Margarita Perez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef6c03612c

Margarita Perez

13859 Graber Ave

Sylmar, CA 91342

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Margarita Perez

Bomb trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:12:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Laws
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef6bf59a27

David Laws

1718 Valencia Street

Bellingham, WA 98229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David M. Laws

No oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:12:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Merz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef62290dcb

Elizabeth Merz

111 W Lincoln Ave

Fergus Falls, MN 56537

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Merz

Elizabeth Merz

Stop Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:12:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Lenore Reeves
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef55a8ece8

Lenore Reeves

19934 Hickory Stick Lane

Mokena, IL 60448

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely.

Lenore Reeves

---

bomb trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:12:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Matt Hagen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef53636501

Matt Hagen

20671 Ponderosa Way

Tuolumne, CA 95379

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matt Hagen

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:12:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom Glover
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef4b499c2c

Tom Glover

1318 16th St So

Great Falls, MT 59405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tom Glover

Oil on the rails

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:12:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peggy Whelan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef30f7c251

Peggy Whelan

4106 NE 15th ave

Portland, OR 97211

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Peggy whelan

---

oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:12:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "D. Rowe"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de5ef29dce515

D. Rowe

2118 wilshire

Santa Monica, CA 90403

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

D. Rowe

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Berger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55cbf53d804

Karen Berger

4412 Ocean View Boulevard, #207

Montrose, CA 91020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Karen Berger

Karen Berger

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charles Arnold
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55cbbba5fff

Charles Arnold

PO Box 1672

Manchester, NH 03105

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Charles Arnold

Charles Arnold

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Keenan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55ca0efd840

James Keenan

108 Madison Td.

Lansdowne, PA 19050

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Keenan

New Rail near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Neilia Pierson

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c892f3b46

Neilia Pierson

Caves Hwy.

Cave Junction, OR 97523

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Neilia Pierson

No RailRoad Tracks For Oil Bombs

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dennis Feichtinger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c87fd4415

Dennis Feichtinger

2711 Riverside

Trenton, MI 48183

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dennis Feichtinger

No Oil Trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Geoff Briggs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c8702e854

Geoff Briggs

8404 31st Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Geoff Briggs

Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cassandra Treppeda
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c647faa4a

Cassandra Treppeda

9 N Hillside Ave

Elmsford, NY 10523

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Cassandra Treppeda

Deny coal trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Charlotte M. Walker"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c57564a1e

Charlotte M. Walker

1882 Everglades Dr

Navarre, FL 32566

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charlotte M. Walker

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: vanette mcconahey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c4e219efd

vanette mcconahey

401 S. Moreland Rd Apt 148

Bethalto, IL 62010

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

vanette mcconahey

vanette mcconahey

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Terri Resley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c36cdff21

Terri Resley

3712 Oakwood Drive

Longmont, CO 80503

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Terri Resley

Potential rail track expansion at Mosier OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jerry Kubik
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c3575d3a9

Jerry Kubik

1106 Barcelona Dr

San Diego, CA 92107

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jerry Kubik

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Clayton Jones

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c16f9d179

Clayton Jones

4246 S 148th St

Tukwila, WA 98168

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Clayton Jones

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Clayton Jones
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c26aa48d0

Clayton Jones

4246 S 148th St

Tukwila, WA 98168

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Clayton Jones

Oil & Coal Trains in the noryhwest

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sonia Baker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c2073e101

Sonia Baker

3800 Pana Vista Dr.

Bellingham, WA 98226

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sonia Baker

No Mor Oil Trains Through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Bryan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c14914ef4

David Bryan

43 hayley hill dr

carmel, NY 10512

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Bryan

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joseph Wenzel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55c1357cb82

Joseph Wenzel

93 Midwest Ave. N

Lake Elmo, MN 55042

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joseph Wenzel

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Robin Weage
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55be874dde2

Robin Weage

17934 NW Andria Ave

Portland, OR 97229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robin Weage

Robin Weage

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ardeth Weed
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55be61fb4be

Ardeth Weed

520 Hemlock Way, #4

Edmonds, WA 98020-4000

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ardeth L. Weed

No more oil trains in Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 20:02:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Malgorzata Schmidt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de55bd23a0d47

Malgorzata Schmidt

244 Dill Ave

Frederick, MD 21701

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR must be denied.

* National Scenic Area of the Columbia River is an unforgettable national treasure.

* Crude oil-by-rail is a reckless operation asking for catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires.

* Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) found that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

* The project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. Native land must be protected.

* This is NOT the time to destroy more environment.

* This in NOT the time to enhance the global climate crisis.

And with best wishes and kind regards,

I remain faithfully yours,

trusting that you will do everything that is needed

to stop this insane application.

Thank you.

Malgorzata Schmidt MD, PhD

PC 2 2-3381

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vivian Barro
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c95333edeb

Vivian Barro

603 w harvie ave

gastonia, NC 28052

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Vivian C Barro

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: j angell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c91333163b

j angell

ponderosa rd

Rescue, CA 95672

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

J Angell

No more oil cars through the Columbia George!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Winkelmayer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c909609e33

Patricia Winkelmayer

79 brandywine drive

ocean pines, MD 21811

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Pat Winkelmayer

ADMIT IT- IT CAN'T EVER BE CLEANED UP 100% IF IT SPILLS!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Goeckermann
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c8fd84ebea

John Goeckermann

Hugo Road

Grants Pass, OR 97528

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

UGLY BAD IDEA!!! STOP THIS CRAP!!!

John Goeckermann

Stop oil trains going through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Deborah Reade
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c8f161d6d0

Deborah Reade

117 Duran St

Santa Fe, NM 87501

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

My daughter lives in Oregon and I sometimes drive through the Columbia River Gorge. I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Deborah Reade

Angie Brewer

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Travis Bertram
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c8ead921cd

Travis Bertram

232 Griffin Hill Rd.

Monticello, KY 42633

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Travis

---

oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: chad hayes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c8d9e3b4f6

chad hayes

115 w hortter

phila, PA 19119

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

c hayes

Deny the application for new rail tracks in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kimberly LEEPER
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c8bd368077

Kimberly LEEPER

4742 42nd Ave. SW, PMB 379

Seattle, WA 98116

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kimberly Leeper

Comment Letter on Tesoro Savage DEIS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:52:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Terri Alexander
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4c899c80aa7

Terri Alexander

6526 170th Pl SW

Edmonds, WA 98026

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

As many other concerned for the environment and the damage any oil spill would cause, I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application. Here are some reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your final decision on protecting our resources.

Sincerely,

Terri Alexander

Coal and oil shipping dangers

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ann Ford
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4368c42e029

Ann Ford

2522 N 29th

Boise, ID 83703

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ann Ford

Ann Ford

No oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bambi Magie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4368a113815

Bambi Magie

213 McGuire Blvd

Brick, NJ 08724

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bambi Magie

---

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alice Steijn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4367432a770

Alice Steijn

PO Box 753

Roslyn, WA 98941

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alice Steijn

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomasin Ringler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de436737d21d4

Thomasin Ringler

196 Page St. W.

St. Paul, MN 55107

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Thomasin Ringler

Deny Union Pacific Railroad's Application For New Rail Track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Samuel Durkin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4366968886e

Samuel Durkin

5048 Lakeview Cir

Fairfield, CA 94534

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Samuel Durkin

Union Pacific RR's plan for new track along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra Smith <7schipperkes@gmail.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de436529d5f53

Sandra Smith

329 17th Ave

Seattle, WA 98122-5706

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sandra Smith

Sandra Smith

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Debbie Sequichie-Kerchee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4364dc205d4

Debbie Sequichie-Kerchee

PO Box 701

Cache, OK 73527

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Debbie Sequichie-Kerchee

Debbie Sequichie-Kerchee

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jeff aylen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4364d40c804

jeff aylen

18601 N Hwy 1

Ft bragg, CA 95437

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Aylen

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Sarah Lanzman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4364c235b44

Sarah Lanzman

1784 Simmons Gap Road

Dyke, VA 22935

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sarah Lanzman

Sarah Lanzman

Crude-by-Rail Hurts Us All

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sarah Collmer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4363d152e1b

Sarah Collmer

704 W 20th St.

Vancouver, WA 98660

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sarah Collmer

Oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Patton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4362fb25b47

Carol Patton

321 Rugby Ave

Kensington, CA 94708

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carol Patton

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lucas Klein
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de43610568589

Lucas Klein

720 W. Randolph

Chicago, IL 60661

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lucas Klein

---

application to construct new rail track near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lynn Shoemaker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435fbbcfdb3

Lynn Shoemaker

172 N. Esterly Ave.

Whitewater, WI 53190

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lynn Shoemaker

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jonathan Wexler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435f28e0d95

Jonathan Wexler

320 Elm

bogota, NJ 07603

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jonathan Wexler

---

new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Krassenstein
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435dace98c1

Diane Krassenstein

7617 Fillmore St.

Phila, PA 19111

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Krassenstein

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael MacDougall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435cb1333d7

Michael MacDougall

10105 N Parkside Dr

Nine Mile Falls, WA 99026

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael MacDougall

Union Pacific Railroad aplication

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gay Mikelson

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435a5a1f543

Gay Mikelson

8 Bangor Circle

Iowa City, IA 52246

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

You will receive many copies of the very long version of this letter. I agree with it, but wanted to send this shorter one.

The project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Do not approve this proposal!

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gay Mikelson

Proposed Union Pacific track near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Aaron Ucko
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435a090e7ff

Aaron Ucko

503 Pleasant Drive

Rockville, MD 20850

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Aaron Ucko

The phasing out of fossil fuels

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Childs

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de43591feff03

Peter Childs

CA

CA, CA 95553

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Peter Childs

Union Pacific Rail Expansion near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dan Morgan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4356f63ec5a

Dan Morgan

3601 Gold Crest Ln

Rosamond, CA 93560-6804

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dan Morgan

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tara Kerr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435603aaaf9

Tara Kerr

1018 Bold Springs Road

South Boston, VA 24592

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tara Kerr

Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joanna Liao
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4355d15db3c

Joanna Liao

West 44th St

New York, NY 10036

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joanna

Oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amy Mower
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de435578766b5

Amy Mower

PO Box 2004

Maple Falls, WA 98266

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amy Mower

Oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:42:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judy Mason
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de4353c49618f

Judy Mason

2615 163rd PL SE

Bellevue, WA 98008

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judy Mason

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Krause
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a2f59733e7

William Krause

12726 Sandri Ln

Houston, TX 77077

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

William Krause

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laurie Sandretto
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a2e9c13567

Laurie Sandretto

119 Bywater Drive

Getzville, NY 14068

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length, and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions, and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR, because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44%, and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge, and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural, and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed six-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by five to seven trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by ten times this amount, to up to seventy-five to one hundred trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: The OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment, and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laurie Sandretto

Rail Lind Capacity

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Burnett

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a2d14c6f4c

Robert Burnett

P.O. Box 170

Crested Butte, CO 81224

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Burnett

Columbia Gorge oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Stamos
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a2d00bf773

James Stamos

13361 Argonne Drive

Saratoga, CA 95070-4910

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Stamos

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Melody Bates
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a2baa3bdbe

Melody Bates

123 valentine lane

yonkers, NY 10705

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Melody Bates

Deny Union Pacific's rail expansion!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Matthew Anderson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a2b4c276f6

Matthew Anderson

13522 Densmore Ave N

Seattle, WA 98133-7739

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matthew anderson

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Miranda
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a29e16e96e

Michelle Miranda

134 Dakota Ave. #103

Santa Cruz, CA 95060-6608

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Miranda

No OIL TRAINS!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lars Jefferson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a29deadfc8

Lars Jefferson

3320 Jackson Street SE

Albany, OR 97322

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lars Jefferson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Teevan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a290c1c612

John Teevan

171 S. Lake Dr.

Red Bank, NJ 07701

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Teevan

No oil train on the Columbia River.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karl Koessel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a28b368396

Karl Koessel

330 Myrtlewood Ln

McKinleyville, CA 95519

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Karl Koessel

Karl Koessel

Protect the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Bachman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a27c31f62a

Robert Bachman

2211 W Strong Rd.

Spokane, WA 99208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert G Bachman

Robert G Bachman

Columbia River Gorge Protection

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Bachman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a2770f513f

Robert Bachman

2211 W Strong Rd.

Spokane, WA 99208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert G Bachman

Robert G Bachman

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Matthew Gray

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a27092c34e

Matthew Gray

1175 SW Ellenhurst Place

Bend, OR 97702

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matthew Gray

Oil trains through the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Dragavon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a26b59ff62

David Dragavon

1973 SE Pappasloss Ct

Portland, OR 97267

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

If you want to ruin a place that breathtakingly beautiful, running oil trains through surely is a good way to do that.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, David Dragavon

David Dragavon

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janet Hellweg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a25bf8bad1

Janet Hellweg

86 Evergreen Rd

Natick, MA 01760

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Janet Hellweg

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cynthia Crawford
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a254e1e7d4

Cynthia Crawford

1840 sunset place

Fort myers, FL 33901

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cynthia Crawford

Columbia Gorge Oil transport

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eric Bard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a234155f2b

Eric Bard

251 Park Ave.

Leavenworth, WA 98826

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eric J. Bard

Oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cynthia Hart
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a232f70bc0

Cynthia Hart

424 Vincent Corners Rd

Cuyler, NY 13158

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cynthia Hart

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:32:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rebecca Kraimer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de3a229919f43

Rebecca Kraimer

4500 Panorama Drive

Las Cruces, NM 88011

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rebecca Kraimer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Kahigian
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30ffe587d7e

Peter Kahigian

8 Country Hill Lane

Haverhill, MA 01832

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Peter Kahigian

Do not expand the rail line through Mosier for Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joyce Peck
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30ff432bd2f

Joyce Peck

6045 SE Carlton St

Portland, OR 97206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joyce Peck

Oil Train Disasters

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marie Garescher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30fe88cdadc

Marie Garescher

96 Main Street #2

Tarrytown, NY 10591

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marie Garescher

Oil Train Disasters

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marie Garescher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30ffed40833

Marie Garescher

96 Main Street #2

Tarrytown, NY 10591

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marie Garescher

---

no more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: K Kay Bircher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30fdc6f4a0b

K Kay Bircher

2327 No. Hacienda Dr.

Benson, AZ 85602

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

K Kay Bircher

K Kay Bircher

Stop the Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lawrence Leung
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30fa9155539

Lawrence Leung

67 Vestal Ave

Hancock, NY 13783

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lawrence Leung

Stop the Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Lawrence Leung
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30fdae39e7c

Lawrence Leung

67 Vestal Ave

Hancock, NY 13783

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lawrence Leung

Please deny Union Pacific Railroads application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Georgia Griffin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30fc8ab31ce

Georgia Griffin

52825 Fairchild Road

Chesterfield Township, MI 48051-1950

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Georgia Griffin

Crude oil by rail threts

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jack West
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30fb1c17b8d

Jack West

3914 SE Licyntra Lane

Milwaukie, OR 97222

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jack West

Jack West

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas Artin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f9ccd726d

Thomas Artin

240 King's Highway

Sparkill, NY 10976

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas Artin

Thomas Artin

No new track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Harriet McCleary
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f8db46bcb

Harriet McCleary

2440 Stevens Ave. S.#2

Minneapolis, MN 55404

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Harriet C. McCleary

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karlene Gunter
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f88b2cf21

Karlene Gunter

472 French Road

Rochester, NY 14618

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Karlene Gunter

Karlene Gunter

Oil by Rail bad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen Rosenblum
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f7f1aeeff

Stephen Rosenblum

212 Santa Rita Ave

Palo Alto, CA 94301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephen Rosenblum

Deny Union Pacific's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR or anywhere along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: patrick mulcahey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f637ee29a

patrick mulcahey

571 Skamania Landing Road

Stevenson, WA 98648

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

patrick mulcahey

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Anita Shanker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f606a2110

Anita Shanker

1004 OAK TREE DR

CHAPEL HILL, NC 27517

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Anita Shanker

Anita Shanker

Capitalism's short-term profit motive is incompatible with long-term public health and safety, and/or long-term environmental health and safety, and/or animal welfare!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John and Martha Stoltenberg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f54794336

John and Martha Stoltenberg

N8362 State Highway 67

Elkhart Lake, WI 53020-1828

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John and Martha Stoltenberg

Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:22:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Billie McKibben
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de30f512ce81d

Billie McKibben

00003 212th

Kent, WA 98042-6115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Billie

The Possibility of Envrionmental Disaster

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christian King
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c7fa719b1

Christian King

559 Berwal Rd

W. Arlington, VT 05250

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

C A King

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Shannon Baker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c7f25bc47

Shannon Baker

1420 Holman Street

Shelton, WA 98584

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Shannon Baker

Oil transport by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Heupel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c7dd00702

George Heupel

9443 Oak Hill Drive

Ashland, KY 41102

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

George Heupel

Comment Letter on Tesoro Savage DEIS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Gullam
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c59d5fd60

Paul Gullam

2016 Kinross Court

Bakersfield, CA 93309-3638

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Paul Gullam

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jonathan Bell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c55f2a726

Jonathan Bell

835 NW 56th st

Seattle, WA 98107

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dr. Jonathan Bell

Crude oil-by-rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: J Kelly

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c2a1d53ed

J Kelly

15120 Starr Rd SE

Olalla, WA 98359

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

J. Kelly

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donna Cosgrove
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c24c4873e

Donna Cosgrove

2411-C Delancey Place

Philadelphia, PA 19103-6476

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donna Cosgrove

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patrick Annabel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c1e50113f

Patrick Annabel

818 E Chestnut St

Walla Walla, WA 99362

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patrick Annabel

Don't risk poisoning the Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Felicia Dale
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c13831847

Felicia Dale

1920 66th Pl NE

Tulalip, WA 98271

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Felicia Dale.

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jane Spaeth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27c0e811a7b

Jane Spaeth

PO Box 596

Kekaha, HI 96752

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jane Spaeth

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Caroline Fugle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27bfba7a348

Caroline Fugle

3067 Sugarberry Ln

Johns Island, SC 29455

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Fugle

Please deny the new rail track!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Harry (but use Mike) Shaw"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27bcecabf5f

Harry (but use Mike) Shaw

716 Driftwood Place

Edmonds, WA 98020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Harry (but use Mike) Shaw

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:12:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Deborah Fexis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de27bcc70609d

Deborah Fexis

47 Lakeview Dr.

Nottingham, NH 03290

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Deborah Fexis

NO MORE OIL TRAINS in the gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Schmidt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e9aa639740

Robert Schmidt

2216 So. Rockwood Blvd

Spokane, WA 99203

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Schmidt

Oil/coal Trains and distruction of natural habitat/enviornment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Frederick Hamilton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e9aa5a422c

Frederick Hamilton

12271 Wintergreen Street

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91739-1925

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Frederick Hamilton

Expanding Unions Pacific track in Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: marianne flanagan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e9a5ef22c2

marianne flanagan

1714 e. forest av.e

des plaines, IL 60018

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

marianne flanagan

Environment Protection

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Javier Del Valle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e9684f1606

Javier Del Valle

P.O. Box 3060

Montebello, CA 90640-8360

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Javier Del Valle

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Valerie Mehring
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e964ea7bbe

Valerie Mehring

13729 22nd Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98125

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Valerie Mehring

No more Rail near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Mick

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e956dd94c7

Robert Mick

12045 Lincolnshire Dr

Austin, TX 78758-2207

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Mick, and Family

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lauren Schiffman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e95561f5cc

Lauren Schiffman

P.O. Box 1331

El Cerrito, CA 94530

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lauren Schiffman

Lauren Schiffman

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ruth Miller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e942c4ecbe

Ruth Miller

1819 Billabong Lane

Chapel Hill, NC 27516

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ruth Miller

Union Pacific Railroad's Construction Near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kara Graul
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e93523fe35

Kara Graul

3125 Wroxton Rd

Houston, TX 77005

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kara Graul

---

no lil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Evelyn Verrill
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e9245a4bbc

Evelyn Verrill

1155 W Fawn Lane

Prescott, AZ 86305

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Evelyn Verrill

Stop oil trains from passing through the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tracy Sawyer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e9085a28b6

Tracy Sawyer

2717 SE 31st Ave

Portland, OR 97202

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tracy Sawyer

Railroad track near Mosier Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: karl armens
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e901f1d377

karl armens

740 juniper drive

iowa city, IA 52245

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

karl armens

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nigeala Nigrath
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e8f4a0036e

Nigeala Nigrath

6420 California Ave SW Apt 210

Seattle, WA 98136

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nigeala Nigrath

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Scott Crockett

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e8ea60878b

Scott Crockett

PO Box 810

Florence, OR 97439

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Scott Crockett

Scott Crockett

Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Terry Nightingale
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e8c65c1389

Terry Nightingale

602 N 46th St

Seattle, WA 98271

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Terry Nightingale

Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Terry Nightingale
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e8e82db9a7

Terry Nightingale

602 N 46th St

Seattle, WA 98271

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Terry Nightingale

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 19:02:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Randy Harrison
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156de1e8e3ace5fa

Randy Harrison

4051 Wagner St

Eugene, OR 97402

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Randy Harrison

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Oregon Sierra Club Comment on UP Rail Expansion Permit PLASAR-15-01-004

1 message

Gregory Monahan <gregorymonahan29@gmail.com> Sat, Sep 3, 2016 at 6:14 AM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
Cc: Rhett Lawrence <rhett.lawrence@sierraclub.org>, "E.M. Stock" <erica.stock@sierraclub.org>

To: Angie Brewer, Planning Director, Wasco County, Oregon

Please accept the attached comments relating to the Union Pacific Rail Expansion Permit PLASAR-15-01-004.

Thank You,

Gregory Monahan, PhD
Chair, Beyond Gas & Oil Team
Oregon Sierra Club
cell: 503-314-9647

UP_Rail_Expansion_Sierra_Club_Comments_20160906.pdf
45K

PC 2 2-3670

SIERRA CLUB
OREGON CHAPTER

September 6, 2016

Wasco County Planning Commission

Re: PLASAR-15-01-0004 PLASAR-15-01-000, UPRR Second Mainline Track Project – Wasco County, Oregon

The Oregon Chapter of the Sierra Club is opposed to granting Union Pacific a permit for this proposed project and requests that the permit be denied.

We ask that the Planning Commission recognize that approving this project will have wide ranging negative impacts both within Wasco County but also all along the entire from 185 mile mainline track between Hinkle and Portland, Oregon (and beyond).

As a member of the Stand Up To Oil Coalition, the Sierra Club is completely aligned with the coalition's position on denying this permit, which comments have been filed separately.

It would take far longer than the few short minutes allowed for public testimony to detail all the reasons that this permit should be denied. They can summarized in the following bullet points:

- The whole purpose of this project is to increase rail traffic. This will lead to:
  - Increased risk of accidents, including explosive derailments such as the recent incident in Mosier.
  - Increased delays for emergency responders needing to cross the tracks.
  - Increased environmental damage to the environmentally sensitive Columbia River estuary with impacts on the river's endangered salmon species.
  - Increased health impacts to the communities along the rail lines owing to increased diesel fumes.
  - Increased adverse effects to scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area.
- The construction associated with this project will cause harm to wetlands owing to the large amounts of fill required.
- This project will violate the Treaty rights of the several tribes in the Columbia River Estuary. The Sierra Club does not speak for the tribes but is committed to support of their treaty rights. Among the impacts to the tribes are:
  - Potential violation of Native American cultural resource sites.
  - Increased risks to tribal members seeking to access the river to exercise their traditional fishing sites.
  - Increased impacts to the culturally and nutritionally important salmon species which are listed as endangered species.

In summary, approving this project is not in the interest of people or the environment.

The Oregon Chapter of the Sierra Club urges the Wasco County Planning Commission to deny the permit for this project.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.

Gregory P. Monahan, PhD

Gregory P. Monahan, PhD,
Chair, Beyond Gas & Oil Team
Oregon Chapter, Sierra Club

HRVRC
HOOD RIVER VALLEY
Residents Committee

PO Box 1544
Hood River
Oregon
97031

www.hrvrc.org

President
Mike McCarthy

Board Members
Anna Cavaleri
Ron Cohen
Margo Earley
Scott Franke
Jonathan Graca
Judie Hanel
Jeff Hunter
Lee Christie
Larry Martin
John Mills
Polly Wood

Executive Director
Heather Staten

September 6, 2016

RE: Union Pacific Rail Road Application File number PLASAR-15-01-0004

Dear Ms. Brewer:

The mission of the Hood River Valley Residents Committee is to protect Hood River's farms, forests, and the livability of its cities and rural communities by promoting wise land use decisions. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on Union Pacific Rail Road's proposal to construct new track near Mosier, Oregon. While Mosier is in Wasco County, expanding the tracks there will lead to increased train traffic and increased safety risk all along the Columbia River, including to Hood River County.

The transportation of oil and other toxic substances by rail through the Columbia River Gorge threatens all of what we hold dear and strive to protect. It is one very bad land use decision. The derailment of oil cars in Mosier on June 3, clearly demonstrates how this continued dangerous action on the part of the fossil fuel and railroad industries has moved from looming threat to reality in the Gorge. The freakish part of this incident is not that it happened, but that it wasn't a full-blown disaster. With our typical Gorge winds this incident could have incinerated our citizens, destroyed the town of Mosier and spread to forests, farms, decimated our National Scenic treasure and polluted our priceless natural resources.

There is the obvious call for Union Pacific and other railway companies to rise to much higher safety standards and the need for more robust Federal and State safety oversight. Neither of those things have happened and yet, Union Pacific has now applied to expand the mainline track to carry an even larger volume of potentially hazardous cargo at higher speeds.

Land use regulations were invented more than a century ago as cities sought to address health and safety concerns. This application fits squarely into the "health and safety" category. Given Union Pacific's poor maintenance and safety record, and the volatility of some of the cargo that will be transported by the tracks, we recommend that you **deny** Union Pacific's application.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Best regards,

Heather Staten

Heather Staten
Executive Director

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Tomorrow's planning commission meeting

1 message

you know who <didisaywho@gmail.com> Tue, Sep 6, 2016 at 12:41 AM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Hello Angie,

I hope to be able to have a chance to voice my concerns in person at tomorrow's meeting,but I may have to bolt to Portland mid-day so please consider my, different perhaps, perspective on the issue at hand.

I moved to Wasco County in 1991 soon after the enactment of the CRGNSA.
I LOVE this place. It is the home that I have dreamed about all my life,BUT I have made major concessions to honor the building (development) restrictions within the National Scenic Area. Working with Wasco County planning I have had to compromise my ideal home design(installing solar panels for energy efficiency, metal roofing for fire protection and site/ structure placement)for the greater good of reflectivity issues pertaining to key viewing areas. I get that. The greater good.

Had the Union Pacific Railroad been able to complete their goal of more rail traffic through the Gorge prior to the enactment of the Scenic Act,it would be the status quo,and we would have to live with it.
And, if I had built my home prior to the same enactment,I would have all that I had desired for my energy efficiency and fire safety needs and I would not be petitioning you now to apply the same vision and responsibility of the Scenic Act that applies to all parties-personal or corporate, in the decision that is now in your hands.

But this is now,and the Columbia River Gorge Scenic Act is front and center here,and I AM petitioning.
In fairness to residents,homeowners,visitors,fish and wildlife,all those downstream/downwind with a vested interest in our slice of heaven,PLEASE see how important your decision is.

I have many other issues with the rail expansion that others will no doubt represent for me as well,but I appreciate your time to consider my different point of view.

Respectfully,

Paul Lestock
1134 Canyon Way
(Rowena Dell)
The Dalles,Oregon
97058
541 508 0524

PC 2 2-3676

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

NO, NO, NO to oil traveling through Oregon

1 message

Janice Rose <roseaj@colton.com>
Reply-To: roseaj@colton.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 9:10 PM

Janice Rose
20367 S. Hwy. 211
Colton, OR 97017

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am a native Oregon and I've lived here for over 68 years. This place MUST be protected from pollution of all kinds. For the sake of our children and grand children, we MUST stand firm and do everything we can to keep ALL fossil fuels in the ground.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

PC 2 2-3678

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.
- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

With concern,
Janice Rose

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Oil Trains

1 message

Catherine Stephens <cstephen@risd.edu>
Reply-To: cstephen@risd.edu
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 6:10 PM

Catherine Stephens
511 12th street
Brooklyn, NY 11215

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Catherine Stephens

PC 2 2-3681

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

New Rail Track

1 message

Judy Epstein <judyepstein1@gmail.com>
Reply-To: judyepstein1@gmail.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 4:30 PM

Judy Epstein
3449 Garfield Ave. S.
Minneapolis, MN 55408-4213

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am extremely concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. Why? Because the new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and DANGEROUS & VOLATILE oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Judy Epstein

PC 2 2-3683

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Columbia Gorge

1 message

Natasha Saravanja <nysarav@gmail.com>
Reply-To: nysarav@gmail.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 3:20 PM

Natasha Saravanja
cesar chavez street
san francisco, CA 94131

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Natasha Saravanja

PC 2 2-3685

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Union Pacific Expansion

1 message

Paulette Wittwer <wittwers@comcast.net> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 1:35 PM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Angie Brewer
Wasco Co. Dept. of Planning and Economic Development
2705 E. 2nd St.
The Dalles, OR 97058

Greetings,

I am a resident of both Hood River and Multnomah Counties. My husband and I love the Gorge and spend a lot of time there. We have been concerned about the oil trains for some time and then the accident and fire in Mosier brought home our worries.

Now Union Pacific not only wants to continue their transport of the highly volatile oil but to increase the number and length of the trains and add tracks in Wasco County. We oppose this plan.

By running next to the water, trains in the Gorge threaten the ecosystem and the livelihood of many residents. If there is an oil spill into the Columbia it would pollute the river for miles and wreak havoc with the ecology and use of the river.

Fire is a proven risk. This time Mosier got off without extensive damage but if there is another fire, it endangers Mosier or other small towns with much more significant damage .

I request that Wasco County deny the application for the Union Pacific Railroad expansion.

Sincerely,

Paulette Wittwer
5895 Boneboro Road
Parkdale, OR 97041
wittwers@comcast.net

PC 2 2-3686

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

No more rails

1 message

Joel Johnson <greenplanter@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: greenplanter@hotmail.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 12:09 PM

Joel Johnson
309 Cedar St. #31
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution of any [illegible]overed

PC 2 2-3688

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Joel Johnson

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

1 message

Dale and Pamela Wright <wright.dale69@gmail.com> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 11:59 AM
Reply-To: wright.dale69@gmail.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Dale and Pamela Wright
7917- 35th St W
University Place, WA 98466

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

We are very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

We ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, we ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dale and Pamela Wright

PC 2 2-3691

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Please deny UP rail expansion in Mosier

1 message

Alice Lockhart <aliceelockhart@gmail.com> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 11:09 AM
Reply-To: aliceelockhart@gmail.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Alice Lockhart
10016 Interlake Ave. N.
Seattle, WA 98133

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Thank you for your service, and for your attention to public comments.

While I don't have standing to speak on this as a Gorge resident, I am a frequent visitor because my mother in law (and my children's grandmother), Hanna Metzger, lives in Hood River, and we visit frequently. The Twin Tunnels trail between Hood River and Mosier is one of my favorite places in the world. The Gorge is a national treasure, and we all want its beauty preserved.

1) The project should be denied due to oil train safety concerns. After the derailment and explosion, Union Pacific has no safety credibility. We might have expected a big project to increase safety along the length of the Gorge, but instead, UP is talking about expanding capacity. Please don't allow an expansion that would increase oil train capacity through the Gorge.

2) This project should be denied to preserve the precious environment in the Gorge. The expansion is an ecological disaster, with increased emissions, adding fills to sensitive wetlands, adding a large gravel crushing area immediately adjacent to Memaloose state park, Clean Water Act violations from coal dust, adverse impacts to threatened plant and animal species, whew! Most of these are, in addition to being wrong, actually illegal, giving great grounds for denial.

3) This project should be denied out of respect for the community of Mosier. Mosier has already suffered enough. Of all the places where UP could build a project with obviously adverse effects to the surrounding neighborhood, I would expect them NOT to do this in Mosier.

4) This project should be denied so that first-responders can continue to do their jobs in the Gorge: more trains means more crossings, and with miles long oil trains, multiple crossings per day don't just mean a possibility of disaster, it means certain harm. It means over time, certainty that multiple ambulances and fire crews will fail to make it to their destinations in time to save lives. These tracks and crossings were built in an era of shorter trains. Expansions to allow more of the very long trains to pass through the Gorge should not be allowed.

Thank you again for all your work.

Please deny this permit.

Sincerely,
Alice Lockhart

PC 2 2-3692

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

the Union Pacific application for expanding rail track along the Columbia Gorge, File number PLASAR-15-01-0004

1 message

Nancy Harrison <nlpaisner84@alumni.amherst.edu> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 10:52 AM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Dear Ms. Brewer,

I am writing to add my voice in opposition toany expansion of rail track along the Gorge.

Only 3 months ago, the accident in Mosier showed us how dangerous the current rail situation is. The idea of adding more trains, rather than imposing some regulations to make the ones already on the line safer, strikes me as a very dangerous thing to do.

The fact that Union Pacific so quickly started running oil trains through the Gorge after this disaster, despite requests from the government of Mosier as well as Governor Brown and our Congressional representation that they halt oil shipments until the safety issues could be addressed, demonstrates that Union Pacific cares nothing for the safety of our communities and environment. Union Pacific is not to be trusted, and any effort to expand or continue oil shipments through the Gorge should be halted.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and explosive oil trains through the Gorge. I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

• Increase in oil-by-rail: The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increase the risks of catastrophic accidents involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

• The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

• A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

• The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

• The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

• The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely

PC 2 2-3694

affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

• The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion. These are new tracks and thus a new use.

• The project is not necessary to provide a public service. Union Pacific currently provides adequate rail service through the Columbia River Gorge. Even if the project is necessary, there are alternatives that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

• Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

•The proposed 6 acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

• Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

• Threats to protected cultural resources: The project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance.

• Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

Sincerely,
Nancy Harrison
1900 SW Sunset Blvd.
Portland, OR 97239
nlpaisner84@alumni.amherst.edu

PC 2 2-3695

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Angie Brewer

1 message

Darlene Wolf <navistar@wildmail.com> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 10:19 AM
Reply-To: navistar@wildmail.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Darlene Wolf
1705 Gordon Dr
Naples, FL 34102

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darlene Wolf

PC 2 2-3697

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Angie Brewer

1 message

Darlene Wolf <navistar@wildmail.com> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 10:19 AM
Reply-To: navistar@wildmail.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Darlene Wolf
1705 Gordon Dr
Naples, FL 34102

September 5, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darlene Wolf

PC 2 2-3699

Testimony before Wasco County Planning Commission: September 6, 2016

Re: Union Pacific Railroad proposed double track project in Wasco County

PLASAR-15-01-0004

My name is William White, and I live full time in Mosier, Oregon. My wife and I moved to Mosier in 2007, attracted by the beauty and tranquility of the Columbia River Gorge, together with the assurance that the National Scenic Area designation would help to protect these qualities in the Gorge.

The current Union Pacific application to construct a second railroad line, roughly centered on the town of Mosier, would significantly degrade many of the qualities that originally drew us to this area. We request that Wasco County deny the Union Pacific application for the following reasons:

Increased train traffic: The new double track would dramatically increase the number, size, and speed of trains that currently move through Wasco County and the town of Mosier. According to railroad experts Terry Whiteside and Gerald Fauth III, the Mosier double tracking project, coupled with the proposed modern train signaling, would provide infrastructure to increase rail traffic through Mosier by 45 to 52 trains per day. This dramatic increase in train traffic would create more noise and pollution, as well as a demonstrated danger of fire and explosions. In addition, the increased length and number of trains would result in more frequent traffic interruptions for citizens and first responders at all railroad crossings.

While Union Pacific has stated that they are not building the second line in order to increase shipments through the Gorge, the facts are that the number of railroad cars moved through Oregon by Union Pacific has increased by 27% between 2013 and 2015 alone. (Whiteside and Fauth testimony, Figure 2)

Impact on National Scenic Area: The proposed second mainline track will negatively impact the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area by constructing new roads and bridges, blasting through existing mesas and crushing the resulting rock debris on site, disturbing areas likely containing historic Native American artifacts, and altering setbacks for scenic travel corridors, wetlands, and sensitive plant buffer areas.

The Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Act it mandated that development actions not adversely affect the scenic, cultural, recreation, and natural resources of the National Scenic Area - including actions which are individually insignificant but which may have cumulatively significant impacts. Furthermore, the Oregon Supreme Court found that an applicant must accept any conditions that are necessary to ensure that a development take place without affecting scenic resources. Based on my reading of materials from a variety of experts, I do not believe the Union Pacific application meets these standards

Impact on Memaloose State park: The proposal would definitely have a negative impact on Memaloose State Park. The Oregon Parks & Recreation Department Commission in April directed staff to stop negotiations with Union Pacific for a land transfer that would have allowed the company to build a second track through Memaloose State Park. The Parks Commission found no overall benefit to state park system, and cited public safety and state liability as reasons to reject the land transfer.

Thus Union Pacific's application is based on inaccurate assumptions because it relies on a land transfer that will not take place.

Increased oil and coal shipments: The proposed new second line will likely result in many more oil trains passing through Wasco County. The county's Planning Staff report notes that Union Pacific claims the commodities currently transported are not proposed to be altered by the proposed track development. However, the railroad also acknowledged that the commodities carried are driven by current market demand. (pg. 32)

According to the federal Surface Transportation Board statistics, Union Pacific revenues from oil shipments skyrocketed from $18 million in 2010 to well over $400 million in 2013 and 2014. The rush to move highly-volatile Bakkan crude oil from North Dakota and Montana gave a huge financial boost to Union Pacific. While the level of shipments eased off in 2015, it is clear that the commodities shipped through the Gorge by UP are primarily driven by demand and profits.

The fact that Congress has removed the prohibition on exporting crude oil and demand for coal is growing in Asia, when added to the proposed development of oil and coal shipping facilities in the Pacific Northwest, opens the door to the Columbia Gorge becoming the preferred conduit for fossil fuel shipments. Whiteside and Faust conclude that "UP clearly has its eyes focused on increasing oil and export coal shipments to the Pacific Northwest. We estimate that it is reasonable to assume that UP anticipates 6 to 12 additional oil trains per day and 5 to 10 export coal trains per day through Mosier, which would equal 36 to 57 trains per day." (Page 8)

While coal use is declining in the United States, huge inventories of coal in this country have created a surge in rail shipments of coal through the Gorge for shipment to Asia. In addition to the dangers posed by burning coal in countries with weak environmental regulations on coal, the dust from uncovered coal cars directly impacts the Gorge.

According to a recent study by Dr. Daniel Jaffe of the University of Washington, rail shipment of uncovered coal results in respirable particulate levels twice as high as those emitted by other diesel trains. This creates health hazards and degrades air quality for residents of Wasco County and the Columbia Gorge. If the double track proposal is approved and the demand for

coal exports continues to grow, more coal will be transported by Union Pacific on the Oregon side of the river.

Safety: Union Pacific's poor safety record is very troubling. The railroad's rate of accidents per one million train miles travelled is 22% above the industry average. Oregon officials said the tracks near Mosier were inspected on April 27, just weeks before the June 3 derailment, using methods designed to ensure the tracks were safe enough to carry crude oil trains.

In Mosier 16 of the 96 oil cars derailed, one tank car was punctured, the volatile Bakkan crude ignited, and three additional tank cars caught on fire. I was in Mosier shortly after the train derailed. The wind was unusually still for a summer day in the Gorge. If winds had been in the neighborhood of 20 miles per hour, the explosions and resulting fire damage would have been dramatically worse. Many people could have been wounded or killed, and the Mosier elementary school and other facilities would have been imperiled.

On June 23rd, the Federal Railroad Administration determined that "Union Pacific's failure to maintain its track and track equipment resulted in the derailment."

Railroad experts Whiteside and Fauth reported that "while there may be some improvements compared to the current operations over the line – such as reducing noise and emissions from idling locomotives – these purported benefits will be more than offset by new health and safety problems associated with the increased traffic levels through Mosier. More trains through Mosier means more train noise, more train emissions and increased potential for rail accidents and spills.

The final statement of their testimony concludes that "the increase in train traffic that this proposed project would allow will make Mosier and Wasco County more dangerous places to live."

The city of Mosier, having witnessed first-hand the derailment of a Union Pacific oil train, has gone on record opposing the application for a second rail line, and I support this position.

Lack of state oversight: It is important to note that Washington and California recently passed laws giving state government new oversight of a railroad company's response to oil train crashes. Those laws include requirements that railroads file emergency response plans, new taxes on oil-by-rail shipments to fund preparedness and a mandate that railroads must show whether they can cover the cost of a worst-case oil train spill. Just a year before the Mosier derailment, Union Pacific lobbied against similar legislation giving the state of Oregon increased oversite of oil train crashes, and the bill (HB 3225) was later gutted.

Lack of local control: I have read the report of the Wasco County Planning Staff, and commend the careful work that their summary represents. I strongly agree with some of the conditions proposed by the staff report, including the requirement the number of trains not be allowed to

increase, that coal cars be covered, and that Union Pacific provide training and consider assisting with needed supplies and equipment for fire departments in the Gorge.

While I agree with conditions such as those proposed by planning staff, I do not believe that Union Pacific is likely to comply with such standards. Railroads have historically taken the position that they are regulated at the federal level, and they have opposed standards being set by local governments. I know of no powers possessed by Wasco County that would ensure that trains that begin their trip one or two thousand miles to the east of Oregon will comply with local standards once they reach the border of Wasco County.

Accordingly, I urge our Planning Commission to reject the Union Pacific application for a second mainline track through portions of Wasco County.

William L. White
P. O. Box 657
Mosier, OR 97040

September 5, 2016

Angie Brewer

Wasco County Planning Commission
Email: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

RE: Union Pacific Rail Double-track Application, File number PLASAR-15-01-0004

Dear Wasco County Planning Commission:

My name is Kristine Harter. I live at 2225 Dundas Way in Mosier, Oregon.

Thank you for the opportunity to state my opinion on the Union Pacific application above.

When I first heard of the proposal to build an entirely new, additional set of tracks and other infrastructure through a part of the Columbia Gorge Scenic Area on either side of the town of Mosier, it concerned me. It sounded like a hugely expensive and disruptive project for a stated goal to improve the present level of train services provided by Union Pacific.

Around the same time, I had become aware of what seemed like an increase in the number of trains I saw and heard coming through the gorge, specifically, in trains with oil cars. I began to hear more about proposals for oil refineries up and down the coasts of Oregon and Washington to refine the increasing crude oil coming through the Gorge from extraction areas in the Dakotas, Alaska and Canada.

As I became more concerned about Union Pacific's proposal, I read through the their application itself, through testimonies of experts in the environmental impact of rail transport in particular and in the transport of coal and oil specifically. Twice, I made plans to come to this hearing to give my opinion.

As you know, this is the third date for this hearing; the second postponement was after the derailment and fire in Mosier, an event that by the time it happened, seemed predictable and unprepared for.

Most recently, I have read through the one hundred pages or so of your commission's staff recommendations on this application.

Although I commend the staff for recommending conditions such as covering coal cars, provision of regular training to Gorge fire departments, wetland mitigation and rehabilitation plans for disruption to the environment, I strongly disagree with their recommendation to approve the project.

I think that the application should be denied. I do not believe that the conditions can be adequately monitored. I am very concerned that Union Pacific is not being up front about its reasons for wanting to do a major construction project on the tracks in and around Mosier.

After my readings and after my experience with Union Pacific's response to the derailment on June 3, I do not believe that this company has the necessary commitment to safety and accountability to people who live, work and recreate along their train routes. In considering a

project such as this which will certainly be disruptive for months and months and could potentially be disastrous, I consider a strong commitment to safety concerns essential.

According to Union Pacific, "the primary change in operation resulting from the new second mainline track will be to address the inadequate infrastructure and safety concerns in the project location to improve operational inefficiencies by reducing the duration of train idling, maintaining standard operating speed, improving the ability of trains to safely pass one another, and reducing limitations to using efficient longer trains." They state that they "typically move 20 to 30 trains a day through the project area," and anticipate a "similar number of daily trains with implementation of the proposed project."

I am concerned by the vague language used in Union Pacific's application. What is the "standard operating speed" which they will be maintaining? How long are "efficient longer trains"? Even if a "similar number of daily trains" passes through on the new tracks, don't longer trains mean more cars, and effectively more trains?

Union Pacific does not focus on specifics, as above, or on the disruption caused for months by construction. Instead they stress how not much will change after the expansion is completed, as in, "Once constructed, the second mainline track will operate similar to the existing rail line, in that it will continue to move a wide array of commodities through the Columbia River Gorge." They state that the "proposed project is not linked to the transport of any single commodity, nor is it a response to a planned rapid expansion of rail services."

In their recommendation, your staff elaborates on the "wide array of commodities" that UPRR currently moves through Oregon, specifically mentioning, grain, automobiles, lumber, cement, apparel and consumer electronics. Nowhere in either of these statements is the commodity, "crude oil," mentioned. Yet data from the 2016 federal Surface Transportation Board Freight Commodities Statistics show that from 2010 to 2015, Union Pacific saw a 1300% increase in revenue from oil shipments.

It is commendable that the Planning Commission staff included a condition for regular training of Gorge fire departments in their recommendations. In the wake of the near disaster in Mosier on June 3rd, it is clear to me that much more than that condition is needed.

Washington and California recently passed laws giving state government new oversight of a railroad company's response to oil train crashes. Those laws include requirements that railroads file emergency response plans, new taxes on oil-by-rail shipments to fund preparedness and a mandate that railroads must show whether they can cover the cost of a worst-case oil train spill. Just a year before the Mosier derailment, Union Pacific lobbied against similar legislation giving the state of Oregon increased oversight of oil train crashes, and the bill (HB 3225) was later gutted.

After the derailment in Mosier, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific.

On June 3rd, on the very route proposed and operated by Union Pacific, a disaster did not happen. Most of us who live in the area believe that a major fire and potential loss of lives and homes were narrowly averted because it was an unusually calm day in the Gorge.

One would think that after such a warning as occurred in Mosier, a company applying to increase the risk of such an accident would do all that it could to reassure residents of the Gorge. Instead, just days after the accident, and before all the damaged cars had been removed from the tracks, residents drove through a town that looked under siege. The main Union Pacific presence that I was aware of were company police in uniforms who could not answer any of our questions because they had been flown in from somewhere else. We experienced traffic delays as the mess was cleaned up and train traffic resumed through the town.

Although asked by the town of Mosier and local, state and national elected officials to cease shipments of oil by train at least until a federal railway investigation had been completed, within three weeks after the accident, Union Pacific had resumed oil shipments. Their response was that they are required by the federal common carrier obligation to carry crude oil and other hazardous materials.

I urge you to do all within your abilities to deny this application. There are too many unanswered questions and too much potential for danger to support such a project.

Sincerely,

Kristine Harter

harterwhite@gmail.com

Wasco Co. Commission
C/O Ms Angie Brewer
Wasco Co. Planning Director

6 September 2016

RE: Proposed second rail line past Mosier, Oregon (through Wasco Co. and the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area)

Dear Sirs and Madames,

My concern is more global that most of the comments you will receive. The entire world is in a climate-change crisis: not only warming but massive rain-storm floods in places, prolonged droughts in other places, rising sea levels on all coasts, tropical species including disease vectors moving poleward (think Zika), ocean acidification and the list goes on.

The undoubted cause is increasing carbon dioxide from fossil fuel burning. The first step in damping that cause is NO NEW FOSSIL FUEL INFRASTRUCTURE. The proposed second rail line proposed by Union Pacific is exactly that. Do not issue permits for the line. Do not issue permits for rock crushing near Memaloose State Park. Just say NO. I realize that the decisions will largely rest with the Federal Railway Administration. However, the voice of the Wasco County Commission will carry moral authority, a voice from the people "on the ground." Use that voice. Thank you.

Charles B. Miller, Ph.D.
1320 NW 30th Street
Corvallis, Oregon 97330

charlie@arietellus.com

September 5, 2016

Dear Wasco County Planning Commission,

Union Pacific's request to double-track trains through Mosier should be denied as it would create an unacceptable risk to both people and the environment in what are the most sensitive lands in the Columbia River Gorge. The proposed conditions don't remove this risk.

In regards to the staff recommended conditions of approval, who would monitor and enforce these conditions? UPRR has said it is regulated by federal law. What recourse would Wasco County have if UPRR didn't comply with the conditions?

For example under General Conditions:

Condition 13. Coal cars are required to be covered.
This is very important as the pollution from coal dust and debris adversely affects ground, air, and water quality.

Condition 15. UPRR shall stay within existing range of 20 to 30 cars per day as stated in the application materials.

In regards to the number of trains, 20-30 trains per day are already too many based on UPRR's poor safety record using accident statistics (there were 3.17 accidents per 1 million train miles in 2015 vs.an industry average of 2.59 accidents). The June 3rd accident in Mosier was a direct result of poorly maintained tracks. How many of the 20-30 trains per day would be oil trains?

Condition 17. UPRR shall provide regular training to Gorge fire departments included in the Mid-Columbia Five County Mutual Aid Agreements and requires UPRR to solicit feedback about local needs for combatting a railroad related fire incident and **make a good faith effort to assist** in meeting those needs.

What constitutes a good faith effort? Instead of just a good faith effort, why not require them to identify and meet local firefighting needs before even considering their proposal? What are those needs? Is there any way to prepare for an explosive oil train fire?
Meeting local firefighting needs in regards oil train fires is not even possible. If the normally prevailing winds had been blowing on June 3rd, the Mosier school building and everyone in it would have been "incinerated." There also could have been railroad cars in the river. In other locations along the tracks, containing an oil spill or fighting a fire would be next to impossible.

Condition 20. The development **shall not directly result** in an increased volume of rail traffic including number of trains, length of trains, or speed of trains.

UPRR could say that any increase was not a direct result of double tracking. Why not just prohibit both an increased volume of rail traffic and faster trains? Once again, who enforces this?
Why would UPRR even want to add the second track if it can't increase the number of trains per day? Is it just to prevent bottlenecks?

An additional concern is that access to sections of the preliminary staff report dated 2014 was denied due to redacted materials. This makes it difficult for citizens to participate in this process as we are denied access to necessary information, i.e., archeological, flora, and fauna.

In conclusion, UPRR's proposal would result in an unacceptable risk to the Columbia River Gorge which cannot be adequately addressed by any conditions for approval and should be denied. Furthermore, we support and concur with all comments submitted by Friends of the Columbia Gorge.

Sincerely,

Phil Swaim
Sheila Dooley
3300 Vensel Rd.
Mosier, Oregon 97040

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

PLASAR -15-01-0004 UNION PACIFIC DOUBLE TRACK Wasco County Oregon

1 message

Bill Brake <williamb98685@aol.com> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 10:13 PM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

ANGIE BREWER
Planning Department
Wasco County, OR

June 3, 2016 Mosier Oregon rocked the world with a catastrophic crude oil unit train fire, explosion and spill into the Columbia River.

The day after the Spill on June 4, 2016, I wrote a letter to Mr. Fritz the CEO of Union Pacific Railroad asking for a copy of the Incident Report.

My background with Both grandfathers and a great Uncle in the rail industry for many years, and my personal 35 year career in the Energy Business as a Process Engineer, Safety Engineer and Manager should have been sufficient to obtain a copy of the report.

There was no response from Mr. Fritz. The Union pacific Has to Earn the Right to move freight in any county or city and earn the trust of the citizens and governing bodies by being a Good Neighbor in the Community and Strive for Operational Excellence.

Union Pacific Railroad has not demonstrated Good Neighbor and in fact are an aggressive neighbor.

The Crude Oil and Ethanol Industries both are in the Cross Hairs for Product Movement by Rail Cars. The Crude Oil Business is phasing out DOT-111 Rail Cars , but the Ethanol Industry and more specifically the Renewable Fuel Association indicates that DOT -111 Rail Cars have a useful life of 50 years and current operating fleet in only 9 years old. They firmly have made a statement opposing any and all upgrades to the DOT-111 Rail Cars.

The Global Partners Columbia Pacific Bio Refinery Site at Port Westward began Ethanol Operations this week and Union Pacific is the primary rail carrier.

The NuStar Vancouver Bio Fuel Conversion Project at 22,000 BPD or 32 Rail Cars Per Day of Ethanol is in the Clark County Washington Planning Department and the Ethanol product has 2 % Gasoline added to make the Ethanol not fit for human consumption. The Volume at 22,000 BPD is equal to 92 % of all the Ethanol Used in the entire States of Oregon and Washington in year 2014 according to the Energy Information Administration Web Site. Where is this product coming from and where is it going.

to Double Track Up Line supports more trains, more congestion and faster speeds all a dangerous combination for a repeat of Mosier Oregon June 3, 2016.

It is Recommended that the Permit for Union Pacific Double Track be Denied.

Thank You for allowing public comment on the proposed Union Pacific Double Track.

William Brake PE
3407 NW 116th Way
Vancouver, WA 98685
williamb98685@aol.com
360-574-9735

PC 2 2-3714

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

98661

1 message

Liv Kalgaard <Leev7678@icloud.com>
Reply-To: Leev7678@icloud.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 9:40 PM

Liv Kalgaard
4901 Willamette Dr.
Vancouver, WA 98661

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any is discovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

I do not want more oil and coal tracks built plus increase in number of trains.in Oregon and Washington. Liv
Liv Kalgaard

PC 2 2-3717

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

98661

1 message

Liv Kalgaard <Leev7678@icloud.com> Mon, Sep 5, 2016 at 9:40 PM
Reply-To: Leev7678@icloud.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Liv Kalgaard
4901 Willamette Dr.
Vancouver, WA 98661

September 6, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.
- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any undiscovered

commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

I do not want more oil and coal tracks built plus increase in number of trains.in Oregon and Washington. Liv
Liv Kalgaard

PC 2 2-3719

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Union Pacific second line proposal

1 message

charlie@arietellus.com <charlie@arietellus.com> Tue, Sep 6, 2016 at 8:00 AM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Please see the attached letter.

Charles B. Miller, Ph.D.
charlie@arietellus.com

Wasco Co. Union Pacific 2nd line.docx
79K

PC 2 2-3720

Wasco Co. Commission
C/O Ms Angie Brewer
Wasco Co. Planning Director

6 September 2016

RE: Proposed second rail line past Mosier, Oregon (through Wasco Co. and the Columbia Gorge National Scenic Area)

Dear Sirs and Madames,

My concern is more global that most of the comments you will receive. The entire world is in a climate-change crisis: not only warming but massive rain-storm floods in places, prolonged droughts in other places, rising sea levels on all coasts, tropical species including disease vectors moving poleward (think Zika), ocean acidification and the list goes on.

The undoubted cause is increasing carbon dioxide from fossil fuel burning. The first step in damping that cause is NO NEW FOSSIL FUEL INFRASTRUCTURE. The proposed second rail line proposed by Union Pacific is exactly that. Do not issue permits for the line. Do not issue permits for rock crushing near Memaloose State Park. Just say NO. I realize that the decisions will largely rest with the Federal Railway Administration. However, the voice of the Wasco County Commission will carry moral authority, a voice from the people "on the ground." Use that voice. Thank you.

Charles B. Miller, Ph.D.
1320 NW 30th Street
Corvallis, Oregon 97330

charlie@arietellus.com

Angie Brewer <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>

Union Pacific Application__Hearing September 6, 2016

1 message

Rick Rappaport <rick@rickrappaport.com> Tue, Sep 6, 2016 at 9:26 AM
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

Dear Angie Brewer, Planning Director

Please include this written testimony into the packets of testimony to be considered by the Planning Department on UP's new siding application.

"This is an application by Deutsche Reichsbahn national railway system under strict supervision of the Third Reich to expand an existing railroad siding on either side of Berlin, Germany for 4.02 miles of new second mainline track and realigned existing track, new equipment shelters, new drainage structures, a retaining wall, new lighting and signage, modify existing utilities, temporary landing zones for construction, construct permanent and temporary access roads and adjust a property line.

That's what the administrative description of the Holocaust Train could have looked like in 1938, and on its face it's just legalistic spew with no moral issues. Hey, it's just a railroad siding. But if you put blinders on and only see this narrowly constructed application without any regard for its obvious purposes, you are violating a much higher law than the one before you.

You are saying to your community, to all communities all along the Gorge, to native salmon in the Columbia, to this Earth that you do not really care what this railway line transports, that you are just doing your job, just following orders, and we all know the history of where that leads.

Oh, but this is unfair comparing Union Pacific's expansion of its oil train traffic to Nazi Germany's death trains. This is way out of line. It's Outrageous to make this kind of statement at a Wasco County Planning Department Hearing. Close your ears, this kinda stuff is not germane to our decision. Nazi Death Trains and the Union Pacific? Using the world's most heinous murderous acts of moral outrage at a Wasco County Planning Department hearing on a railroad siding? Get this guy out of the room. There are no deaths here and there are no acts against humanity? Really?

I urge you to find a way, find a way to deny this application, find a way in your listed criteria to deny Union Pacific. Show the world that the Wasco County Planning Department has drawn a line in the sand and at all costs will protect not only this community, but this River, these salmon and this Earth. Show us that the listed bureaucratic criteria you must consider are all subject to the common moral decency of right and wrong."

Rick Rappaport
N.E. Portland

"I went out for a walk and finally concluded to stay out till sundown. For going out, I found, was really going in."

---John Muir

PC 2 2-3724

Protect the natural beauty and integrity of The Gorge experience

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dawn Malone
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c07835060

Dawn Malone

19204 4th Ave S

Des Moines, WA 98148

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dawn Malone

Please Oppose Oil Trains through the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: John Keiser
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c06f761ab

John Keiser

410 E 6th St., Apt. 17B

New York, NY 10009

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Keiser

Please Consider How Your Decision on Oil Trains Will Impact Seven Generations

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kristina Turner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53bdafbc0e2

Kristina Turner

P O Box 411

Vashon, WA 98070

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kristina Turner

---

human rights vs. death-for-profit

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Star Star
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53bb0b5618e

Star Star

21 Linden St. Apt. A

Somersworth, NH 03878

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Star Star

Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gloria La Fleur
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53ba07fa30c

Gloria La Fleur

5628 Katherine

Dearborn Heights, MI 48125

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gloria La Fleur

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hugh Lentz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53b9bbba789

Hugh Lentz

612 Governor Stevens Av SE

Olympia, WA 98501

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hugh Lentz

Columbia Gorge Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Georgia Shankel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53b63a6ee3c

Georgia Shankel

15 S Homan Ave Apt 212

Chicago, IL 60624-2953

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ms Georgia Shankel

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Berman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53b21d8f714

Steve Berman

2424 Spaulding AV

Berkeley, CA 94703

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Berman

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Berman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53b2ba533e3

Steve Berman

2424 Spaulding AV

Berkeley, CA 94703

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Berman

Oil trains in Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gerritt and Elizabeth Baker-Smith

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53b14c4e434

Gerritt and Elizabeth Baker-Smith

338 Braeside

East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Gerritt and Elizabeth Baker-Smith

Gerritt and Elizabeth Baker-Smith

Deny Union Pacific's proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kelsey Baker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a9120fa703

Kelsey Baker

1386 23rd Ave

San Francisco, CA 94122

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kelsey Baker

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Leslie Cassidy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a90dad65a1

Leslie Cassidy

534 East 83rd Street

New York, NY 10028

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Leslie Cassidy

Leslie Cassidy

Safety First -- Oil trains have a bad track record

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christie Driscoll
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a903519fdb

Christie Driscoll

2117 Bay St

Charlotte, NC 28205

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Christie Driscoll

Columbia Gorge oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Ramsey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a902c6e48b

Elizabeth Ramsey

1626 Colusa Ave.

Davis, CA 95616

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Ramsey

Transporting Oil By Rail Through Columbia Gorge (OR)

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sam Poikail
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8f5bc3050

Sam Poikail

1939 Westchester Drive

Silver Spring, MD 20902

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sam Poikail

Sam Poikail

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joe Neumann
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8f3ff8534

Joe Neumann

9041 16th Ave SW

Seattle, WA 98106

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joe Neumann

Oil trains thru Columbia River gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Wood
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8eebd03d9

Elizabeth Wood

PO Box 3633

Gettysburg, PA 17325

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Wood

No more oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lana Touchstone

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8e5195674

Lana Touchstone

252 Grapewood St

Vallejo, CA 94591

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you,

Lana Touchstone

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judy Bensinger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8dc4e3292

Judy Bensinger

10657 E Kachina Place

Dewey, AZ 86327

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judy Bensinger

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Hunt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8d94b7ebd

Sharon Hunt

17227 SW Loma Vista St.

Aloha, OR 97007

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hunt

Sharon Hunt

Regarding new rail track near Mosier' OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marylynn Boris
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8c93366bf

Marylynn Boris

352 Thoreau Street

Concord, MA 01742

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Personally , I have a cousin whom I grew up with and who is precious to me who lives near Mosier and whose life and well-being I am deeply concerned about.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marylynn Boris

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bryna Schreier
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8c8fd3678

Bryna Schreier

9581 Via Bernardo

Burbank, CA 91504

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bryna Schreier

No More Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Patricia Rossi
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8c8acde6b

Patricia Rossi

1 Maplewood Drive

Levittown, PA 19056

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Patricia Rossi

Patricia Rossi

Don't Allow Oil Trains through the Great Columbia River Gorge!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: AMY WINTER
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8c06ebe1c

AMY WINTER

6530 Kissena Blvd

Flushing, NY 11367

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should delay the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amy H. Winter

Oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Norman Traum
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8bad58abf

Norman Traum

4500 19th St. #576

Boulder, CO 80304

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Norman Traum

Norman Traum

Oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Norman Traum
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8c71540ac

Norman Traum

4500 19th St. #576

Boulder, CO 80304

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Norman Traum

Norman Traum

Tracks near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joann Macey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8b8203671

Joann Macey

1320 sw hilary

mcminnvmille, OR 97128

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Joann Macey

Joann Macey

Protect The Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Gazurian
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8b6ce1730

John Gazurian

608 Craycombe Avenue

Baltimore, MD 21211

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Gazurian

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ric Evans
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8b2d70e46

Ric Evans

54 Stoney Creek Drive

Clifton Park, NY 12065-6644

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ric Evans

Rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Allie Tennant
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8af384b73

Allie Tennant

4763 Nottingham Dr

Ft Myers, FL 33905

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Allie Tennant

No more oil trains in the Columbia Gorge, or anywhere in OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gunta Norman

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a893707133

Gunta Norman

645 E 11th St

Coquille, OR 97423

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you,

Gunta Norman

Scenic Area Risk

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joyce Durkin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8906513bc

Joyce Durkin

220 Providence Place

Mountville, PA 17554

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Joyce Durkin

Joyce Durkin

No expansion of Union Pacific's rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kay Reinhardt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a88c32024b

Kay Reinhardt

1102 16th Street

Anacortes, WA 98221

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kay Reinhardt - Anacortes, WA

Proposed new Union Pacific track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Caroline Bowdish
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a87caca187

Caroline Bowdish

1531 N. 16th Ave.

Pasco, WA 99301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Caroline Bowdish

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ruth Darden
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8721ed27b

Ruth Darden

6524 Parkpoint Lane NE

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ruth Darden

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Josh Rhodes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a87048479a

Josh Rhodes

210 Orange Street

Vidor, TX 77662

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Josh Rhodes

Failure to maintain tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Craig Welch
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8631e9776

Craig Welch

427 Boston post road

East Lyme, CT 06333

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Craig Welch

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ian Shelley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a85af7b90f

Ian Shelley

50 SW 97th Ave

Portland, OR 97225

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ian Shelley

No new rail track near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Arlene Roth

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a859d64e33

Arlene Roth

3725 SW Austin St.

Seattle, WA 98126

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Arlene Roth

Arlene Roth

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Madeleine Lee
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8588b9d00

Madeleine Lee

59 Michael Drive

Westfield, NJ 07090

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Madeleine Lee

Madeleine Lee

Oil Trains and Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Helen Curtis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a845afa063

Helen Curtis

8523 N Stevens Drive

Spokane, WA 99208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Helen Curtis

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cecilia Laspisa
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a8425ae7a8

Cecilia Laspisa

656 N. Sunstream Lane

Tecson, AZ 85748

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cecilia Laspisa

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laura Craig
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a7ed052bd4

Laura Craig

12120 Gravelly Lake Drive SW

Lakewood, WA 98499

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you,

Laura Craig

Opposition to Union Pacific Expansion!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:11:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Jere Grimm
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4a7dc0c0c83

Jere Grimm

1734 NW Aspen

Portland, OR 97210

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jere Grimm

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charles Townsend
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4157f0262e3

Charles Townsend

623 NE Morris St.

Portland, OR 97212

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charles Townsend

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joanne Kondratieff
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4155e7fdffa

Joanne Kondratieff

20 Milstead Cir

Shawnee, OK 74801

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joanne Kondratieff

---

concerned about Union Pacific Railroad's new track application

4 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: DK Bolen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414992356a3

DK Bolen

5600 59 Way N

St Petersburg, FL 33709

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

The Union Pacific Railroad's new track application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR resulting in increasing number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I am very concerned and ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings the land is either not needed for public use or the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated with less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

DK Bolen

---

concerned about Union Pacific Railroad's new track application

4 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: DK Bolen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41542d693c4

DK Bolen

5600 59 Way N

St Petersburg, FL 33709

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

The Union Pacific Railroad's new track application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR resulting in increasing number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I am very concerned and ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings the land is either not needed for public use or the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated with less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

DK Bolen

---

concerned about Union Pacific Railroad's new track application

4 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: DK Bolen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41555a0d3df

DK Bolen

5600 59 Way N

St Petersburg, FL 33709

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

The Union Pacific Railroad's new track application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR resulting in increasing number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I am very concerned and ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings the land is either not needed for public use or the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated with less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

DK Bolen

---

concerned about Union Pacific Railroad's new track application

4 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: DK Bolen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4155780e2f0

DK Bolen

5600 59 Way N

St Petersburg, FL 33709

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

The Union Pacific Railroad's new track application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR resulting in increasing number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I am very concerned and ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services,

nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings the land is either not needed for public use or the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated with less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

DK Bolen

oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Cote
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41538486125

Diane Cote

13201 Sugarbluff

Clermont, FL 34715

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Regards

Diane Cote

Rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elaine Donovan

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41533a6fca6

Elaine Donovan

9176 Hayward Hill Road

Hemlock, NY 14466

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elaine

Railroad Track Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jim Rankin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4153221f84b

Jim Rankin

111 NW 11th

Corvallis, OR 97330

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jim Rankin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Graham
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41531eadf9a

Karen Graham

11600 37th Ave N

Plymouth, MN 55441

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen Graham

Please stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Derek Gendvil
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4152f4d531f

Derek Gendvil

9030 W. Sahara Ave. #360

Las Vegas, NV 89117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Derek Gendvil

Oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lasha Wells
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4152d70eb82

Lasha Wells

6243 3rd Ave S

Saint Petersburg, FL 33707

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lasha Wells

Lasha Wells

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Raymond Keeling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4151ad6b021

Raymond Keeling

762 Panorama

Milford, MI 48381

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Raymond Keeling

Oil Trains / Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Denis Andrews
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41518c06b1e

Denis Andrews

106 Byrd Way

Grand Prairie, TX 75051

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Denis Andrews

Denis Andrews

---

no more rail line capacity through Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Herbert Sayas
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd415157cf732

Herbert Sayas

4618 Laurel St.

N. O., LA 70115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Herbert Sayas

Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Chad Johnson

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4150e8d022e

Chad Johnson

719 E. 19th St.

Long Beach, CA 90806

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chad Johnson

Any oil spill risk is too risky for oil trains on Columbia River!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Darold Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41506ababb6

Darold Smith

4088 CR 3326

Greenville, TX 75402

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

As an internationally recognizes Certified Systems Engineering Professional (CSEP) with over 50 years of experience on many engineering programs, I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Any spill is one too many in this environmentally critical region!

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains

passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose

State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Darold Smith, CSEP, PE

No more oil trains through the Columbia gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Clyde Zaloudek
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4150699efeb

Clyde Zaloudek

431 East 12 Street 1B

New York, NY 10009

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Clyde Duane Zaloudek

Ms. Angie Brewer

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Westphal
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41501d119cf

Heather Westphal

N5771 State Highway 76

Shiocton, WI 54170

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Heather Westphal

Union Pacific's request to expand rail line capacity

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Fasnacht
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41501c72c0c

Sharon Fasnacht

4006 113th Ave SW

Olympia, WA 98512

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Fasnacht

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rebecca McDonough
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414f64c1a93

Rebecca McDonough

PO Box 165

Eastsound, WA 98245

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Rebecca McDonough

Oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Rede
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414e84c9467

John Rede

1065 Winton cir.

Las Cruces, NM 88007

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Rede

John Rede

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Andras Lorincz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414e53adbd5

Andras Lorincz

656 Chaffeeville Rd

Storrs, CT 06268

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dr. Andras Lorincz

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joseph Edge
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414e22bae35

Joseph Edge

14850 SE River Forest Drive

Oak Grove, OR 97267

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should delay the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joseph P Edge

Oil Trains Derailed

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jack Meyer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414ce9fef5b

Jack Meyer

120 North Street W

Detroit Lakes, MN 56501

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jack Meyer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julie Amato
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414cc0e77b0

Julie Amato

2091 San Luis Avenue

Mountain View, CA 94043-2806

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julie Amato

NO MORE OIL TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dorothy Chamberlin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414caa3fee4

Dorothy Chamberlin

2010 Parkview Blvd

Colorado Springs, CO 80905

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dorothy Chamberlin

Union Pacafic Oil Train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hurd Hess
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414a740bf12

Hurd Hess

501 so e

Fairfield, IA 52556

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hurd Hess

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jessica Locicero
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4135ce7e3df

Jessica Locicero

1425 W Romney Ave

Flagstaff, AZ 86001

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

jessica locicero

jessica locicero

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jessica Locicero
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414a08e7fe0

Jessica Locicero

1425 W Romney Ave

Flagstaff, AZ 86001

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

jessica locicero

jessica locicero

Union Pacifics safety record

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas and Janice Boyce

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4149fa0e871

Thomas and Janice Boyce

1853 San Juan Av.

Berkeley, CA 94707

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dr. Thomas and Janice Boyce

Please keep dangerous oil and coal trains out of Washington & Oregon!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joel Carlson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4149f9fbd3e

Joel Carlson

3634 Loren St NE

Lacey, WA 98516

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joel Carlson

No More oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cliff Johnson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414887d82dd

Cliff Johnson

801 Arnold Way

Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cliff Johnson

Please Terminate the Lease for the Tesoro Savage Oil Terminal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bradley Buchanan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4147e03da1a

Bradley Buchanan

236 Rosalie Road

Lopez Island, WA 98261

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brad Buchanan

---

reject oil-by-rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Frey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4146d8f49ec

Andrew Frey

85 N Holliston Ave #12

Pasadena, CA 91106

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrew Frey

No More Oil Trains through the Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Don Thomsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4144ade4a49

Don Thomsen

1106 S Woodfern

Spokane, WA 99202

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Don Thomsen

TRAIN'S POTENTIAL HARM TO ENVIRONMENT

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hillary Tiefer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41447ad0e5b

Hillary Tiefer

5007 SW Canterbury Lane

Portland, OR 97219

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hillary Tiefer

No oil trains thru Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Murphy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414236ba250

John Murphy

1335 Columbia

Hood River, OR 97031

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Murphy

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Lowe

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4142000ea78

James Lowe

21246 Blake Manor Rd

Manor, TX 78653

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Lowe

Oil Train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: William Mitchell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd414080d8b80

William Mitchell

5511 Fernhoff Rd

Oakland, CA 94619

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

William Mitchell

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kaitlin Grammer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd413fbaccc56

Kaitlin Grammer

2104 NE 55th Ave

Portland, OR 97213

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kaitlin Grammer

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Craig Heverly
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd413edc5eb8c

Craig Heverly

3712 SE 9th Avenue

Portland, OR 97202

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Craig B Heverly

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: julie kirsh
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd413c265e3cb

julie kirsh

34 shadowbrook road

shrewsbury, NJ 07702

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julie Kirsh

Oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Chelsea Grubbs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd413c060bfac

Chelsea Grubbs

1212 NE Mason Street

Portland, OR 97211

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Chelsea Grubbs

Chelsea Grubbs

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jillian Sang
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd413acfdc4ac

Jillian Sang

4434 NW 81st Ter

Coral y, FL 33065

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jillian Sang

Stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Art Wagner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd413abbf9792

Art Wagner

200 Oak Dr

Pasadena, MD 21122

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Art Wagner

Art Wagner

Union Pacific ApplicationRailroads

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janice Ehrenhaft
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd413a139bc0f

Janice Ehrenhaft

17525 N Breckenridge Ln

Mount Vernon, IL 62864

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Janice Ehrenhaft

---

safety of railroads along Colunbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susanne Hesse & Doug Dyer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41396fe6ffc

Susanne Hesse & Doug Dyer

29003 nw 182nd Terrace

Alachua, FL 32615

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susanne Hesse & Doug Dyer

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amanda Tenney
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41395098e4a

Amanda Tenney

1033 Willard Ave

Glendale, CA 91201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amanda Tenney

UP Rail Expansion Permit

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gregory Monahan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd4136350926c

Gregory Monahan

7225 SW 13th Avenue

Portland, OR 97219

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gregory Monahan

Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:00:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ira Kriston
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd41346def3e2

Ira Kriston

900 Chicago Ave., #713

Evanston, IL 60202

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ira Kriston

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jill Wyatt

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd38219c6d930

Jill Wyatt

905 Park St

Baker city, OR 97814

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jill wyatt

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Glenn Freeman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381f064f4c0

Glenn Freeman

3942 Courtyard Place SE

Grand Rapids, MI 49546-7633

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Glenn Freeman

For your attention

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Caroline Sévilla
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381f058b095

Caroline Sévilla

4 allée marc chagall

Champs-sur-marne, NY 12345

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Caroline Sévilla

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dave Searles
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381d3b13507

Dave Searles

President, The Ecotopian Society

Brodhead, WI 53520-1760

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dave Searles

No Oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donna Curry
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381d148a0d0

Donna Curry

PO Box 2553

Thompson Falls, MT 59873

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

406827150

Donna Curry

Another potential environmental disaster

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charlotte Sibley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381a13f5779

Charlotte Sibley

115 Hunt Valley Circle

Berwyn, PA 19312

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charlotte Sibley

Union Pacific Railroad proposal to construct a new rail track near Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Dunham
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381a127fa83

Susan Dunham

PO Box 423

Worthington, MA 01098

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely yours,

Susan Dunham

Union Pacific RR's application for new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Colleen McMullen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd3818f5586c0

Colleen McMullen

6074 ANTELOPE TRL

KANAB, UT 84741

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Colleen McMullen

Application for construction of new RR track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carole L Burger

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd3816ad4245d

Carole L Burger

8010 SW Hawthorne Lane

Vashon, WA 98070

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. I am a former Oregon resident.

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

C L Burger

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eric Robson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd3813d400279

Eric Robson

318 Island Dr

Madison, WI 53705

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eric Robson

Please deny Union Pacific's expansion plans through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Dale
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd3813cb32fa7

Heather Dale

26761 SW 45th Dr

Wilsonville, OR 97070

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Heather Dale

Heather Dale

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Espasandin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd38127668289

David Espasandin

11748 Robson Rd.

Grafton, OH 44044

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

David Espasandin

David Espasandin

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: David Ringle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381160a6499

David Ringle

7113 Heather Rd

Macungie, PA 18062

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Ringle

Union Pacific Railroad application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Betty Ramsey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd381116d4077

Betty Ramsey

8217 Cactus Canyon Ct

Las Vegas, NV 89128

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

We need more renewable energy, not more trains carrying oil.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Betty Ramsey

Application for New Rail Track near Mosier Oregon should be denied

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Doering
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380fbd562ad

David Doering

1544 Polk

San Francisco, CA 94109

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Doering

Oil trains throught the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cynthia Liss
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380e3534cf9

Cynthia Liss

901 80th Street

Brooklyn, NY 11228

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cynthia Liss

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Denise Hawkins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380da067753

Denise Hawkins

POB 760541

Lathrup Village, MI 48076

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Denise Hawkins

Re: Application to Construct A New Rail Track Near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andreas Enderlein
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380c881283f

Andreas Enderlein

7328 17th Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andreas Enderlein

NO more oil trains! They threaten our towns and cities, waterways, clean air

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charlene Woodcock
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380c1ac2513

Charlene Woodcock

2355 Virginia Street

Berkeley, CA 94709-1315

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

The Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR is very disturbing. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application. The US government at all levels MUST acknowledge that mining, transport, and burning of fossil fuels is driving climate change. We have an obligation to future generations to take care of the planet they will live on, not trash it!

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charlene Woodcock

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Stetler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380bddbb041

David Stetler

9916 NE 134th Ct

Kirkland, WA 98034

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Stetler

No Oil Trains through the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cristy Murray
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380a1c8ee62

Cristy Murray

17980 S Redland Rd

Oregon City, OR 97045

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Cristy Murray

Cristy Murray

no more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Berry Dilley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380935a7ecc

Berry Dilley

234 East State Street

Athens, OH 45701

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Berry Dilley

Berry Dilley

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: ROB ROWE
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd380868406e1

ROB ROWE

3809 INTERLAKVE AVE N

SEATTLE, WA 98103

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

ROB ROWE

Oil Train Bombs

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dennis Feichtinger
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd38031b2e155

Dennis Feichtinger

2711 Riverside

Trenton, MI 48183

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dennis Feichtinger

No More Oil Trains Through Columbia River Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bill Marvin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd38002d09a8e

Bill Marvin

1111 115th St Ct NW

Gig Harbor, WA 98332

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bill Marvin

No More Oil Trains Through Columbia River Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bill Marvin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd3800d6c4079

Bill Marvin

1111 115th St Ct NW

Gig Harbor, WA 98332

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bill Marvin

New rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carlos leo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd37fe079c4ce

Carlos leo

1040 River Birch Street

Hollywood, FL 33019

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carlos Leo

No more Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Vinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd37fcd37db25

Carol Vinson

154 B Street Apt 3

Ashland, OR 97520

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carol A. Vinson

---

oil trains are deadly

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elke Hoppenbrouwers
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd37fa659aadd

Elke Hoppenbrouwers

152 Allison Way

East Haven, CT 06512

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elke Hoppenbrouwers

Oil transport

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:50:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anke Wildman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd37f9498c62f

Anke Wildman

11702 S Griffin Rd

Prosser, WA 99350

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Anke wildman

Anke Wildman

Bomb Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Debbie Thomas <08shiloh@live.com>

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ed1e77fb58

Debbie Thomas

P.O. Box 2377

Fernley, NV 89408-2377

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Debbie Thomas

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jonathan Boyne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec01ad86e8

Jonathan Boyne

Kakela Dr

Honolulu, HI 96822

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Boyne

Jonathan Boyne

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jonathan Boyne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ed1959d379

Jonathan Boyne

Kakela Dr

Honolulu, HI 96822

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Boyne

Jonathan Boyne

Oil Bomb Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Robert D. Missimer Jr."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ecfa3a6580

Robert D. Missimer Jr.

9 Roberts Rd.

Malvern, PA 19355

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert D. Missimer. Jr.

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Alek Hyra <886426@gmail.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ece81859c8

Alek Hyra

6314 Alberta St

Springfield, VA 22152

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alek Hyra

OIL TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Haroutian
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ece5cfad61

Peter Haroutian

676 Pleasant Street

Worcester, MA 01602

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Peter Haroutian

Deny more railroad tracks in the Columbia River gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bill Holt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ecde24e3cf

Bill Holt

7407 Scenic Brook Dr.

Austin, TX 78736

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill Holt

Bill Holt

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bob Gendron
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ecd72254a9

Bob Gendron

6134 N. Maplewood Avenue

Chicago, IL 60659

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Bob Gendron

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Akankha Perkins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ecbc6aadad

Akankha Perkins

36 Pleasant St

Woodstsock, VT 05091

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

sincerely

Akankha Perkins

NO oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Berklee Robins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ecb0e84529

Berklee Robins

14071 Chatham Court

Lake Oswego, OR 97035

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Berklee Robins, MD

No to new railroad near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alix Keast
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ecaf461cf5

Alix Keast

214 Riverside Drive

New York, NY 10025

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alix Keast

Union Pacific disaster

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anita Cannata Nowell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec970d3d7a

Anita Cannata Nowell

203 South Bridge Street

Jefferson, TX 75657

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Truly,

Anit Cannata Nowell

Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge are NOT a good idea.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christopher Walker

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec92986cea

Christopher Walker

2910 Breckenridge

Benton, AR 72015-2602

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Christopher Walker

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Arkady Vyatchanin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec56ee44d9

Arkady Vyatchanin

501 SW 75TH ST

Gainesville, FL 32607

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Arkady Vyatchanin

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Timothy Beitel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec2c4e8f0c

Timothy Beitel

Villa Avenue

Pitman, NJ 08071

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Timothy Beitel

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Aaron Kogan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec27c01f9c

Aaron Kogan

2690 Akalani Loop

Makawao, HI 96768

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Aaron Kogan

Oil and Coal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bruce Cox
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec17820732

Bruce Cox

20 Bush Dr.

Brevard, NC 28712

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bruce Cox

UPR's application to construct new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vicky Brandt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ec15b56c49

Vicky Brandt

28 W. 10th St. 4R

New York, NY 10011

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

VL Brandt

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:40:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Barry Anderson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2ebe29dc7f2

Barry Anderson

111 W Oregon Ave

Kill Devil Hills, NC 27948

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Barry Anderson

The Union Pacific is a Sorry Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:30:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Saul Adelman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd2591d858957

Saul Adelman

1434 Fairfield Avenue

Charleston, SC 29407

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Saul Adelman

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 14:30:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "R. D. Peterson" <67rdpeterson@gmail.com>

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd258f0a96da2

R. D. Peterson

Magnolia Street

Floral City, FL 34436

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

R. D. Peterson

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 12:33:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Yonit Yogev
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7068d3d1202f

Yonit Yogev

868 Henry Ln

Camano Island, WA 98282

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Yonit Yogev

Oil train on Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 12:33:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Nick Barcott
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7068c2bc4c91

Nick Barcott

1318 N. Lake Stickney Dr.

Lynnwood, WA 98087

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nick Barcott

Oil Trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 12:23:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Brikker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6fd6498cc165

Michelle Brikker

1773 East 12th street

Brooklyn, NY 11229

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Brikker

Union Pacific's Request to Expand Rail Tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 12:23:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Meute
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6fd6461bb6ee

Nancy Meute

3909 W 25th Ct

Panama City, FL 32405

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Meute

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:42:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susie Saalwaechter
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6d8b1799220c

Susie Saalwaechter

3803 155th Ave SE

Bellevue, WA 98006

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susie Saalwaechter

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:42:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Acopine
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6d8af7f5de29

Nancy Acopine

81 Lakeshore Dr

Asheville, NC 28804

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Acopine

No More Dangerous Oil Trains in Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:42:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Matthew Emmer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6d8addd2531c

Matthew Emmer

14459 Benefit Street

Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This means economic harm as well. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would blow onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This non-permitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of Oregonians' park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Matthew Emmer

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:32:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Allen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6cf85c9e6520

Paul Allen

7736 SE Ramona St

Pportland, OR 97206

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Paul Allen

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:32:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6cf85ae6eef4

Thomas Smith

1162 E. Stable Way

Washington, UT 84780

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Thomas Smith

Stand Up to Oil

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:22:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cooper Kairis

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6c66331ba047

Cooper Kairis

3817 SE Brooklyn Street

Portland, OR 97202

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cooper Kairis

Protect the land and river

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:22:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joyce Weir
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6c661ac91063

Joyce Weir

PO Box 973

Newport, WA 99156

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joyce Weir

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 11:22:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Downs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e6c65449aba37

Robert Downs

2428 SE Taylor Street

Portland, OR 97214

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Downs

Oil trains are a danger to us ALL

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 10:32:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bobby Fries
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e69860aeef915

Bobby Fries

Danna Court

Eugene, OR 97405

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Bobby Fries

Bobby Fries

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 10:02:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Melissa Godsey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e67cd5fe91a09

Melissa Godsey

642 NW 11th st #1

Corvallis, OR 97330

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Melissa Godsey

Melissa Godsey

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 09:42:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Liisa Kellems
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e66a83c03227b

Liisa Kellems

11727 2nd Ave NW

Seattle, WA 98177

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Liisa Kellems

---

new rail

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 09:42:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: JL Charrier
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e66a837cd6e07

JL Charrier

1910 Heritage Dr

Wayzata, MN 55391

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe; Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ban oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 09:32:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Always
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e66156a234d91

Patricia Always

10511 W. Kingswood Circle

Sun City, AZ 85351

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Always

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 09:22:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charles Alexander
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e65828433c989

Charles Alexander

Box 4752

Lutherville, MD 21093

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charles Alexander

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 09:12:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bradley Houseworth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e64efd53971c5

Bradley Houseworth

2206 Anderson Cir

Stevensville, MI 49127

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Bradley Houseworth

No More Oil Trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 09:12:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "A.C. McGarry"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e64efa6029608

A.C. McGarry

11510 Beckley Rd Apt 107

Belleville, MI 48111

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

A.C. McGarry

oil trains Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: kathy ging
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a511583fa

kathy ging

pob 11245

eugene, OR 97405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

kathy ging

---

oil trains Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: kathy ging
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78b28fc2367

kathy ging

pob 11245

eugene, OR 97405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

kathy ging

UPR application for new trail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Berggren
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78b1c960e90

Elizabeth Berggren

112 25th Ave E #302

Seattle, WA 98112

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Berggren

Elizabeth Berggren

---

oil train

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nathan Hecht
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78aee5fb0a9

Nathan Hecht

4305 Sourdough Rd

Bozeman, MT 59718

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nathan Hecht

---

oil train

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nathan Hecht
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78b1605dcbe

Nathan Hecht

4305 Sourdough Rd

Bozeman, MT 59718

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nathan Hecht

---

oil train

3 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nathan Hecht
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78b1cac13ba

Nathan Hecht

4305 Sourdough Rd

Bozeman, MT 59718

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nathan Hecht

Please do not add oil tracks next to the river!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Konstantin Ignatyev
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78afcd1eea9

Konstantin Ignatyev

12215 95th Place NE

Kirkland, WA 98034

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Konstantin

Ignatyev

Union Pacific Railroad Application to Construct a New Rail Track Near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eric Lehmann
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78ad07083d4

Eric Lehmann

222 West Ortega Street

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eric Lehmann

Fossil fuel trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carolyn Buhl
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78ac9de511f

Carolyn Buhl

1128 SE 30th Ave

Portland, OR 97214

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carolyn Buhl

Union Pacific proposed track expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Helen Glidden

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78ac9cd73af

Helen Glidden

419 Briar Rd

Bellingham, WA 98225

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Helen Glidden

Helen Glidden

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Sue E. Dean"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78abb53bf26

Sue E. Dean

33945 N. 66th Way

Scottsdale, AZ 85266

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sue E. Dean

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kevin Oldham
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78aa634e562

Kevin Oldham

21 Ormond Pl.

Shirley, NY 11967

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kevin Oldham

Kevin Oldham

Ban All Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "A.J. Averett"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78aa419b88c

A.J. Averett

4585 68th St.

La Mesa, CA 91942

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Along with the local residents who will be directly affected and have the most to lose, I am also very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

A.J. Averett

---

bomb trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Cummings
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a881680d8

James Cummings

1445 Sunrise Dr

Merritt Island, FL 32952

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

James Cummings

James Cummings

Deny permit for increased rail traffic carrying natural gas

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judy Avery
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a84a4d1b2

Judy Avery

24319 Lords Ln

Chattaroy, WA 99003

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge and cities like Spokane, Washington where the trains go by the our hospitals and schools.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judy Avery

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Katie Garton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a77cb3e1d

Katie Garton

430 West 34th Street #8C

New York, NY 10001-2332

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Katie Garton

Rail Line Capacity Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Don Jacobson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a5015b65d

Don Jacobson

2545 SW Terwilliger Blvd Apt 314

Portland, OR 97201-6304

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Don Jacobson

A Better Way

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jackie Stolfi

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a3ce280bc

Jackie Stolfi

267 Harbor Lane North

Massapequa park, NY 11762

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jackie Stolfi

Deny Rail Expansion in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sammy Low
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a32127841

Sammy Low

20420 Marine Dr, Apt P2

Stanwood, WA 98292

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sammy Low

---

rr

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: mary neptune
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a19b724e2

mary neptune

14005 se 38th st

vancouver, WA 98683

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

mary neptune

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:24 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: delia gerhard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78a17b814e2

delia gerhard

117 nw 80

seattle, WA 98117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

sincerley

Delia Gerhard

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:57:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gigantesmike@aol.com
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd74c5c35a32f

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge. I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

•••••••• The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

•••••••• The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

•••••••• The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

•••••••• The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air

quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
•••••••• Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
•••••••• The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
•••••••• Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
•••••••• Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
•••••••• Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.
•••••••• Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

•••••••• A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
•••••••• The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
•••••••• The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

•••••••• The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
•••••••• Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
•••••••• UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
•••••••• The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
•••••••• Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Michael Denton
829 Begonia Dr.
San Leandro, CA 94578-3806

Railroad safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Martin Englander <1martinenglander@gmail.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:

ID: 156dd6f81956fb8f

Martin Englander

3535 E Spruce St

Seattle, WA 98122

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains

passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose

State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Martin Englander

Oil trains along the Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kathryn Burns
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f8182d4835

Kathryn Burns

12610 Riata Trace Pkwy

Austin, TX 78727

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Too many disasters have happened along these shipping lines. Don't increase the chances for more.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kathryn A. Burns

---

transportation

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jennifer prevost
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f7fcee953b

jennifer prevost

1100 patricia

san antonio, TX 78213

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

jennifer michelle prevost

jennifer michelle prevost

Why do Taxpayers have to pay for cleanup !!!! Ban oil transport through our communities

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Hamilton Dutcher3
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f7f657b1e9

Hamilton Dutcher3

1501 Samish way

Bellingham, WA 98229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

STOP ALL DANGEROUS SHIPMENTS !!!!!

am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Hamilton

Hamilton Dutcher3

NO TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: JASON HOLLINGTON
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:

ID: 156dd6f7dc21458e

JASON HOLLINGTON

3444 NW 30TH PL

GAINESVILLE, FL 32605

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains

passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose

State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

JASON HOLLINGTON

Re: Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheryl` Seltzer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f7da7dda8d

Cheryl` Seltzer

333 West End Ave.

New York, NY 10023

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cheryl Seltzer

Please stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dick Larson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f7c3f17d48

Dick Larson

4855 Brown Valley Lane

Colorado Springs, CO 80918-4009

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dick Larson

---

crude by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: GREG MUELLER
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f7ba5a085d

GREG MUELLER

607 West Perry

Westport, WA 98595

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

GREG MUELLER

Union pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amanda Fenick
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f7ac0280a7

Amanda Fenick

469 east lake drive

Largo, FL 33771

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amanda Fenick

Railway terminal plans for Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "mr.g. west"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f797875cf1

mr.g. west

2625 hoyt

everett, WA 98201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

mr.g. west

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dan Hubbard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f780b43c85

Dan Hubbard

49 Ten Rod Road

Rochester, NH 03867

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dan Hubbard

Upholding the Lummi Nation's treaty rights

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patsy Cushing
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f764e711c0

Patsy Cushing

PO Box 2101

West Brattleboro, VT 05301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patsy Cushing

Upholding the Lummi Nation's treaty rights

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patsy Cushing
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f76bab5929

Patsy Cushing

PO Box 2101

West Brattleboro, VT 05301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patsy Cushing

Comment Letter on Tesoro Savage DEIS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: ingeborg glier
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f7622af831

ingeborg glier

2228 Night Parrot Ave

North Las Vegas, NV 89084

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

ingeborg glier

No More Union Pacific Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: M Leszczynski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f751252c92

M Leszczynski

1535 Lincolnshire Dr

Lapeer, MI 48446

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

M Leszczynski

Oil trains in Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Nye <00mnye@gmail.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f73bb4fdb0

Mary Nye

6323 21st Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I'm surprised that you're asking for a new rail track near Mosier, especially so soon after the last accident. We feel relatively lucky that the last time wasn't even more of a disaster.

It seems that oil spills and explosions are not unusual events. People in many parts of North America have suffered serious damages to the environment, and large numbers of deaths to workers and people who happened to be in the wrong place at the wrong time.

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose unacceptable risks to Columbia River and its population. We also face the dangers of plans to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest.

Please turn down these proposals. Bakken oil is particularly explosive and almost impossible to clean up. The train cars and tracks are insufficiently protected against accidents. The record of safety inspections shows that these big industries cannot be trusted. In fact, the records are a disgrace.

We can't afford to pay for fire services these projects would require even if we could trust the industries to take proper precautions.

In addition, we object to the decreased air and water quality, which affects the health of millions of people in the area.We don't want our beautiful scenery to be ruined. We don't want to have our wetlands filled and we don't want our animals and plants to be harmed. We don't want to breathe coal dust. We don't want the negative effects on our Native cultural heritage.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Nye

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kristin Rosenqvist
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us

CC:
ID: 156dd6f73b3527d8

Kristin Rosenqvist

Robb Dr

Reno, NV 89523

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through

some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kristin Rosenqvist

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cecile Lemay
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f6ae45526f

Cecile Lemay

10688 Hollywood Blvd

Los Angeles, CA 90027-6006

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Cecile Lemay

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cecile Lemay
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f739aa3ccf

Cecile Lemay

10688 Hollywood Blvd

Los Angeles, CA 90027-6006

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Cecile Lemay

Stop Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Caleb Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f735e23c31

Caleb Smith

13511 36th Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98125

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Caleb Smith

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge!

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Fisher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f71d6ba1e4

Andrew Fisher

769 Fetters Mill Road

Bryn Athyn, PA 19009

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrew Fisher

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge!

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Fisher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f71f7e71af

Andrew Fisher

769 Fetters Mill Road

Bryn Athyn, PA 19009

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrew Fisher

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Wadsworth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f70809154a

John Wadsworth

9271 SW 3rd Ave

Portland, OR 97219

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Wadsworth

John Wadsworth

Oil Train in Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sylvan Grey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f6fe9a427e

Sylvan Grey

1019 SW 10th Ave

Portland, OR 97205

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sylvan Grey

Oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carrie Walker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f6e382e1cb

Carrie Walker

169 Hague Road

Dummerston, VT 05301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carrie Walker

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: James Strichartz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f6d4961dd0

James Strichartz

201 Queen Anne Avenue N #400

Seattle, WA 98109

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Strichartz

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Greenspan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f6c93970b9

Karen Greenspan

114 Liberty St.

New York, NY 10006

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen Greenspan

Urging your denial of Union Pacific's application near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:51:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julianne Martinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6f6aa51f389

Julianne Martinson

2303 6th St

Everett, WA 98201-1114

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julianne Martinson

Deny Union Pacific's application to extend their raillines near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:49:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julie Martinson
To: Angie Brewer
CC:
ID: 156dd6d4e81e14aa

Dear Ms. Brewer:

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:
Crude oil-by-rail threats:
- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire

suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.
- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.
- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.
- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.
- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.
- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.
- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.
- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.
- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.
- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.
- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.
- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.
- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.
- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely

affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,
Julianne Martinson
2303 6th St
Everett, WA 98201-1114
425-317-9217
jmartinson8@gmail.com

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:20 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Ferraro
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6649f615f25

Mary Ferraro

718 Fulton

Aurora, CO 80010

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe. Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mary Ferraro

Mary Ferraro

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheryl Jacobsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6649f5b9d13

Cheryl Jacobsen

180 CR 1802

Clifton, TX 76634

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cheryl Jacobsen

Oil Trains through the Columbis Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Cox
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66493cfdd92

Susan Cox

321 East 71 Street

New York, NY 10021

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Cox

OIL TRAIN DERAILMENTS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Kane
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6646ec63520

Linda Kane

56 South 75th Place

Mesa, AZ 85208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Linda Kane

OIL TRAINS in the Columbia River Gorge: NO MORE

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John and AJ Jittipsopa-Zinner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6646ddc4636

John and AJ Jittipsopa-Zinner

220-64 Swofford Road

Mossyrock, WA 98564

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John and AJ Jittipsopa-Zinner

John and AJ Jittipsopa-Zinner

Oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kristin Stewart
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd664674f2eb3

Kristin Stewart

3345 quail creek lane ne

Olympia, WA 98516

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kristin Stewart

Columbia gorge oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eric Edwards
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6645b1245c8

Eric Edwards

1373 Prairie Ct.

West Chicago, IL 60185

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Eric Edwards

NO OIL TRAINS THROUGH THE COLUMBIA GORGE

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Prof. Denise J. Tartaglia"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd664034ab86f

Prof. Denise J. Tartaglia

135 Charles St

New York, NY 10014

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Prof. Denise J. Tartaglia

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Keith D'Alessandro
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663f2ed75f4

Keith D'Alessandro

42486 Saratoga

Canton, MI 48187

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Keith D'Alessandro

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Mack
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663e88cacee

Heather Mack

39 Grof Drive

Ephrata, PA 17522

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Heather Mack

Heather Mack

---

no more oil trains.....

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Belinda Dodd

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663db24a654

Belinda Dodd

1055 Horn Lane

Eugene, OR 97404

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Belinda Dodd

Belinda Dodd

No new track near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jenica Faye
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663d5a4c269

Jenica Faye

126 Suncrest Rd

Talent, OR 97540

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jenica Faye

Jenica Faye

Please deny UP's rail expansion proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jason Nolin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663cfac08a9

Jason Nolin

5745 NE Church St

Portland, OR 97218

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jason Nolin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Courtney Childs
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663c206f49e

Courtney Childs

2620 SE Crystal Lake Drive

Corvallis, OR 97333

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Courtney Childs

Oil trains in the gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judith Friend
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663b589cc4e

Judith Friend

6404 SE 23rd Ave.

Portland, OR 97202

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judith Friend

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lindell Haggin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663b378926b

Lindell Haggin

15418 N. Little Spokane Dr.

Spokane, WA 99208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lindell Haggin

Maintaining railroad tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Francine Sutton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663ae513ff1

Francine Sutton

12490 Quivira

Overland Park, KS 66213

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Francine Sutton

NO MORE OIL TRAINS IN THE COLUMBIA RIVER GORGE

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Larry Hunt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6638f112077

Larry Hunt

220-64 Swofford Road

Mossyrock, WA 98564

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I do not recognize your name but it is clear you are not paying attention.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains

passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose

State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry Hunt

Union Pacific Rail Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gary Gilardi
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6638c8ecdb3

Gary Gilardi

1132 8th Street

Hood River, OR 97031

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gary Gilardi

Rolling bombs.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Flint Sheffield
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66384d701fe

Flint Sheffield

1318 E st. # 801

Sacramento, CA 95814

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely yours,

Flint Sheffield

---

oppose rail expansion

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brian Duncan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663727b202e

Brian Duncan

7307 21st Avenue, NW

Seattle, WA 98117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Yes to UPRR improving their assessment and maintenance levels on existing track. Yes to Gov Inslee's proposed

safety improvment recommendations, such as slower speed limits, walking inspections of track, less volatile oil product in the tank cars, avoiding high risk corridors, better emergency response capability, etc. Impoved safety is high priority.

Second, no to increase export of fossil fuels, as this only exacerbates destructive climate change, so that even if transport is safer, I would oppose expansion of transport, particularly for export to China, India, etc., though also for domestic energy use. Instead, yes to renewable energy development, particularly solar and wind. No to nuclear power, which would be most dangerous of all.

You find yourself on front lines, along with native american communities, in slowing the expansion of fossil fuel use. I have your back to insist on increased safety, and lower use of fossil fuels.

Best,

Brian in Seattle, WA

Sincerely,

Brian Duncan

---

oppose rail expansion

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brian Duncan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6637296e50c

Brian Duncan

7307 21st Avenue, NW

Seattle, WA 98117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Yes to UPRR improving their assessment and maintenance levels on existing track. Yes to Gov Inslee's proposed safety improvment recommendations, such as slower speed limits, walking inspections of track, less volatile oil product in the tank cars, avoiding high risk corridors, better emergency response capability, etc. Impoved safety is high priority.

Second, no to increase export of fossil fuels, as this only exacerbates destructive climate change, so that even if transport is safer, I would oppose expansion of transport, particularly for export to China, India, etc., though also for domestic energy use. Instead, yes to renewable energy development, particularly solar and wind. No to nuclear power, which would be most dangerous of all.

You find yourself on front lines, along with native american communities, in slowing the expansion of fossil fuel use. I have your back to insist on increased safety, and lower use of fossil fuels.

Best,

Brian in Seattle, WA

Sincerely,

Brian Duncan

Reduce fossil fuel use, eliminate rather than increase dangerous oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Darise Weller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd6636c2443de

Darise Weller

9259 NW Germantown Rd

Portland, OR 97231-2725

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Darise Weller

Darise Weller

Union Pacific needs to SHOW it can maintain its present tracks BEFORE it is allowed to build more tracks. Bad tracks imperil all of us!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lee Sonne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66365193711

Lee Sonne

POB 668

Reserve, NM 87830

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lee Sonne

Train safety in the Columbia River valley

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joachim Veith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66357a78889

Joachim Veith

12856 64th Ave NE

Kirkland, WA 98034

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

While we do not live close by, we are equally affected since the whole area feels the impact of these trains.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joachim Veith

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Krista Jones
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66353c06d37

Krista Jones

620 S Avenue

Portales, NM 88130

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Krista Jones

No Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeff Reags
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66337567fbb

Jeff Reags

624 Park Ave

Hoboken, NJ 07030

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeff Reagan

Crude oil-by-rail threatens us all

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Chris Casper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66325d22b5a

Chris Casper

1600 Sherman Ave

Stevens Point, WI 54481

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chris Casper

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeff Brown
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66322e436d5

Jeff Brown

1701 Westminster Place

Oklahoma City, OK 73120

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeff Brown

Oil by trains transport

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joanna Katz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66319b70a17

Joanna Katz

1320 Addison A412

Berkeley, CA 94702

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joanna Katz

Please Read

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jen perlaki
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd663187289a2

jen perlaki

180 w 20th st

new york, NY 10011

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

sincerely

Jennifer perlaki

Deny Union Pacific Railroad's Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Enright
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd66314ec4c51

Elizabeth Enright

6222 E. Avalon Dr

Scottsdale, AZ 85251

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Enright

Union Pacific Railroad construction near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ken Windrum
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd662e620182b

Ken Windrum

160 S. Gramercy Pl., #206

Los Angeles, CA 90004-4913

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ken Windrum

No More Oil Trains through the Gorge!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:41:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joe Wiederhold
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd662d298b568

Joe Wiederhold

1405 Edwards St

BELLINGHAM, WA 98229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

As a former 30-year + resident of the Columbia Gorge permitting any oil trains through either side of the Gorge is criminal! I sincerely hope you can deny Union Pacific's request for the permit.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you

Joe Wiederhold

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:37:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Caleb Laieski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC: wcplanning@co.wasco.or.us, dustinn@co.wasco.or.us, dawnb@co.wasco.or.us, wills@co.wasco.or.us
ID: 156dd628d852824b

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

My best,

Caleb Laieski

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Kathy Wright
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d1bb950f3a

Kathy Wright

620 Lighthorse Circle

Aberdeen, NC 28315

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kathy Wright

Kathy Wright

Railroad Track Maintenance Desperately Needed

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Megan Warren
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d1a477a01d

Megan Warren

202 W. Locust St. Apt. #511

Bloomington, IL 61701-2960

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Megan Warren

Union Pacific application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Libby Palmer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d19ced89e1

Libby Palmer

2336 Kuhn Street

Port Townsend, WA 98368

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Libby Palmer

Libby Palmer

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Deb Federin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d17e5f51d0

Deb Federin

1056 Pearl st

La jolla, CA 92037

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Deb Federin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Hall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d17618c2d8

Heather Hall

18802 68th Ave NE Apt J304

Kenmore, WA 98028

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Heather Hall

Heather Hall

Please deny UP application for new track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Emil Gerth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d15f6dbfd0

Emil Gerth

6619 SE Woodstock Blvd.

Portland, OR 97206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Emil Gerth

More oil trains in the George

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jan and Larry Slobin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d15b748717

jan and Larry Slobin

2340 NW Brewer Ln

Portland, OR 97229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

We ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

- The project should be reviewed as new development and should be denied altogether. The Gorge is too precious to endanger by trains whose owner--Union Pacific--has a record of being irresponsible and callous when it impacts the safety of people and the environment. We don't want another "accident". It's time to shut down oil trains in the Gorge!

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jan and Larry

Oil trains are an unacceptable risk to our environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bruce Wade
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d152fdb742

Bruce Wade

17233 15th NE

Shoreline, WA 98155

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Hello,

My reading of the oil train situation reveals that there are few resources to actually prevent a train accident from spilling into a waterway.

As proven in Mosier and Lac Megantic, companies have few choices aside from letting whatever fire simply burn out.

What is available for wrecks is generally limited to repairing the rail alone, nothing is setup to handle hazardous waste or other spills.

Union Pacific and BNSF are asking communities to accept the real risk that they may be injured, permanently contaminated or even killed by one of the many trains passing each year. The community does suffer pollution by diesel exhaust, noise pollution plus frequent interruptions of traffic and ambulances at level crossings.

Lastly, I would assert that none of the rail companies have adequate insurance to make whole any victims of the numerous accidents already happening each year, let alone those that will be injured or killed in the future should the expansion be approved.

That alone should be enough to refuse approving the project.

Thank you

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bruce Wade

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Joyce Leggatt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d129dc94e6

Joyce Leggatt

173 NE Bridgeton Slip 6

Portland, OR 97211

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joyce Leggatt

No more oil trains!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gary Bostwick
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d1192cf89f

Gary Bostwick

4915 Bend DR NE

Lacey, WA 98516-1358

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,Gary Bostwick

Gary Bostwick

Deny Pacific Railroad's Application for New Rail Track Near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lauren Linda
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d111a802ce

Lauren Linda

2376C Via Mariposa West

Laguna Woods, CA 92637

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lauren Linda

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Leslie McClure
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d10684b561

Leslie McClure

13217 1st Ave. NW

Seattle, WA 98177

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Leslie McClure

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dona LaSchiava
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d104a6c4d6

Dona LaSchiava

4511 W. Rockwood Drive

Tucson, AZ 85741

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dona LaSchiava

Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jessica Wardlaw
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d0dc1b782f

Jessica Wardlaw

8263 grange blvd

cottage grove, MN 55130

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jessica Wardlaw

Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jessica Wardlaw
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d0f13c0adb

Jessica Wardlaw

8263 grange blvd

cottage grove, MN 55130

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jessica Wardlaw

No oil trains through Columbia Gorge!

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Emily Sagovac
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d0ece2cb02

Emily Sagovac

14913 Paddock Drive

Wellington, FL 33414

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Emily Sagovac

No oil trains through Columbia Gorge!

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Emily Sagovac

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d0ed478b00

Emily Sagovac

14913 Paddock Drive

Wellington, FL 33414

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Emily Sagovac

---

environmental health

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marguery Lee zucker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d0c5644b63

Marguery Lee zucker

1966 Orchard St.

Eugene, OR 97403

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

m. lee zucker

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bo Svensson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d0ba561650

Bo Svensson

63 Westgate Circle

Santa Rosa, CA 95401

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Leave a legacy that your children and grandchildren can really be proud of you for !! Help them inherit a world that's worth living in !!

Sincerely, Bo Svensson

Sincerely, Bo Svensson

Bo Svensson

Dangerous environmental oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dave Gladstone
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d0b017adca

Dave Gladstone

10 Dewolf Road

Montague, MA 01351

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dave Gladstone

Oil Transport Routes..

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eliot Kaplan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d09be898d9

Eliot Kaplan

2027 23rd Ave. East

Seattle, WA 98112

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eliot Kaplan

---

oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:13 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dana Brush
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d081295824

Dana Brush

1 Broadway

Tacoma, WA 98402

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dana W Brush

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cynthia Watts
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d07e2a3606

Cynthia Watts

150 W 27th Ave

Eugene, OR 97405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cynthia Watts

End Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Grace Burson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d076a4890b

Grace Burson

18B Hartford Lane

Nashua, NH 03063

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Grace Burson

Grace Burson

---

oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: David Ibbotson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d067edd93e

David Ibbotson

5631 SE Knight St

Portland, OR 97206-6023

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Ibbotson

---

oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dacia Murphy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d05b8f02f9

Dacia Murphy

4255 E Pecos Rd

Gilbert, AZ 85295

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dacia Murphy

Oil trains are too unsafe

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jay Russo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d039e38e10

Jay Russo

1262 Duncan Creek

Stevenson, WA 98648

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jay Russo

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: June Heilman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d03208bd30

June Heilman

8930 Buckskin Road

Pocatello, ID 83201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

June Heilman

Oil Train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Berry
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d014b5d336

David Berry

1710 Malcolm Ave

Los Angeles, CA 90024

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Berry

No oil trains through the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anne Hall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5d00e86655d

Anne Hall

1226 Lopez Rd

Lopez Island, WA 98261

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rev. Anne Hall

No coal trains through the Columbia River valley

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:12 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anne Hall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5cfe15c8542

Anne Hall

1226 Lopez Rd

Lopez Island, WA 98261

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rev. Anne Hall

Preventing Catastrophe

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Edwin Holmberg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5cfe0c11976

Edwin Holmberg

70574 Natures Way

RICHMOND, MI 48062

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Edwin H Holmberg

Protect the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joette Storm

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5cfc6e9d376

Joette Storm

63214 Brightwater Dr.

Bend, OR 97701

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

How many transportation accidents will it take before those in power take action to protect the Columbia Gorge? I oppose Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joette Storm

In Concern of Coal Terminals

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Acadia Cutschall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5cf876f25f5

Acadia Cutschall

1131 President St

Brooklyn, NY 11225

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge. If it were only for the sake of Environmentally Sustainable transportation, I would not oppose such a track.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Acadia

No more Crude oil by rail permits, trains or other transport process.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judae M Bost'n
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5cf1ad28894

Judae M Bost'n

4805 Cushman Rd #41

Olympia, WA 98506

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

In addition, the oil being transported by these rails benefits no one but the corporations drilling for the crude, the rails transporting it and the FOREIGN buyers of the oil. It does nothing for the citizens of the US, the State of Oregon, nor the communities it runs through. The risks far out weigh any possible benefits.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judae M Bost'n

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:31:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jaen Lawrence
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd5cf056a45e4

Jaen Lawrence

11703 Taylorcrest Rd

Houston, TX 77024

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jaen Lawrence

Jaen Lawrence

OIL TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julisnn Pinto
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53cace6f37e

Julisnn Pinto

4438 Pennypack Street

Philadelphia, PA 19136

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Juliann Pinto

Juliann Pinto

Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: elizabeth cronin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c9daf3e90

elizabeth cronin

1837 e 17th

brooklyn, NY 11229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

elizabeth cronin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Greg Collins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c999db201

Greg Collins

16850 88th ave

Coopersville, MI 49404

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Greg Collins

Greg Collins

Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Georganne Bendall
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c98d19167

Georganne Bendall

11 Granite Dr

Camden, ME 04843

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Georganne Bendall

No More Oil Trains Through The Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Edwards
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c8eccc60f

David Edwards

291 Limerick Ave

Eugene, OR 97404

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

This being a form letter does not negate the fact that it represents my feelings.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Edwards

Oil train safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Picchioni
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c86ab8560

George Picchioni

1923 Colden Avenue

Bronx, NY 10462

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

George Picchioni

George Picchioni

Oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Melendrez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53be6df8a82

George Melendrez

3781 Granada Dr. #32

Palisade, CO 81526

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you

George Melendrez

Oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: George Melendrez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c7acfe3f2

George Melendrez

3781 Granada Dr. #32

Palisade, CO 81526

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you

George Melendrez

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Larry Weingart
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c7aa56060

Larry Weingart

900 West 190th Street, Apt. 5J

New York, NY 10040-3656

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry Weingart

Stop oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Gwyn Jean
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c7924bcc7

Gwyn Jean

2723 NE 96th Way

Vancouver, WA 98665

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gwyn Jean

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Zimmerman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c61a4ce6f

Linda Zimmerman

1919 Bailey Hill Rd, Apt123

Eugene, OR 97405-1288

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Linda Zimmerman

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Blomberg
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c6108b8f7

Robert Blomberg

706 Hilldale Avenue

Berkeley, CA 94708

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Blomberg

Deny UP's Proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Neil Patel
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c5e9b7d95

Neil Patel

Marine Ave

Gardena, CA 90247

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Neil Patel

No new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laura Herndon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c45c045d6

Laura Herndon

3311 W. Alameda Ave #F

Burbank, CA 91505

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laura Herndon

Union Pacific Railroad's application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anthony Albert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c436f4724

Anthony Albert

285 NW 35th St

Corvallis, OR 97330

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Anthony Albert

Oil Train Bombs

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jerrold Brooks
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c42bb631e

Jerrold Brooks

7573 Delaware Lane

Vancouver, WA 98664

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jerrold

Trains in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Natalie Blasco
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c3cc5097e

Natalie Blasco

19075 River Crest Dr

Anderson, CA 96007

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Natalie

Oil trains dont belong in the columbia gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: matthew davila
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c392a7acc

matthew davila

1716 falmouth way

Modesto, CA 95355

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Matthew davila

Matthew K. Davila

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laurie Fisher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c35ed65aa

Laurie Fisher

10414 SW Bonanza Way

Portland, OR 97224

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laurie Fisher

Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: anthony montapert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c3272728e

anthony montapert

1375 ficus way

ventura, CA 93004

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

anthony Montapert

anthony Montapert

rail lines

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Gold
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c2ae2e16a

Carol Gold

2901a Sir Francis Drake Blvd.

Fairfax,, CA 94930

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carol Gold

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brenda Troup

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c2a895f8c

Brenda Troup

21 Meadow Road

Bolton, MA 01740

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Brenda Troup

Brenda Troup

Safe oil transport

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Johnson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c25d6b641

Richard Johnson

2080 West 25th Avenue

Eugene, OR 97405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard Johnson

Deny shipment of crude oil by train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joel Trupin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c25cd2478

Joel Trupin

143 School Street

Marshfield, VT 05658

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joel Trupin PhD

No rails through columbia river area!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: kristina lamons
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c1b06f0c6

kristina lamons

1014 w 16th st

houston, TX 77008

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kristina Lamons

No more oil or coal trains --- anywhere! ever!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jay Atkinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c1af87d8e

Jay Atkinson

63 Orion Court

El Sobrante, CA 94803

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jay Atkinson

Jay Atkinson

---

permitting more rail tracks for transporting oil/other possibly toxic fuels/chemicals, etc.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cynthia Alden
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c0e82d256

Cynthia Alden

400 West Fryeburg Rd.

Fryeburg, ME 04037

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cynthia J. Alden

Bomb Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 15:21:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Emil Scheller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd53c0952a8f2

Emil Scheller

1530 Palisade Ave.

Fort Lee, NJ 07024

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Emil Scheller

The continued use of archaic fossil fuels needs to end.

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 17:53:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lorree Gardner Milne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e82c0055b38ff

Lorree Gardner Milne

9806 Dempsey Ln SW

Olympia, WA 98512

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lorree Gardener Milne

Obsolete "technology"

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 16:53:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: "John (William Albert Esquire) Richards"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7f4fd108bd29

John (William Albert Esquire) Richards

6561 Phinney north

Seattle, WA 98103-5256

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John "boy" Richards

No oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 16:43:26 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Dodson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7ebd34fa0523

Linda Dodson

615 Boren Ave #5

Seattle, WA 98104

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda Dodson

Linda Dodson

Oil trains in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 16:33:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Justin Roberts
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7e2a60767713

Justin Roberts

104 24th Ave S

Seattle, WA 98144

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Justin M Roberts

Justin Roberts

Oil transportation is dangerous

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 16:33:25 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Denise Lytle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7e2a5374006c

Denise Lytle

11 Wisteria Dr.

Fords, NJ 08863

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Denise Lytle

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 15:53:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gene Ulmer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7bdfa40e77e2

Gene Ulmer

1408 E 5935 S

Salt Lake Cty, UT 84121-1871

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gene Ulmer

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 15:33:19 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Francine Hodovan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7aba451b0fff

Francine Hodovan

9 Kent Court

Ashford, CT 06278

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Francine Hodovan

Francine Hodovan

---

coal trains are dangerous

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 15:23:18 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tracy Ouellette
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7a275a03ae52

Tracy Ouellette

14078 MacTaggart Ave

Bow, WA 98232

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tracy Ouellette

Union Pacific expantion

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 14:33:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane West
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e77493bd4a07e

Diane West

7 Valerie Dr.

Plainville, MA 02762

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Diane West

Diane West

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 14:13:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joan Walker

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e76239347d0a0

Joan Walker

2815 Sierra vista way

Bishop, CA 93514

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joan walker

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 14:03:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donald Munn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7590d48febb4

Donald Munn

4313 125TH PL SE

Everett, WA 98208

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donald Munn

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 14:03:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Aaron Fuller
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7590ab55ca13

Aaron Fuller

6507 Hill Creek Drive

San Antonio, TX 78256

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Aaron Fuller

Aaron Fuller

Please stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 13:43:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gina Pantier
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e746b73e554ae

Gina Pantier

37632 26th Dr S

Federal Way, WA 98003

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Gina Pantier

Gina Pantier

No more Gorge Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 13:33:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: C Bradley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e73d8fa7762bf

C Bradley

1383 Tucker Rd

Hood River, OR 97031

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you

C Bradley

Union Pacific Mosier Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 13:33:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janet Marx
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e73d8f52c48eb

Janet Marx

112 Lockerbie Pl

Port Angeles, WA 98362

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I live in Washington State but have family in Hood River and greatly appreciate the unparalleled beauty of the Columbia River Gorge. For these reasons I am opposed to your approving Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier. Approval would result in an increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Increased traffic, length and speed of trains are a safety issue. Oil trains are not safe and Union Pacific has a poor safety record with higher than the industry average of accidents caused by inadequate maintenance. Will Union Pacific be required to post a significant bond or otherwise pay for additional fire facilities, emergency services and evacuation costs in order to safeguard my family's safety?

County ordinance designed to protect the scenic value of the Gorge National Scenic Area cannot be disregarded. Air quality would be further compromised from diesel emissions and coal dust. Scenic resources would be negatively impacted by increased noise, diminished attraction of scenic overlooks, loss of wetlands and loss of plant and animal habitat. All of these would reduce the tourist and property values of the area.

Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion. Due to its topography and its narrow situation along a major river the Columbia River Gorge is a poor location for expanding rail traffic.

Finally, we as a nation have made a commitment to significantly decrease our use of fossil fuels. It is time for all communities, no matter the size, to respond to the climate change challenge and not support the use of fossil fuels.

Thank you this opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Janet Marx

Proposed New Rail track near Mosier OR

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 13:23:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sallie Chaney
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e7346252dabff

Sallie Chaney

5747 NE Ambleside Rd

Seattle, WA 98105

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sallie Chaney

Crude oil-by-rail

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 13:23:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen Curry
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e734623f6f4f5

Stephen Curry

5039 Brenner Rd NW

Olympia, WA 98502

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephen Curry

Union Pacific - Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 13:13:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cassandra Browning
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e72b38f42882f

Cassandra Browning

2170 Raynor

Salem, OR 97302

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cassandra Browning

STOP moving oil trains on damaged tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 12:53:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Veronica Moore
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e718e4bf852af

Veronica Moore

2295 Tuscany Trace # 210

Palm Harbor, FL 34683

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Veronica Moore

Haven't we destroyed enough of the earth

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 12:43:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Martin Sheehan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e70fbb997b088

Martin Sheehan

8817 n.atlantic ave#87

cape Canaveral, FL 32920

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Martin Sheehan

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda McKillip
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb009d2a3b63

Linda McKillip

5 Farmhouse Rd

Erial, NJ 08081

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Linda McKillip

Ban Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Bradley Richardson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb009aada971

Bradley Richardson

1212 Cavan St

Boulder, CO 80303

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bradley Richardson

Revise Coal Export DEIS to Protect Health, Safety, & Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom Wardell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0099961950

Tom Wardell

236 federal st

philadelphia, PA 19147

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tom Wardell

---

railroad track construction

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: alice christy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0082bff38c

alice christy

13025 sw 95 ave

miami, FL 33176

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

alice christy

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Nadreau
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0078ad6d81

Patricia Nadreau

24191 Dial Ave.

Tomah, WI 54660

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Nadreau

Death Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Teresa Sullivan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0077a6d2f1

Teresa Sullivan

3586 Tacoma Ave

Los Angeles, CA 90065-1727

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Yours truly,

Teresa Sullivan

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Searle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb006ce5369a

Michael Searle

7322 Quill Drive #140

Downey, CA 90242

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Searle

Enough is ENOUGH

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Virginia Drake Cocayne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0068ebeab6

Virginia Drake Cocayne

2518 Walnut Rd. N.W.

Olympia, WA 98502-4110

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Virginia and Jeff Cocayne

RR tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paula Cooley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb006682b8d8

Paula Cooley

2860 N. Interlaken Dr

Oconomowoc, WI 53066

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Paula Cooley

Paula Cooley

Stop the oil trains.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steven Christian

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0050183df6

Steven Christian

1300 East Main Street #209

Hillsboro, OR 97123

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steven Christian

No more oil trains through the Colombia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Noah Hanmer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00463f407d

Noah Hanmer

130 Sunrise Drive

Bristol, RI 02809

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Noah Hanmer

No oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jere Hansen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb003eb8b92d

Jere Hansen

2455 Orovada St.

Sparks, NV 89431

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,Jere

Jere Hansen

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Marsha Jarvis
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00287eb451

Marsha Jarvis

512 Kenmare Ct

Pinole, CA 94564

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marsha Jarvis

No to oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Ku
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00267911d2

Michelle Ku

212 S Olive St

Denver, CO 80230

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Michelle Ku

Michelle Ku

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tyra Pellerin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb000a3ab964

Tyra Pellerin

5421 Lafaye St.

New Orleans, LA 70122

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Tyra Pellerin

Tyra Pellerin

Environmental and Safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Marble
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0008b8eb7a

Linda Marble

517 Sargent St

San Francisco, CA 94132

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Linda Marble

Union Pacific application to increase rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lee Schmidt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0008a85d83

Lee Schmidt

1926 SW Vermont St.

Portland, OR 97219

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lee Schmidt

New Train Tracks near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Pam Fletcher

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0000250ab0

Pam Fletcher

4880 Whiteaker St.

Eugene, OR 97405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Pam Fletcher

Oil Companies cause more damage than terrorists

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Veits
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddafff4d77da4

Peter Veits

641 E Burnsville Pkwy

Burnsville, MN 55337

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Peter Veits

Peter Veits

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:53 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharyn Dreyer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddaffe0224f49

Sharyn Dreyer

1150 Vine St.

Denver, CO 80206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharyn Dreyer

New rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maureen Walsh
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddaffba824034

Maureen Walsh

300 Calle Miramar

Redondo Beach, CA 90277

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maureen Walsh

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Mike Jones
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddaffb7b04d2c

Mike Jones

23332 Hartland St

West Hills, CA 91307

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mike Jones

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Felicia Williams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddaffa2524410

Felicia Williams

4201 Mass. Ave. NW

Washington, DC 20016

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Felicia Williams

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Abe Martin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6c117c0fc0

Abe Martin

pob 3190

Taos, NM 87571

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Abe Martin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Abe Martin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddaffa1f52d3c

Abe Martin

pob 3190

Taos, NM 87571

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Abe Martin

---

railroad traffic

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:48 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellen Kent
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6c9562c0d1

Ellen Kent

107 Hill Valley Dr.

Winchester, VA 22602

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ellen Kent

Ellen Kent

---

railroad traffic

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellen Kent
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6d4e441b0a

Ellen Kent

107 Hill Valley Dr.

Winchester, VA 22602

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ellen Kent

Ellen Kent

Railroad Safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "G. D."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6d4957aadd

G. D.

5502 Houghton St.

Philadelphia, PA 19128

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

G. D.

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cathy Spalding
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6d3a61b271

Cathy Spalding

5725 - 78th Ave. N.E.

Olympia, WA 98516

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Cathy Spalding

Cathy Spalding

Columbia Gorge oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellis Woodward

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6d3620e439

Ellis Woodward

3422 Seneca St

Baltimore, MD 21211

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ellis Woodward

Stop oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nolen Scott
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6d24e9efb2

Nolen Scott

226 E 11th St Apt 1

Port Angeles, WA 98362

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nolen Scott

Oil Trains in Washington State

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: CJ Joyce
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6d24bebc56

CJ Joyce

111 E 27th St

Vancouver, WA 98663

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

CJ Joyce

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:51:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Waldorf
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dda6d23070063

Elizabeth Waldorf

3840 NW Lincoln Ave.

Corvallis, OR 97330

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Waldorf

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra Holt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9b3926e81

Sandra Holt

1132 Castle Wood Terrace

Casselberry, FL 32707-3682

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Holt

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: M McGillivary
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9afbb0128

M McGillivary

2484 Benson Ln

Eugene, OR 97408

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

M McGillivary

M McGillivary

Oil Train Dangers

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Pat Blackwell-Marchant
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9a77c9887

Pat Blackwell-Marchant

5737 Medallion Court

Castro Valley, CA 94552-1708

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Pat Blackwell-Marchant

Rail line

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra Stock
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9a6b1e97b

Sandra Stock

8149 E. 4th Pl

Tucson, AZ 85710

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Stock

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Helene Rosen

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9a605ccea

Helene Rosen

92 Grandview Dr.

Ivyland, PA 18974

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Helene Rosen

Trains near Columbia River

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Fortunak
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9a4cadd5e

Sharon Fortunak

7120 Ivystone Ave So

Cottage Grove, MN 55016-1909

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Fortunak

Oil train derailment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Beringer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9a4719a65

Mark Beringer

225 238th St SW

Bothell, WA 98021-8660

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Mark Beringer

Concern because of Union Pacific application to construct a new rail traci near Mosier OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kathleen Lyons
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9a033565c

Kathleen Lyons

13000 Auburn Rd.

Chardon, OH 44024

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Kathleen Lyons

Mosier OR Train Track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Desiree Nagyfy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d98fc069fd

Desiree Nagyfy

1120 E Westmoreland Rd

Deer Park, WA 99006

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Desiree Nagyfy

Trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Frances Rove
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d980540157

Frances Rove

8615 Reinhardt Lane

Leawood, KS 66206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Frances Rove

Risk to the Environment!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Ernie Williams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9785245d4

Ernie Williams

5083 Taylor Ave NE

Bainbridge Is, WA 98110

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ernie L. Williams

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donna Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9761d7da1

Donna Smith

1367 Harrington Road

Havertown, PA 19083

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donna Smith

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bob Stallard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d96f691599

Bob Stallard

14629 Charter Oak Blvd.

Salinas, CA 93907

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank You,

Bob Stallard

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janet Robinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d96d7a7fbe

Janet Robinson

6391 Toulon Dr.

Boca Raton, FL 33433

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Janet Robinson

Janet Robinson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom Lankering
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d96cb45008

Tom Lankering

PO Box 3138

Basalt, CO 81621

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Tom Lankering

---

no more oil trains please

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ric Bernat
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9627ce522

Ric Bernat

2207 NE 18th Ave.

Portland, OR 97212

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ric Bernat

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Amanda Sue Rudisill
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d95f5283e9

Amanda Sue Rudisill

P.O. Box 13196

Olympia, WA 98508

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Amanda Sue Rudisill

Expanding rail line capacity through Mosier by building four miles of mainline track.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Thomas Libbey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d956489b31

Thomas Libbey

PMB 1027 1122 E Pike St

Seattle, WA 98122-3916

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Thomas Libbey

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dana Linder

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d94d1fa5b1

Dana Linder

261 Summer St

Woonsocket, RI 02895

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dana Linder

No More Oil Trains Through The Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Yovonne Autrey-Schell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d94cf3f4a1

Yovonne Autrey-Schell

360 Duck Lake Dr NE

Ocean Shores, WA 98569

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR, is very concerning to me. Anyone who has paid any attention whatsoever to news events in North America knows that Bakken crude oil is dangerously explosive and is a hazard not only to the environment, but also that trains transporting it are dangerous to any inhabitants of the areas through which the trains travel. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

With great concern,

Ms Yovonne Autrey-Schell

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Minda Thorward
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d93f6c5a40

Minda Thorward

5447 25th Ave S

Seattle, WA 98108

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Minda A. Thorward

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joan Lang
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d93e343195

Joan Lang

3430 Rocky River Dr

Cleveland, OH 44111

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joan Lang

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Line Ringgaard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d92060cb86

Line Ringgaard

Willow St

Rising Sun, IN 47040

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Line Ringgaard

Line Ringgaard

Please Strip Section 1094 from HR 4909, the NDAA

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sondra Birkenes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d9014304bf

Sondra Birkenes

21 Camino Manzano

Placitas, NM 87043

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sondra Birkenes

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lynda Barry
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d900d35583

Lynda Barry

493 Pio Drive

Wailuku, HI 96793-2641

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lynda Barry

UP's proposal

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Rauworth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8fe74df03

Steve Rauworth

532 SE 15th Ave.

Portland, OR 97214

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Not only is this proposal a bad idea, it would be a good idea to require improved safety measures, including restrictions on loads and frequency of existing trains. It's more than clear that Union Pacific acts in bad faith, and upping the ante now, after the near disaster in Mosier, is an example of that, turning any misguided response of intended reasonability on the the public's (government) part into further exploitation. A company that cares about profit far more than people and the environment should not be rewarded, it should be severely sanctioned or shut down.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Rauworth

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Heather Cross
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8f9b0e15c

Heather Cross

259 Kingsland Ave

Brooklyn, NY 11222

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Heather

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: larry whisenhunt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8efbfa3fc

larry whisenhunt

930 bruce

berthoud, CO 80513-1100

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

larry whisenhunt

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Ellingham
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8d53bc09c

Nancy Ellingham

9106 Fortuna Drive, Apt. 4201

Mercer Island, WA 98040

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Nancy Ellingham

Nancy Ellingham

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: henry sanchez
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8c783566a

henry sanchez

963 oso rd

ojai, CA 93023

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

henry sanchez

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Michael Halloran
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8becf6f92

Michael Halloran

2062 Scotsman Ln NE

Salem, OR 97305

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Michael Halloran

Michael Halloran

---

oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lillian Nordin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8baf1db9d

Lillian Nordin

n8851 Wm. Severson Rd.

Holmen, WI 54636

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lillilan Nordin

Deny rail expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Virginia Bock
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8b46c1f49

Virginia Bock

306 May Street

Hood River, OR 97031

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Virginia L. Bock

We do not need to endanger our communities by transporting dangerous fossil fuels through the Gorge. There should never be a chance that the Columbia River Gorge could suffer a fossil fuel catastrophe.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joyce Sherman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8aa44acec

Joyce Sherman

15938 NE Fremont

Portland, OR 97230

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Joyce Sherman

Joyce Sherman

Potential Disasters

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sara Hart
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8a5272662

Sara Hart

P. O. Box 814

North Myrtle Beach, SC 29597

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sara Hart

Oil Trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jessica Cresseveur
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d89a6efdc9

Jessica Cresseveur

2834 Charlestown Rd

New Albany, IN 47150

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jessica Cresseveur

Union Pacific railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elana Levinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d893dfc00b

Elana Levinson

70-25 Yellowstone Blvd apt 14k

Forest Hills, NY 11375

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elana Levinson

New Rail Track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Brad Jolly
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d87f0ca966

Brad Jolly

15355 Gadsden Dr.

Brighton, CO 80603

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Brad Jolly

Stop the Bomb Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: George Levesque
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d87b305cd9

George Levesque

29 Pratt Ave

Lowell, MA 01851

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

George Levesque

Danger to life and limb

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: jerry hickman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d855794d45

jerry hickman

430 N 4th st

Cheney, WA 99004

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jerry Hickman

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kurt Huber
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d8541e2b73

Kurt Huber

101 EAST 105TH STREET CIR.

BLOOMINGTON, MN 55420-5309

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kurt Huber

No oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: W Kent Wilson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d83aae32d9

W Kent Wilson

335 NW Lost Springs Terrace, #202

Portland, OR 97229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

W Kent Wilson

Protect the Columbia

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:41:42 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Tedd Ward Jr."
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9d83435beea

Tedd Ward Jr.

10520 Ogden St.

Petersburg, IL 62675

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Tedd Ward Jr.

Tedd Ward Jr.

No more oil trains thru the Columbia Basin

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy Kilgore
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945dff31db5

Nancy Kilgore

510 Capitol Way N

Olympia, WA 98501

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy Kilgore

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Erin Stuart-Jennings
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945d89babcc

Erin Stuart-Jennings

26 Edinburgh Street

San Francisco, CA 94112

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Erin Stuart-Jennings

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: tim fleischer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945d49a169d

tim fleischer

416 creel ave

Louisville, KY 40208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

tim fleischer

New rail track near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charles O'Clair

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945d044e189

Charles O'Clair

1290 Three Meadows Lane

Friday Harbor, WA 98250

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charles E. O'Clair PhD.

No more oil trains in OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:41 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gail Bain
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945c196d48b

Gail Bain

500 106th Ave NE Unit 3603

Bellevue, WA 98004

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gail Bain

NO MORE OIL TRAINS!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Luck
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945bd9d86e2

Diane Luck

3204 NE 27th Avenue

Portland, OR 97212

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Union Pacific Railroad should NOT construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Please deny this application. Oil trains are perpetuating dirty coal energy and they are dangerous and a threat to people and the environment.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Luck

Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Strelke
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945b570de40

Robert Strelke

7 Douglas Dr.

N. Easton, MA 02356

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert strelke

Robert strelke

PLEASE Stop running oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: BrendaLee Lennick
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945aa97fb16

BrendaLee Lennick

420 E Park Ave

Tallahassee, FL 32301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

BrendaLee Lennick

BrendaLee Lennick

Stop the oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maria Millar
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd944d7f2fd6a

Maria Millar

668 Riverside Drive #5a

New York, NY 10031

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maria

Stop the oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maria Millar
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945a9added6

Maria Millar

668 Riverside Drive #5a

New York, NY 10031

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maria

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: meg kettell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945a930dac0

meg kettell

114 india street

brooklyn, NY 11222

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

meg kettell

Oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Bordenave
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945a6f3611d

Michael Bordenave

951 n adoline

Fresno, CA 93728

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Bordenave

Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Krupkin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945a654f9a5

Michelle Krupkin

4125 Inglewood Blvd.

Los Angeles, CA 90066

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Krupkin

NO OIL TRAINS THROUGH COLUMBIA GORGE

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: WILLIAM DAVISON
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945a5ea76c9

WILLIAM DAVISON

923 112TH ST SW

EVERETT, WA 98204-7866

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

WILLIAM DAVISON

Please deny Union Pacific's Mosier OR track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Hicklin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9459920cf9f

Mary Hicklin

CMB

San Diego, CA 92117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Hicklin

Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Neil Quarles

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945963aab9a

Neil Quarles

407 e 30th st

Austin, TX 78705

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Neil Quarles

Requesting your denial of the Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dee Packard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd94595745699

Dee Packard

1207 SE 72nd Ave

Portland, OR 97215

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

My short request is this: I ask you to deny this application. Fossil fuels extraction and transportation is a dying activity. Archaic for our times. Too dangerous and too expensive for our planet and its children. Please look ahead for even a single generation to see their demise. Why not get started right now in opening the way of the future which must be sustainable?

If this is not persuasive please read the rest of my letter for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Dee Packard

Dee Packard

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paul Drummond
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9458f2a9825

Paul Drummond

3520 Iroquois Plaza

Lake Havasu City, AZ 86404

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Paul Drummond

Union Pacific and Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Warren Land
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9458a97a1fb

Warren Land

3600 De Loach St

Pensacola, FL 32514

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Warren Land

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gaston Nichols
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd94586524c7a

Gaston Nichols

2008 Halidom Way

Sun City Center, FL 33573

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gaston Nichols

---

oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Reed
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9457a16965d

Robert Reed

239 Lower Cliff Dr 9A

Laguna Beach, CA 92651

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert Reed

Robert Reed

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Linda Mulder
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd94578d9b7e6

Linda Mulder

21641 Kilrush Drive

Northville, MI 48167-2838

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Linda M. Mulder

Deny Bomb Trains in Columbia River Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Betsy A Leonard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd94566e81964

Betsy A Leonard

71 River View Place

Parachute, CO 81635

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Betsy A Leonard

Deny Bomb Trains in Columbia River Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Betsy A Leonard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9457148c33e

Betsy A Leonard

71 River View Place

Parachute, CO 81635

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Betsy A Leonard

Protecting Northwest from crude oil disasters

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kathleen Canavan

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd94570149122

Kathleen Canavan

2363 Hooper Ave

Brick, NJ 08723

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kathleen canavan

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: marilyn redwine
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9456e1bc581

marilyn redwine

4234 NE 70th Ave

portland, OR 97218

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Marilyn Redwine

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Chuck Graver
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9455e13d188

Chuck Graver

32 Cotherstone Dr.

Southampton, NJ 08088-1002

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chuck Graver

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joan Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd94556bebfd9

Joan Smith

765F Portola Street

San Francisco, CA 94129-2219

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joan Smith

Oil trains No More thru the gorge no coal trains either. IT is absurd and criminal.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ann Watters
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9454fb267ac

Ann Watters

1940 Breyman NE

Salem, OR 97301

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ann Watters

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Peggy Loe
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9454ce22e4f

Peggy Loe

13516 Tufts Court

Magalia, CA 95954

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Peggy Loe

Discontinue Oil Train Use

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jennifer Gindt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9454af9d8b4

Jennifer Gindt

4138 S. Lloyd RD

Spokane, WA 99223

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should delay the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Gindt

Jennifer Gindt

No more oil trains thru Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hugh Cochran
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945444a39db

Hugh Cochran

355

Eugene, OR 97404

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hugh Cochran

---

no oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom Simonian
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9453884125f

Tom Simonian

114 porter st.

san francisco, CA 94110

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, ts

thomas simonian

New rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:39 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lorraine Hartmann
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd94525e9423f

Lorraine Hartmann

10627 Durland NE

Seattle, WA 98125

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution in the

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lorraine Hartmann

---

oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gill Fahrenwald
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945140d8576

Gill Fahrenwald

PO Box 2323

Olympia, WA 98506

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gill Fahrenwald

---

oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gill Fahrenwald
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd9451c591c04

Gill Fahrenwald

PO Box 2323

Olympia, WA 98506

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gill Fahrenwald

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Hathaway
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd945092ff201

Susan Hathaway

5107 Passons Boulevard #313

Pico Rivera, CA 90660

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am extremely concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, Oregon. The new track and the resulting increase in number, lengt,h and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is true particularly in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest, as this would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

The project area has a very high probability of contaminating Native American cultural resource sites that will be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done. We, the people, are very tired of having "our" government prioritize corporate profits over human and environmental health and safety.

Thank you this opportunity to comment, and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Hathaway

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Debora Sayre
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd944fbd98236

Debora Sayre

38132 Cambridge court

Fremont, CA 94536

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Debora Sayre

Stop shipping oil through this scenic area

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:38 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kelly Allison

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd944e40b744a

Kelly Allison

PO Box 1673

Berlin, MD 21811

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kelly Allison

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:31:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Eileen Gottschalk
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd944d6c20ad2

Eileen Gottschalk

3305 Walnut Pl NW

Salem, OR 97304

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first a[illegible]s that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Eileen and Peter Gottschalk

---

coal trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ann Eppler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b2ae1af525

Ann Eppler

4930 South 73rd

Lincoln, NE 68516

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ann Eppler

Unsafe tracks with OIL trains running on them.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patsy Lowe
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b2a900ade8

Patsy Lowe

18505 Baldwin Rd

Bothell, WA 98012

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Patsy Lowe

Patsy Lowe

Please No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge...

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lisa Annecone
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b29966b2d5

Lisa Annecone

551 Torrey Pine Lane

Santa Rosa, CA 95407-5499

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lisa Annecone

Oil Train Protest

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Powell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b28b568144

Andrew Powell

3826 Bethel Road

Boothwyn, PA 19061

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrew Powell

Andrew Powell

No Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Arlene Golladay
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b28568c9e7

Arlene Golladay

1225 Bay Lp SW

Olympia, WA 98512

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Arlene Golladay

Oil by Train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James R Monroe
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b282d66bb9

James R Monroe

5521 Michigan Blvd

Concord, CA 94521

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James R Monroe

No Union Pacific rail expansion for oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Fred Ingram

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b2780ab013

Fred Ingram

4822 se 47th

portland, OR 97206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Fred Ingram

Dangerous Transports

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lydia Romero
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b277b96c1b

Lydia Romero

843 8th Avenue

Longview, WA 98632

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lydia Romero

No more Coal or Oil trains along the Colombia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:36 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jay Roelof
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b276c37c9d

Jay Roelof

1142 NE Lakewood

Lincoln City, OR 97367

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jay Roelof

No more crude oil trains through the Columbia River Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 08:44:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Frank Rouse
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb5bc64e66a58

Frank Rouse

21710 S Green Mountain Rd

Colton, OR 97017

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Frank Rouse

No more oil trains through Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 08:44:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Julia Hurd
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb5bc59d8d317

Julia Hurd

19396 Ashe Lane

Burlington, WA 98233

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julia Hurd

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 07:54:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sheliah Roth
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb2de9b041859

Sheliah Roth

3915 Robe Menzel Road

Granite Falls, WA 98252

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should ensure the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sheliah Roth

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 06:14:22 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jacquelynn Maruffo
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ead23e7811b03

Jacquelynn Maruffo

1854 Feather Tree Cir.

Clearwater, FL 33765

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jacquelynn Maruffo

No Oil Trains Through Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 06:04:21 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peg LeClair
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eac916f2f1405

Peg LeClair

10 Davis Street

Lee, MA 01238

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Peg LeClair

Peg LeClair

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 04:54:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nora Sotomayor
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ea88f24c122c9

Nora Sotomayor

19 Clough Rd.

Dedham, MA 02026

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nora Sotomayor

Dangerous trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 02:24:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jay Rozner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e9ff793cbb28f

Jay Rozner

74 Ventnor D

Deerfield Beach, FL 33442-2445

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jay Rozner

Jay Rozner

Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 02:14:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Chandler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e9f64d151df23

Susan Chandler

3008 N 25th St

Fort Pierce, FL 34946

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.

The project area has a high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that would be adversely affected. This is completely unacceptable.

I ask you to deny this application for this reason, as well as the following:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe. Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

While you may be open to yet more exploding trains, I am not.

By any and all reasonable assessment, fossil fuels have no place in the 21st century. Those in government positions who cannot lead us to exclusive use of renewable energy should resign and get out of the way.

Thank you this opportunity to comment; I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Chandler

Transporting crude oil by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 23:53:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bhavani Lorraine Nelson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e975fd674db15

Bhavani Lorraine Nelson

211 Housatonic Street

Lenox, MA 01240-2546

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bhavani Lorraine Nelson

Oil trains in Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 22:23:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dorlan Bales
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e9237cbc1af39

Dorlan Bales

818 W 33rd St. N

Wichita, KS 67204

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dorlan Bales

No More Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 21:53:50 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kara Hafkey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e907ff62644ff

Kara Hafkey

6724 35th Pl S

SEATTLE, WA 98118

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kara Hafkey

Kara Hafkey

Carbon through the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 21:23:49 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: william whitaker

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8ec835b1f809

william whitaker

1108 G Ave.

La Grande, OR 97850

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

William Whitaker

William Whitaker

I oppose UP double tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 20:53:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diana Boom
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8d103110023e

Diana Boom

PO box 328

Lake Oswego, OR 97034-0328

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Darryl & Diana Boom

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 20:43:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: bronwen evans
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8c7d936ff723

bronwen evans

130e15thave

vancouver, WA 98101

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bronwen Evans

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 20:43:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrea Higgins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8c7d8f0ac118

Andrea Higgins

24212 4th PL W

Bothell, WA 98021

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Andrea Higgins

Oil and Coal Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 20:23:44 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Todd Davison
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8b58171b3ef1

Todd Davison

6633 Kirsop Road SW A

Olympia, WA 98512

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

P.S. I would support a rail expansion that was a part of integrated electrified mass transit for people and general goods serving the communities up and down this rail route, but not for moving fossil fuels. Please look up - Google - Solutionary Rail for a real communitarian alternative decentralized rail transport solution for the future.

Sincerely,

Todd A. Davison

Keep the Gorge Healthy

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 20:13:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Keith Krupinski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8ac5a7ecac2f

Keith Krupinski

9710 N Kenwood

Kansas City, MO 64155

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Thank you.

Keith Krupinski

New railroad near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 20:13:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Potocnik
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e8ac58cacb38b

David Potocnik

P.O. Box 13864

Colorado Springs, CO 80902

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

David Potocnik

David Potocnik

Union Pacific Railroad's Application

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 19:33:40 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Terry Friedman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e887aefc4431c

Terry Friedman

10 Belnay Lane

Montvale, NJ 07645

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Terry Friedman

environmental and human health and safety

1 message(s)

Date: Thu Sep 01 2016 18:23:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: susan dickerson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156e847808d36fcf

susan dickerson

woodland lane

clinton, MD 20735

September 1, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Dickerson

Comment on application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Johnson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b2738bbbd4

James Johnson

311 Tupper Road

Spencer, NY 14883-9650

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Johnson

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: David Brodt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b265cad80f

David Brodt

9841 nw 10th street

plantation, FL 33322

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Brodt

Stop oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laura Carper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1402dc20d

Laura Carper

857 William Dr.

Birmingham, AL 35235

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laura Carper

Stop oil trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laura Carper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b25d899372

Laura Carper

857 William Dr.

Birmingham, AL 35235

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laura Carper

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Longyear
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b24b1d0013

Sharon Longyear

2765 Hyatt St.

Yorktown Hts., NY 10598

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sharon Longyear

Sharon Longyear

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nora Polk
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b246764c14

Nora Polk

6405 SE 62nd Ave

Portland, OR 97206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nora Polk

No more oil trains!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elaine Green
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b246260fac

Elaine Green

3 Catkin Ct

Bellingham, WA 98229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Larry & Elaine Green

Columbia Gorge Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: mike cummings
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b23cedaba4

mike cummings

2600 NE Minnehaha St.

vancouver, WA 98665

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mike Cummings

Columbia Gorge Oil Trains

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: mike cummings
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b23da81628

mike cummings

2600 NE Minnehaha St.

vancouver, WA 98665

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mike Cummings

No MOre Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alexis Grone

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b2301deed9

Alexis Grone

5141 E Elena Ave

Mesa, AZ 85206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alexis Grone

Oil Train Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paula Hollie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b22e849cd1

Paula Hollie

3024 B Calle Sonora

Laguna Woods, CA 92637

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Paula Hollie

Paula Hollie

---

oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "J. Hays"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b21a5fb407

J. Hays

1 shields ave.

Davis, CA 95616

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

J. Hays

Oil trains in Oregan

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Tuminski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b20fe5a13d

Elizabeth Tuminski

47 Hidden Brook Drive

Stamford, CT 06907-1413

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Tuminski

Elizabeth Tuminski

Oil trains in Oregan

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Tuminski
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b219ca2fe0

Elizabeth Tuminski

47 Hidden Brook Drive

Stamford, CT 06907-1413

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Tuminski

Elizabeth Tuminski

---

no more oil trains through columbia gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Rose
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1fa3c2261

Diane Rose

4415 145th Ave NE

Bellevue, WA 98007

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Rose

---

no more oil trains through columbia gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Rose
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b214c47ac8

Diane Rose

4415 145th Ave NE

Bellevue, WA 98007

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Rose

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mitch Parkinen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1f4415091

Mitch Parkinen

623 Linden Ln

Martinez, CA 94553-3930

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mitch Parkinen

Mitch Parkinen

No More Oil Trains Through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lonnie Albrecht

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1ef623713

Lonnie Albrecht

895 CR 481

Lake Panasoffkee, FL 33538

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lonnie Albrecht

---

stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Doug Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1e9eb4d6f

Doug Smith

5626 Sheldon Drive

Alexandria, VA 22312

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Doug Smith

Stop the coal trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Petersen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1e506e4c2

John Petersen

9502 184th Ave. E.

Bonney Lake, WA 98391

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Petersen

---

oil trains in the gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:35 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellen Atkinson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1e0445565

Ellen Atkinson

80 Brownstone Dr

Reno, NV 89512

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ellen Atkinson

Ellen Atkinson

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Larry Forrest
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1df869470

Larry Forrest

2965 S Devinney Ct

Lakewood, CO 80228

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Larry Forrest

Larry Forrest

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Verrill
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1d3764db7

Karen Verrill

123 4th Ave

Olympia, WA 98501

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

I grew up in Vancouver WA and have spent many beautiful days in the Columbia Gorge. We cannot afford to take any chances in ruining the beauty, the habitat, the history or the water.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Karen Verrill

Karen Verrill

Oil bomb trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "david j. lafond"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1ceffaa27

david j. lafond

70 Brown Avenue

Holyoke, MA 01040

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

david j. lafond

david j. lafond

No More Oil Trains in the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Aron Ralston
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1c937b9ac

Aron Ralston

928 Mapleton Ave.

Boulder, CO 80304

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Aron Ralston

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Andrea Cain
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1c56842d5

Andrea Cain

415 Linden Street

Fall River, MA 02720

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrea Cain

Andrea Cain

Stop Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: janet petermann
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1c285bf51

janet petermann

1312w 40st

austin, TX 78756

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should delay the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

janet petermann

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jan Fortini
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1a05adcad

Jan Fortini

2100 Idlewild Drive

Reno, NV 89509

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jan Fortini

New Rail Track Application by Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Natylie Baldwin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b17decf589

Natylie Baldwin

1410 Bel Air Drive

Concord, CA 94521

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Natylie Baldwin

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rehana Huq
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b17b0264bd

Rehana Huq

200 Comstock Road

Ithaca, NY 14850

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rehana Huq

Rehana Huq

Stop dangerous oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mitch Dalition
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1651e4423

Mitch Dalition

350 Broderick Street #415

San Francisco, CA 94117

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mitch

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:33 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lance Polya
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b14547bef1

Lance Polya

46 Fields Ln.

Jericho, VT 05465

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lance Polya

Prohibit Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ellen Halbert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b141940818

Ellen Halbert

46454 Cherryfield Ln

Drayden, MD 20630

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

PO-2-25209

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ellen Halbert

Trains along Columbia River

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dave Bean

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b1139de4f4

Dave Bean

1231 NE MLK Blvd. #422

Portland, OR 97232-2093

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Respectfully

Rev. Dave Bean

Trains along Columbia River

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dave Bean
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b13baf8e51

Dave Bean

1231 NE MLK Blvd. #422

Portland, OR 97232-2093

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

PC-2 2-5215

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Respectfully

Rev. Dave Bean

Oil trains are Bombs

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Fred Rilling
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b122343aae

Fred Rilling

135 Inlets Blvd

Nokomis, FL 34275

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Fred Rilling

Fred Rilling

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:21:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra Perkins
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd8b11661568f

Sandra Perkins

13226 42nd Avenue NE

Seattle, WA 98125

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Perkins

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Markowitz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81eff30dde5

John Markowitz

151 West 86 Street

New York, NY 10024

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Markowitz, M.D.

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Cibery
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81efc6816cb

John Cibery

22 Westview Dr

Bethel, CT 06801

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Cibery

John Cibery

Oil trains through Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Doug Long
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81ed8b86f84

Doug Long

3630 nw 2nd ave

Camas, WA 98607

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Douglas Long

Ban oil transport through our communities

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lorraine Heagy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81ed67d560f

Lorraine Heagy

6 Sussex Place

Lititz, PA 17543

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lorraine Heagy

---

no more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Linzmeier

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81ed00c6049

Robert Linzmeier

950 East Wilmette Rd.

Palatine, IL 60074

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert Linzmeier

Robert Linzmeier

Crude oil by rail threats

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jan Phillips
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81ebdbca395

Jan Phillips

Britanywood Road

Kernersville, NC 27284

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jan Phillips

Jan Phillips

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Chris Washington
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81ea2111ed7

Chris Washington

345 West 58th Street, #11U

New York, NY 10019

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Chris Washington

Chris Washington

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:31 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Kenneth Althiser
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81ea19f6302

Kenneth Althiser

38920 Newberry St

Cherry Valley, CA 92223

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Kenneth Althiser

Rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Natalie Van Leekwijck
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e9f1b37b6

Natalie Van Leekwijck

444 Munn Street

Hazard, NE 68844

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Natalie Van Leekwijck

---

new track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Todd Corbett
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e9f09a70f

Todd Corbett

10815 Hubbard Creek Rd

Umpqua, OR 97486

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Todd Corbett

No oil on the tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: sidney Sisk
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e95876ba8

sidney Sisk

745 emerald street

broomfield, CO 80020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should delay the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

sidney sisk

Regarding UPRR Oil and Coal Transport through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Juana Celia Djelal
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e80eb5666

Juana Celia Djelal

2446 Hickory Hill Drive

State College, PA 16803

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Of grave concern is Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR.The new track and the resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose dread risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is undeniably true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest. Such terminals would result in a remarkable increase in coal train traffic, and trains carrying dangerous and volatile oil through the Gorge.

We ask that you deny Union Pacific Railroad's application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the rail transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby astronomically increasing the risk of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier derailment and fire, we ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding Union Pacific at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific. UPRR continues to demonstrate that it is an irresponsible company.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of the trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility in violation of at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances. It would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts, a reason why it is not permitted.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affects wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in clear violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge significantly underestimate the increase. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time that the crossing gates are down. This hinders traffic flow, hampers first responders, inconveniences drivers, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That concealed information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by a professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that will not adversely impact resources and health within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

The project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that would be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done with tribal councils and official advocates for the indigenous populations.

Thank you this opportunity to comment. I look forward to your response to this matter.

Sincerely,

With concern,

Dr. Juana Celia Djelal

UPRR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Meagher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e7e394541

Michael Meagher

10541 Whitman Ave N

Seattle, WA 98133

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Meagher

Union Pacific's failure to adequately maintain its tracks

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Wheeler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e52525291

Mark Wheeler

628 SE 58th

Portland, OR 97215

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mark Wheeler

To Whom It May Concern:

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Teresia LaFleur
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e0f04b0b5

Teresia LaFleur

80 Moore Road

Sudbury, MA 01776

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Teresia LaFleur

---

rail road tracts

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:30 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dave Ogilvie
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e039255a5

Dave Ogilvie

3435 Richlamd Dr.

Santa Barbara, CA 93105

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Dave Ogilvie

Dave Ogilvie

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: celia okelley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81e01f17ac3

celia okelley

12 hillcrest

tuscaloosa, AL 35401

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Celia O'Kelley

NO OIL TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lawrence Crowley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81def4346a5

Lawrence Crowley

441 Pheasant Run

Louisville, CO 80027

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lawrence Crowley

---

no more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: lonna richmond
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81def0beeee

lonna richmond

45 sunset way

muir beach, CA 94965

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would

adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely

affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

sincerely

lonna richmond

Transport of Oil by Train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeane Harrison
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81ddd3083f9

Jeane Harrison

3445 SW 31st Street

Des Moines, IA 50321

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause

adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That

information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Jeane Harrison

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dennis O'Brien
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81dd6afaec7

Dennis O'Brien

104 Wagamons Blvd

Milton, DE 19968

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dennis O'Brien

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Liz Garratt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81dc9662169

Liz Garratt

10270 Noble

Indianapolis, IN 46234

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Liz Garratt

Stop The Oil Trains!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ingrid Hinton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81da95cd3a9

Ingrid Hinton

22128 Hwy 9 #178

Mount Vernon, WA 98274

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

PC 2 2-5290

Sincerely,

Ingrid Hinton

No more Oil Trains through the Columbia Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Friestad
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81da913dfe3

John Friestad

141 Ecum Secum Place

Conway, SC 29527-6967

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe. Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Friestad

Crude oil-by-rail threat

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:11:29 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Donald Shaw
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd81da10c666f

Donald Shaw

1906 Hawaii Ave

St. Petersburg, FL 33703-3418

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Donald Shaw

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jason Kull
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78b4e81344b

Jason Kull

2639 1/2 Eastwood Avenue

Evanston, IL 60201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jason Kull

Save our Gorge, the people living there, visiting there and all non-humans who inhabit it! Protect life on this planet and start here!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Janet Monical
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78b4d038800

Janet Monical

55854 Hazen Rd

Warren, OR 97053

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Too big and Too Dangerous!

Janet Monical

No oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 16:01:27 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Asphodel Denning
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156dd78b32be8e14

Asphodel Denning

108 5th Ave S

Seattle, WA 98104

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Asphodel Denning

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Benes
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb9711354f

Michelle Benes

303 East Gurley Street

Prescott, AZ 86301-3804

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Benes

These disasters must stop!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Michael Marquardt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb91916fd4

Michael Marquardt

580 Reeder Mesa Rd

Whitewater, CO 81527

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael R Marquardt

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Laura M. Ohanian"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb89a0ceb7

Laura M. Ohanian

P.O. Box 811

Eugene, OR 97402

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Even though I'm not a constituent, oil trains in the Columbia Gorge impact us farther south in Eugene too -- we are ALL connected, and the environment is global. We absolutely must start exploring and supporting cleaner forms of energy, if we have any expectation that our culture will survive.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude-oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment, and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laura M. Ohanian

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "Laura M. Ohanian"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb8f9b487f

Laura M. Ohanian

P.O. Box 811

Eugene, OR 97402

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Even though I'm not a constituent, oil trains in the Columbia Gorge impact us farther south in Eugene too -- we are ALL connected, and the environment is global. We absolutely must start exploring and supporting cleaner forms of energy, if we have any expectation that our culture will survive.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude-oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment, and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laura M. Ohanian

---

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bran Gilroy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb86d0a726

Bran Gilroy

10344 14th avenue south

seattle, WA 98168

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Bryan Gilroy

Bryan Gilroy

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: SHARON LEE
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb837e3158

SHARON LEE

2277 ne baron ct

BEND, OR 97701

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

SHARON

Please reject oil track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Peter Kerr
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb82f70f85

Peter Kerr

38341 Larue Way

Davis, CA 95616

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Are

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Peter Kerr

Peter Kerr

No more oil trains in the Columbia River Gorge!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra Joos
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb7e91f2d6

Sandra Joos

4259 SW Patrick Pl

Portland, OR 97239-7202

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Joos

---

please denied proposals

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Keeley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb7adec117

James Keeley

7300 N. E. Vancouver Mall Dr., Apt. 68

Vancouver, WA 98662

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Keeley

Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: R T von Koch
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb7473b190

R T von Koch

8459 NW Aswh

Portland, OR 97229

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

R T von Koch

No More Oil Trains Through The Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephanie Trudeau
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb72df405c

Stephanie Trudeau

2601 Boundbrook Blvd, #201

West Palm Beach, FL 33406

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephanie Trudeau

Stop the Oil (Bomb) Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Patricia Baley
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb6c772dff

Patricia Baley

4150 E. Pinecrest Circle

Las Vegas, NV 89121-4920

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts of the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Baley

Stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Howard Brady
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb6bdb7ea2

Howard Brady

13311 SE Rivercrest Dr

Vancouver, WA 98683

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Howard Brady

Howard Brady

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: whitney hines
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb6aec3da9

whitney hines

7715 overlake drive w

medina, WA 98039

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

whitney hines

Union Pacific Railroad Application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Oliver Yourke
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb698e412c

Oliver Yourke

525A Sixth Avenue

Brooklyn, NY 11215

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Oliver Yourke

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jeff LaFlamme
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb6458286d

Jeff LaFlamme

100 Principe de Paz

Santa Fe, NM 87508

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jeff LaFlamme

Union Pacific Railroad's Application to Construct a New Rail Track Near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Caroline Battersby
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb5f553712

Caroline Battersby

80 Applecroft Lane

Center Conway, NH 03813

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Caroline Battersby

No more oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Adrienne Ross
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb59ce553d

Adrienne Ross

19 Cerro Blanco Rd

Lamy, NM 87540-9525

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Adrienne Ross

Dangerous fuel trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michael Tomczyszyn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb55e0db67

Michael Tomczyszyn

243 Ramsell St

San Francisco, CA 94132

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michael Tomczyszyn

---

oil by rail

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:08 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: bruce vassen

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb54427554

bruce vassen

collins ave. 1550

miami, FL 33139-4975

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

bruce vassen

Stop Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charlene Lauzon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb3b40297c

Charlene Lauzon

5715 202nd St. SW #3

Lynnwood, WA 98036

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Charlene Lauzon

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cheryl Ivey
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb3a986c9c

Cheryl Ivey

W Margate

Chicago, IL 60640

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cheryl Ivey

Please, No More Oil Trains in the Columbia Gorge. It is Too Dangerous !!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Margaret Meyer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb2a2862a3

Margaret Meyer

4532 Corliss Ave N

Seattle, WA 98103

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, I miss living in Oregon

Margaret Meyer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:07 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: MAME BOYD
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb236a1aa4

MAME BOYD

PO BOX 26

RANCHO MIRAGE, CA 92270

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mame Boyd

Unnecessary Oil Train Traffic should be reviewed.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Brandt
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb1f3f6ca5

Robert Brandt

19902 88th Ave.W

Edmonds, WA 98026

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Brandt

Comment to deny Mosier's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Kuelper
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb1ebf1349

Carol Kuelper

3111 California St.

Oakland, CA 94602

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sinderely

Carol Kuelper

Upholding the Lummi Nation's treaty rights

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Charles Janeway
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb0a8accfd

Charles Janeway

95 Eagles Roost Lane

Lopez Island, WA 98261

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to

cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be

adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Charlie Janeway

Environment - Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Evelyn Popejoy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbb0841fe43

Evelyn Popejoy

PO Box 9021

Spokane, WA 99209

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,Sincerely

Evelyn Popejoy

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Calli Madrone
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbafab7a54d

Calli Madrone

61182 Snowbrush Dr.

Bend, OR 97702

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Calli Madrone

Calli Madrone

Save the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Guma
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbaf43420c4

Karen Guma

121 White Oak Circle

Petaluma, CA 94952

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen Guma

Union Pacific application for more train tracks in Columbia River Gorge

PC 2 2-5391

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Anita Matthay
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbae33cb237

Anita Matthay

820 N. Bakehouse Ct.

Sequim, WA 98382

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Anita Matthay

Columbia Gorge oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: mary rexford
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbadf425bc8

mary rexford

129 5th

Ashland, OR 97520

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length & speed of trains pose significant risks to the Nat'l Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, & the environment, particularly in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

• The project would facilitate oil transport, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. UP routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars; oil trains are inherently unsafe. Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the CRG, increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, please deny the permit on these grounds.

• The FRA released a report in June finding that UP was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR as they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, UP has perennially poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44%; for UP it is 56%, showing a pattern of inadequate UP maintenance.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

• The project is inconsistent with the Nat'l Scenic Area Act purposes, passing trough some of the most highly protected lands in the Nat'l Scenic Area, could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, and the length and speed of these trains, which would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural & recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: Construction of double-tracking & related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources, in violating County ordinance on protected views from key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area next to Memaloose St Pk is not allowed under County ord's, having extreme scenic & recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affects wetland habitat, is not in the public's interest, and is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is in habitat for many protected animal & plant species. More trains and higher speed travel would mean more collisions with wildlife trying to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- With coal transported in open coal cars, it would blow onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As seen on the Burlngtn North track, north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the CRG with coal dust. This unpermitted pollutant discharge violates federal Clean Water Act.of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge to be gross underestimates. UP had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, leading to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area and adversely affecting recreation resources and endanger public safety. OPRD must ensure any land sale wouldn't conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, and its plans to expand Memaloose Cmpgrd and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment. I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mary Rexford

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tika Bordelon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbad2f0e9ec

Tika Bordelon

1400 Hubbell Pl

Seattle, WA 98101

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Tika Bordelon

Tika Bordelon

Union Pacific Railroad's Plans to increase number of oil and coal trains through Washington and Oregon

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: "James M. Wallrabenstein"
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbaa280421b

James M. Wallrabenstein

10910 E Fruit Hill Rd

Spokane, WA 99206

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

The science is clear. To avoid catastrophic global warming, these fossil fuels must remain in the ground!

James M. Wallrabenstein

The danger of oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:32:06 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Adair DeLamater
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddcbaa110b3f2

Adair DeLamater

44 East Milan Street

Bath, ME 04530

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Adair DeLamater

Sorry I missed you! Re: NO TO OIL TRAINS

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:09 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Angie Brewer
To: bobbih2@comcast.net
CC:
ID: 156ddc28b8fe34ac

Hello and thank you for contacting me.

I will be unavailable today, checking email occassionally. If you need immediate assistance, please call 541-506-2560 to speak with the planner on duty.

Thank you and have a wonderful day,
Angie

--

WASCO COUNTY
Pioneering pathways to prosperity.

Angie Brewer | *Director*
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

angieb@co.wasco.or.us | www.co.wasco.or.us
541-506-2566 | Fax 541-506-2561
2705 East Second Street | The Dalles, OR 97058

Re: Sorry I missed you! Re: NO TO OIL TRAINS

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:29:23 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: bobbih2@comcast.net
To: Angie Brewer
CC:
ID: 156ddc92d8c4823a

Hope you are working on how to stop the trains!! Have a good week and thanks for responding (sort of).
Bobbi Hickox

From: "Angie Brewer" <angieb@co.wasco.or.us>
To: bobbih2@comcast.net
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 4:22:09 PM
Subject: Sorry I missed you! Re: NO TO OIL TRAINS

Hello and thank you for contacting me.

I will be unavailable today, checking email occassionally. If you need immediate assistance, please call 541-506-2560 to speak with the planner on duty.

Thank you and have a wonderful day,
Angie

--

WASCO COUNTY
Pioneering pathways to prosperity.

Angie Brewer | ***Director***
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

angieb@co.wasco.or.us | www.co.wasco.or.us
541-506-2566 | Fax 541-506-2561
2705 East Second Street | The Dalles, OR 97058

No more oil trains through the Columbia River Basin!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Naiman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc28baaab4e8

Karen Naiman

1205 S. Valentia Ct.

Denver, CO 80247-3020

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia

River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia

River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen L. Naiman

NO MORE OIL TRAINS THRU COLUMBIA GORGE!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Phyl Morello <1432phyl@gmail.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc28adbfa140

Phyl Morello

984 Harrison fer

White Pine, TN 37890

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area,

the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Phyl Morello

Union Pacific

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Edward Day
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc28953e9405

Edward Day

3227 Socorro Ave

Orlando, FL 32829

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Edward Day

---

no more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rose Wood
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2885d88eb1

Rose Wood

1602 speedway rd.

Verona, WI 53593

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe. Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rose Wood

Rose Wood

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Fiedler
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2883d6c2ff

Patricia Fiedler

27 Stream Lane

Levittown, PA 19055

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Fiedler

Environmental Stewardship

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 17:15:16 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tauny Kasuya
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ed2f506e1a138

Tauny Kasuya

1 Any St

San Rafael, CA 94901

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tauny kasuya

Union Pacific Railroad expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 17:05:15 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Mike Laquatra
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ed262672d73d5

Mike Laquatra

2926 Eucalyptus Ave

Long Beach, CA 90806

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

My wife and I have visited this gorgeous region and do not want to see it despoiled. Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Mike Laquatra

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 16:55:14 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Paige McGlaughlin
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ed1cfd7d966ca

Paige McGlaughlin

438 Osceola St.

Denver, CO 80204

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Paige McGlaughlin

Paige McGlaughlin

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 16:15:11 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: marie anderson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ecf8501130550

marie anderson

2315 E 65th st #102

Seattle, WA 98115

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

marie anderson

Railroad Risk

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 15:55:10 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lawrence Germann
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ece5fd93c85e8

Lawrence Germann

7901 Oxford Road

Longmont, CO 80503

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Lawrence Germann

---

stand up to oil!!

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 14:35:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Londa Rudman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec9ca82ae5c79

Londa Rudman

910 West End Ave.

New York, NY 10025

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal

trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind blown

pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda Rudman

Linda Rudman

Environmental Safety

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 13:55:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Richard Kurz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec77f9f384ae4

Richard Kurz

291 Weymouth Dr.

Rochester, NY 14625

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Richard K. Kurz

No more oil trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 13:54:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Vicki Huddleston
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec77f6772a050

Vicki Huddleston

320 S 16th St

Plattsmouth, NE 68048

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for

conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase

train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Vicki Huddleston

Coal & Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 13:24:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dena & Gloria Dahlke
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec5c79c0413f5

Dena & Gloria Dahlke

6917 N Argonaut Rd

Spokane, WA 99208

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Dena & Gloria Dahlke

Dena & Gloria Dahlke

NO TRAINS OF MASS DESTRUCTION IN OUR COMMUNITIES

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 13:24:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: d robinson

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec5c77b54e758

d robinson

pob 151

curlew, WA 99118

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

d robinson

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 13:14:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Adams
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec534f2b064b0

Steve Adams

268 Cedar Springs Road

Lexington, NC 27292

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Adams

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 12:04:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephen Smith
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec13236392e41

Stephen Smith

518 W 152nd Street

New York, NY 10031

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Stephen Smith

Denying Union Pacific Railroad Expansion

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 11:54:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rick Allen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ec09fa1281067

Rick Allen

663 Sunnyside Rd

Trout Lake, WA 98650

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

As a physician and resident in the Columbia Gorge, I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR where we had a catastrophe this summer determined to be due to Union Pacific's failure to maintain its current tracks.

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dr. Rick Allen

Revise Coal Export DEIS to Protect Health, Safety, & Environment

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 10:54:47 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Morgan Belfer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ebd2fd252f42c

Morgan Belfer

110 Standish drive

Ormond beach, FL 32176

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Morgan Belfer

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 10:44:46 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Alicia Liang
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ebc9cca2b34fc

Alicia Liang

1915 SE 32nd Pl

Portland, OR 97214

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alicia Liang, MD

No more oil trains through the Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 10:34:45 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christine Yun
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ebc0a3a39bd66

Christine Yun

1915 SE Alder St.

Portland, OR 97214

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Christine Yun

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 10:04:43 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Fernanda Leite
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eba52459dbe46

Fernanda Leite

21, Major Joaquim Clemente

Monte Mor, UT 84065

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely

Fernanda Leite

STOP OIL TRAINS IN THE COLUMBIA GORGE

1 message(s)

PC 2 2-5470

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 09:04:37 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: David Pickner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb6e1eff4d1f6

David Pickner

389 Millionaire Dr East

Boulder, CO 80302

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

David Pickner

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

4 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 08:44:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julia Hurd
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb5bc4c55c87e

Julia Hurd

19396 Ashe Lane

Burlington, WA 98233

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julia Hurd

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

4 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 08:44:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julia Hurd
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb5bc5775602f

Julia Hurd

19396 Ashe Lane

Burlington, WA 98233

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julia Hurd

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

4 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 08:44:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julia Hurd
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb5bc6981c8a0

Julia Hurd

19396 Ashe Lane

Burlington, WA 98233

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julia Hurd

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

4 message(s)

Date: Fri Sep 02 2016 08:44:34 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julia Hurd
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156eb5bc79933d20

Julia Hurd

19396 Ashe Lane

Burlington, WA 98233

September 2, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Julia Hurd

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharon Bodman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2876a60886

Sharon Bodman

317 SW Siletz River Dr

Siletz, OR 97380

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharon Bodman

Oil Trains in the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Tom Porpiglia
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc28706829f9

Tom Porpiglia

202 Dickinson Rd

Webster, NY 14580

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tom Porpiglia

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Claudia Kaplan
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc286ab4b42e

Claudia Kaplan

4911 Victoria Dr.

Durham, NC 27713

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Claudia Kaplan

Claudia Kaplan

Let's not ignore risks of oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: John Crotty
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2859e0147b

John Crotty

1467 Cherry Creek Lane

Manchester, MO 63021

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

John Crotty

Dangerous trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Macyle Candela <2mpcnew@gmail.com>
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2854b99ef4

Macyle Candela

173 Lake Meade Drive

East Berlin, PA 17316

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Macyle Candela

Macyle Candela

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Diane Chatigny
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2845c1ace0

Diane Chatigny

PO Box 1496

Newburyport, MA 01950

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Chatigny

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joan Yater
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2844930842

Joan Yater

2407 Childs Lane

Alexandria, VA 22308

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joan Yater

No OIL TRAINS!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rikki James
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc28348429db

Rikki James

2700 Simpson Ave

Hoquiam, WA 98550

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Rikki

Union Pacific Railroad's expansion plan

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Amory
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc282272398c

James Amory

228 Squires Ave #R1

Endicott, NY 13760

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

James Amory

No Oil Trains Through Columbia Gorge!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Shireen Parsons

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc282128ea2e

Shireen Parsons

1365 Kennedy St NW, Apt. 508

Washington, DC 20011

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I'm appalled by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest that would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

You must deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s, and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015.

Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, You must deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR, because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area, and could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains, adversely affecting scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock-crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances, and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands would adversely affect wetland habitat, and is not in the public interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds would increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier by creating a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project must be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project must be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks must deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use, or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives must be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native

American cultural resource sites that would be adversely affected in violation of County ordinances. These resources must be protected and be subject to consultation.

I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Shireen Parsons

Please Co-Sponsor the Do No Harm Act, H.R. 5272

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Nancy White
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc281fd62e7a

Nancy White

13311 E Forrest Ave

Spokane Valley, WA 99216

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that

any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Nancy White

Oil Trails

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: susan hebert
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc28055cfde1

susan hebert

2018 NW Irving St.

Portland, OR 97209

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Susan Hebert

---

deny Union Pacific's proposal to build this double track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:04 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Phillip Hope
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc27f8304424

Phillip Hope

248 10th St

Brooklyn, NY 11215

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is

prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

phillip hope

phillip hope

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carol Wagner
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc278fd30817

Carol Wagner

621 N Douglas Lane

Canby, OR 97013-3361

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new

coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any

uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Carol Wagner

New rail track

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sara King
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2789a70a1f

Sara King

6647 Montevista Dr SE

Auburn, WA 98092

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sara King

Sara King

No more coal and crude oil train traffic!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Patricia Eaton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2775f85a30

Patricia Eaton

650 Mary Dr

Molalla, OR 97038

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby

increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union

Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Patricia Eaton

Union Pacific Railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jody Gibson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc276cb850f4

Jody Gibson

317 E. Wall Ave.

Des Moines, IA 50315

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Jody Gibson

No More Poisonous Fuels Trains!!!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Otto Youngers
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc276986e636

Otto Youngers

3523 S Wilkeson St

Tacoma, WA 98418-1826

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Otto Youngers

No more oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Clyde Alan Lockleat
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc275eb16160

Clyde Alan Lockleat

6222 SW 36th Ave

Portland, OR 97221

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I wish to express my strongest oppostion to the Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Clyde Alan Locklear

---

environment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jacalyn Dinhofer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2757501663

Jacalyn Dinhofer

16 West 16 Street #6PS

NYC, NY 10011

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jacalyn Dinhofer

Jacalyn Dinhofer

oil Shipments

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bob Brucker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc2725483834

Bob Brucker

4502 8th Ave E

Bradenton, FL 34208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Bob Brucker

Bob Brucker

---

oil Shipments

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:03 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Bob Brucker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc273a1359db

Bob Brucker

4502 8th Ave E

Bradenton, FL 34208

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Bob Brucker

Bob Brucker

Union Pacific railroad

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Stephanie Clark
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc271ff8171b

Stephanie Clark

135 rice corner road

Brookfield, MA 01506

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Stephanie Clark

National Scenic Area

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sandra Cobb
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc27008b25d7

Sandra Cobb

3880 Ellendale Rd

Moreland Hills, OH 44022

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a

professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sandra Cobb

New Union Pacific Rail Line

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Pamela Scott
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc26f6b87889

Pamela Scott

167 Teilh Dr

Boulder Creek, CA 95006

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Pamela Scott

Pamela Scott

No Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: JoAnne Larsen
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc26ecbfc31a

JoAnne Larsen

99 goose pond rd

Lee, MA 01238

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

JoAnne Larsen

No More Oil Trains!

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Emily Trinkaus
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc26e2a74edb

Emily Trinkaus

1205 Bethel St NE

Olympia, WA 98506

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Emily Trinkaus

NO TO OIL TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:22:02 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bobbi Hickox
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddc26e153a62a

Bobbi Hickox

7941 Mullen Rd. SE

Olympia, WA 98503

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

A rail line passes in back of my house. I used to love the sound of the trains. It was relaxing. Since learning of the oil trains and the poor maintenance record of the railroads - both the tracks and the oil cars - it scares me to death every time I hear one.

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bobbi Hickox

Expanded Rail Line Capacity through Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dianna MacLeod
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94dd70beaf

Dianna MacLeod

PO Box 277

Langley, WA 98260

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dianna MacLeod

---

global warming is real, so stop the oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: p bryer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94db97826b

p bryer

2112 w 29th ave

eugene, OR 97405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

p bryer

Oil,Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Dan Mckenzie

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94d0bad04e

Dan Mckenzie

2920 nw Mulkey AV

Corvallis, OR 97330

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Dan Mckenle

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Hauser
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94cfd1c611

Elizabeth Hauser

210 17th Ave SE

Olympia, WA 98501

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hauser

Denial of the Proposed new rail track near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steven Morris
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94cdd1a174

Steven Morris

900 Lakeview Dr

Sharps Chapel, TN 37866

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steven Morris

New Rail Track Near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Virginia Bennett
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94b95a4c12

Virginia Bennett

1201 Wilder Ave.

Honolulu, HI 96822

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Virginia Bennett

Union Pacific Railroad's application

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Tom Lux
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94b4f21032

Tom Lux

17502 47th Ave. NE

Lake Forest Park, WA 98155

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Tom Lux

No more oil

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Laurel Covington
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94abd33c37

Laurel Covington

17303 Estes Road

Lutz, FL 33548

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River

Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Laurel Covington

UP

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Liedike
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94a9af9fd0

Robert Liedike

5379 Balsam

Arvada, CO 80002

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert

Robert Liedike

Protect the Columbia Gorge from dangerous oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:01 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Fischoff
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94a5503117

Robert Fischoff

313 W 10th Street

Silver City, NM 88061

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia

River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Robert Fischoff

No more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Michelle Keating
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94a519a0a5

Michelle Keating

517 SE 99th Ave

Vancouver, WA 98664

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture

threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Michelle Keating

---

union pacific rail line

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: les roberts
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94a131b299

les roberts

1134 east lansing

fresno, CA 93704

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier

Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

les roberts

---

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Norman Baker
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94994c363a

Norman Baker

3789 Lost Mountain Road

Sequim, WA 98382

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Norman T. Baker, PhD

Norman T. Baker, PhD

No More Oil Trains Please

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:12:00 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Perry Gx
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb949892e904

Perry Gx

14312 Franklin Avenue

Tustin, CA 92780

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Perry Gx

Rail Oil Shipment

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Alan J Nishman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb947b08e1d1

Alan J Nishman

23 O'Neill Rd

Haydeville, MA 01039

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Alan J Nishman

Oil Transport

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Helen Greer
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb946a8c0631

Helen Greer

1170 W. Wabash St. Lot #32

Tucson, AZ 85705

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Helen Gex Greer

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carl Howard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93d12c25d6

Carl Howard

1736 East Long Street

Columbus, OH 43201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated

key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Carl Howard

Carl Howard

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Carl Howard
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9463b66686

Carl Howard

1736 East Long Street

Columbus, OH 43201

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is

indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Carl Howard

Carl Howard

Peotesting against Union Pacific RR expansion near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maureen Lang
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9462d2d4f9

Maureen Lang

3810 NE 100th Court

Vancouver, WA 98662

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new

track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maureen Lang

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:59 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Lucia Faithfull
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9442c421e9

Lucia Faithfull

31021 50th Ave SW

Federal Way, WA 98023

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the

Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

For the earth, air and water,

Lucia Faithfull

---

trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Suzanne Koury
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb943c5be77a

Suzanne Koury

919 Deforest Ave

Iowa City, IA 52240-2141

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil

tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Suzanne Koury

Request

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Emily van Alyne
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb943992a1c1

Emily van Alyne

6749 Whitestone Street

West Richland, WA 99353-7405

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Emily van Alyne

Let this be the end...

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sherry Gordon
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb941dabca1a

Sherry Gordon

PO Box 1091

Emmett, ID 83617

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. This is a horrible, horrible idea! Please protect the Gorge.

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sherry Gordon, in Idaho

No Oil Train Increase

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Christopher Kralik

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9418ceef8c

Christopher Kralik

631 NW 18th Loop

Camas, WA 98607

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,Christopher J Kralik

Christopher J Kralik

---

oil train BOMBS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Gary Lite
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9407f45a59

Gary Lite

2777 S Kihei Rd #M108

Kihei, HI 96753

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Gary Lite

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Craig Brown
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb94053949b7

Craig Brown

2908 Southbrook Dr

Bloomington, MN 55431

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Craig E. Brown

OIL TRAINS THROUGH THE COLUMBIA RIVER GORGE

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: James Doyle
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93ff2666f0

James Doyle

1608 NE 73 St.

Seattle, WA 98115

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

James A Doyle

James A Doyle

---

no more oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ray Richards
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93f19e0b7e

Ray Richards

213 W. 36th St.

Vancouver, WA 98660

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ray Richards

No more oil trains. People not profit.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Naomi Aldort
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93ed695f2f

Naomi Aldort

P.O. Box 1719

Eastsound, WA 98245

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I ask you to deny the Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. Please don't protect money interests, but people and the planet.We must move to sustainable clean energy as fast as possible. We do not need to help oil companies to sustain their business. That is a wrong goal at the expense of people's lives, health and the planet.

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Naomi Aldortt

---

the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

No more gas trains through Scenic areas

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Judith Heideman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93ece662bc

Judith Heideman

11126 3500 Rd.

Hotchkiss, CO 81419

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Judith Heideman

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Claire Simonich

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93ec47a7f4

Claire Simonich

411 Magellan Ave.

Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Claire Simonich

Deny new RR tracks near Mosier, OR.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Floyd O'Brien
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93d95bd658

Floyd O'Brien

33 W Alder St

Stockton, CA 95204

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Floyd O'Brien

Oil trains in the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Genest
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93d939e9c5

Karen Genest

3608 224th Place SW

Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen Genest

Public safety

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:58 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Bette Letscher
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93b7247f2a

Bette Letscher

4532 Meadow Dr

Nazareth, PA 18064

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Bette Letscher

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Joanne Fitzwilson
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb93a1ad7dfb

Joanne Fitzwilson

700 Washington Street, Suite 823

Vancouver, WA 98660

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements.

According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This

inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Joanne Fitzwilson

Dangerous Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)

From: Diane Kelly
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9393cda298

Diane Kelly

2717 Aston Woods Lane

Thompsons Station, TN 37179

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the

community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Diane Kelly

Oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mary Ann and Frank Graffagnino
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9389202efc

Mary Ann and Frank Graffagnino

10207 E. Calle Pueblo Estrella

Tucson, AZ 85747

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

My husband and I are very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

We ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is

degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale

of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

PLEASE TAKE THE RIGHT, FAIR, JUST, HUMANE AND HEALTHY ACTION AND NO EXPANDED OIL TRIN TRAVEL THROUGH THE COLLUMBIA GORGE.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann and Frank Graffagnino

Mary Ann and Frank Graffagnino

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:11:57 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Mark Molloy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb9382a73459

Mark Molloy

34 Butler Place

Brooklyn, NY 11238

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mark Molloy

Mark Molloy

No more oil trains through the Columbia River Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Theodore Bahn
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0117cfba51

Theodore Bahn

428 Childers St

Pensacola, FL 32534-9630

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Theodore Bahn

Union Pacific at Mosier

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Susan Crampton
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb011288c1e9

Susan Crampton

PO Box 162

Twisp, WA 98856

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

Your Planning Commission decision for Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR is an important one. The incident earlier this summer is its own best evidence.

Please proceed thoughtfully. As a resident along the banks of the Columbia River system/I ask you to look at the short-term and long-term issues. I ask you to deny this application.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Susan Crampton

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Julianna Guy
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb0111c5d99d

Julianna Guy

4559 El Dorado Way, Unit 226

Bellingham, WA 98226

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Your action is important

Julianna Guy

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Andrew Goldman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb010edc9709

Andrew Goldman

265 Ringwood Rd.

Freeville, NY 13068

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrew Goldman

Andrew Goldman

Please help protect the only environment.

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Ivan Russell
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb010a78003a

Ivan Russell

51 Robinhood Road

Pittsburgh, PA 15220

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available

services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Ivan

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Rick Huyett
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb01074b93d8

Rick Huyett

21539 Jessie Way

Los Gatos, CA 95033

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The

industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Rick Huyett

Rick Huyett

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Cort Brumfield

To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb010036279f

Cort Brumfield

9002 N. E. Webster Street

Portland, OR 97220

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Cort Brumfield

No more oil trains through the Columbia Gorge

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Steve Graff
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00fcb8d459

Steve Graff

1948 Malcolm Ave

Los Angeles, CA 90025

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic

would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that

the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Steve Graff

Oil Trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:56 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Sharron Coontz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00f5b92355

Sharron Coontz

3716 85th NW

Olympia, WA 98502

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County

ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Sharron Coontz

Oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Elizabeth Roberts
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00e8a5f4ed

Elizabeth Roberts

4635 N Damen Ave

Chicago, IL 60625

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of

plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Roberts

---

enviremental

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: robert rothman
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00c58d02c9

robert rothman

1351 xenia st.

denver, CO 80220

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

robert rothman

Union Pacific Rail Expansion Near Mosier, OR

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Karen Wood
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00b1721b23

Karen Wood

14910 NE 46th St

Vancouver, WA 98682

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I live in Vancouver, WA, and I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR, because it is likely to increase rail traffic through Vancouver to points north.

The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean

Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Karen Wood

NO MORE OIL TRAINS

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Hillary Sametz
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00b065e32d

Hillary Sametz

Campbell Street

Kansas City, MO 64110

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this

project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of

how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Hillary

No oil train

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Jennifer Brace
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00ad956ba1

Jennifer Brace

33825 121st St E

Pearblossom, CA 93553

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for

the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Brace

Jennifer Brace

---

oil trains

1 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:55 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Melanie Feder
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb00a54faf5b

Melanie Feder

281 sunshine

Philomath, OR 97370

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am very concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Melanie Feder

Deny new Columbia Gorge rail tracks application

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:52 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maurine Canarsky
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddaffdde3e1ab

Maurine Canarsky

1977 SE 22nd Ave.

Portland, OR 97214-4851

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am VERY concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans

to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maurine Canarsky

Deny new Columbia Gorge rail tracks application

2 message(s)

Date: Tue Aug 30 2016 17:01:54 GMT-0600 (MDT)
From: Maurine Canarsky
To: angieb@co.wasco.or.us
CC:
ID: 156ddb009e9c0da8

Maurine Canarsky

1977 SE 22nd Ave.

Portland, OR 97214-4851

August 30, 2016

Dear Angie Brewer,

I am VERY concerned by Union Pacific Railroad's application to construct a new rail track near Mosier, OR. The new track and resulting increase in number, length and speed of trains pose significant risks to the National Scenic Area, the Columbia River, public health, and the environment. This is particularly true in light of the proposals to build new coal and crude oil terminals throughout the Northwest which would result in a significant increase in traffic of coal trains, and dangerous and volatile oil trains through the Gorge.

I ask you to deny this application for the following reasons:

Crude oil-by-rail threats:

- The project would facilitate the transport of oil, including explosive Bakken oil, by rail through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Union Pacific routinely operates oil trains at speeds far in excess of the puncture threshold of oil tank cars, including DOT 111s, CPC 1232s and even the new rail cars required by the USDOT's oil tank car safety rule and the FAST Act passed by Congress in 2015. Oil trains are inherently unsafe: Approval of this project would increase the number, length, and speed of trains passing through the Columbia River Gorge, thereby increasing the risks of catastrophic derailments involving oil spills, explosions and fires. Two of the criteria for conditional use review require a determination that the proposed use will not burden fire facilities and available services, nor significantly increase fire hazards, fire suppression costs, or risks to fire suppression personnel. In light of the Mosier incident, I ask you to deny the permit on these grounds.

- The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) released a report in June finding that Union Pacific was at fault for the oil train derailment and fire in Mosier, OR because they failed to follow safety and rail inspection requirements. According to the FRA, Union Pacific perennially has poor accident statistics when compared with its peers. The industry average of accidents caused by tracks, signals, or equipment is 44% and it is 56% for Union Pacific. This demonstrates the pattern of inadequate maintenance done by Union Pacific.

Impacts to the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area:

- The project is inconsistent with the purposes of the National Scenic Area Act: The project would pass through some of the most highly protected lands in the National Scenic Area. The project could increase the number of trains passing through the Gorge, the length of trains passing through the Gorge and the speed of trains. This would adversely affect scenic, natural, cultural and recreation resources and endanger local communities.

- The project would harm air quality: Air quality within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area is degraded by emissions from both mobile and stationary sources. Diesel emissions from an increase in train traffic would adversely affect air quality and visibility. This violates at least one of the criteria for conditional use review.

- Adverse impacts to scenic resources: The construction of double-tracking and related development would cause adverse impacts to scenic resources in violation of County ordinance, particularly the protected views from designated key viewing areas.

- The proposed 6-acre rock crushing area adjacent to Memaloose State Park is not allowed under County ordinances and would have extreme scenic and recreation impacts.

- Harm to wetlands: The project would require large amounts of fill in multiple wetlands adjacent to the Columbia River. Filling these wetlands adversely affect wetland habitat and is not in the public's interest. Filling wetlands is prohibited in the Special Management Areas of the National Scenic Area.

- Threats to sensitive plant and animal species: The project is located in habitat for many protected species of plants and animals. More trains traveling at higher speeds would result in more collisions with wildlife attempting to cross the tracks.

- Bridges: New bridge construction would provide a potential location of new point source pollution if any uncovered commodities are transported on the new track. Higher speeds will increase likelihood of wind-blown pollution into waterways in violation of the Clean Water Act.

- Coal transported in open coal cars would result in coal blowing onto scenic area lands and into the Columbia River. As evident on the Burlington Northern track on the north side of the Columbia, every coal train pollutes the Columbia River Gorge with coal dust. This unpermitted discharge of a pollutant is a violation of the federal Clean Water Act.

Impacts of more trains:

- A recent report from Whiteside & Associates and Fauth & Associates found that Union Pacific's statements of how much this rail expansion would increase train traffic through the Gorge were significantly underestimated. Union Pacific had claimed the expansion could increase traffic by 5 to 7 trains per day, while the report finds it could increase train traffic by 10 times this amount, to up to 75 to 100 trains per day.

- The project would harm the community of Mosier: The project would create a double-track through the Mosier Urban Area, negatively impacting the local community and environment.

- The proposal would obstruct traffic in The Dalles. More trains mean more time the crossing gates are down. This inconveniences drivers, hampers first responders, and violates the conditional use review criteria.

More review needed:

- The project should be reviewed as new development: Considering the size and potential impacts on the community and surrounding environment, this project should be considered a new facility rather than an expansion.

- Harm to parks and recreation resources: The project would construct an additional rail line through Memaloose State Park, which would lead to trains traveling at higher speeds through the recreation area. This would adversely affect recreation resources and endanger public safety. Oregon Parks and Recreation Department must ensure that any sale of land would not conflict with the National Scenic Area Recreation Development Plan, which includes plans to expand Memaloose Campground and improve access to the Columbia River. Oregon Parks should deny the sale of our park land for rail expansion: OPRD may not sell any land to Union Pacific without first adopting findings that the land is either not needed for public use or that the sale would further the public interest.

- UPRR excluded details on development within the Mosier Urban Area from the NSA application. That information must be considered as part of NSA cumulative impacts analysis.

- The County ordinance requires field surveys by professional wildlife biologist hired by the applicant and a professional botanist hired by the applicant.

- Project necessity: The project should be evaluated to see if it is necessary to provide a public service. If it is indeed necessary, alternatives should be evaluated that have less adverse impacts to resources within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, such as siting the project outside of the National Scenic Area.

Finally, the project area has a very high probability of containing Native American cultural resource sites that could be adversely affected in violation of County ordinance. These resources must be protected and proper consultation done.

Thank you this opportunity to comment and I look forward to your reply on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Maurine Canarsky